

Submission letter

Article title: Potential of Maggot and Earthworm Meals as Protein Sources for the Growth of Nirwana Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus)

Hereby I would like to submit the manuscript entitled "Potential of Maggot and Earthworm Meals as Protein Sources for the Growth of Nirwana Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus)" to Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation - International Journal of the Bioflux Society.

This manuscript was not submitted or published to any other journal. The authors declare that the manuscript is an original paper and contain no plagiarized text. All authors declare that they are not currently affiliated or sponsored by any organization with a direct economic interest in subject of the article. My co-authors have all contributed to this manuscript and approve this submission.

Corresponding author

Suyono

Author 1

Kusnadi

Author 2

Sari Prabandari

Author 3

Syarifudin

22 Agustus 2022

A). First, read and then write your comments within the manuscript. After that, you can fill in this evaluation form as well.

B). Written evaluation - critics and comments on the article

C). Evaluation based on the form.

EVALUATION FORM:

	The Aspect	Evaluation
		yes / no (if no, is recommended to provide comments)
1.	The title is clear and reflects the object of study	Yes
2.	The abstract synthesizes well the content of the article	Yes
3.	There are 4-6 key words (they key words have to be different from the words from the paper's title)	Yes
4.	The introduction presents the relevance of the article for the given field and quotes the main results obtained by other authors concerning the subject. The introduction also has to contain the aim of the study.	Yes
5.	The article contains a good overview on the previous studies from the same domain	Yes
6.	The methodology in use is coherently presented and there is a fair justification of it being preferred instead of other existent methodologies	Yes
7.	The source of the database is reliable (official databases, representative samples, etc)	Yes
8.	The scientific contribution of the paper is original	Yes
9.	The conclusions summarize clearly the results and the consequences	Yes
10.	Recent and well chosen (suitable) bibliography. There is a clear match between the bibliographic references from the end of the article and the ones quoted in the text	Yes
11.	The vocabulary used is academic, without incoherencies or grammar mistakes	Yes
12.	The paper is edited according to journal format	Yes

D). The recommendation for publication:

• Accepted in the initial form

Accepted with minor modifications

· Accepted with substantial modifications - see comments in the paper

Rejected



Potential of Maggot and Earthworm Meals as Protein Sources for the Growth of Nirwana Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

¹Kusnadi, ¹Sari Prabandari, ²Syarifudin, ³Suyono*

¹Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia; ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia; ³Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia. Corresponding author: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

Abstract. The purpose of this study was to determine whether maggot meal and earthworm meal have the potential to replace fish meal as a protein source in artificial feed formulations including assessing their effect on specific growth rate (SGR), rate of relative growth (RRG), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) nirwana tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). This study used an experimental method with a completely randomized design (CRD), 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4) and commercial feed (CF) as a comparison. Nirwana tilapia were the experimental fish, with an average weight of 30.14 ± 0.02 g. The treatment applied was the use of maggot meal for fish meal substitution of 5% to 20% and 4% earthworm meal. The treatments are F1 = 30% fish meal (FM) + 5% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F2 = 25% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (TM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM + 15% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4 = 15% fish meal (FM) + 20% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM). Relative growth rate (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), survival rate (SR), feed conversion ratio (RFC), and feed efficiency are the variables identified in this study (FE). The SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values of nirwana tilapia can increase while the RFC value decreases with the addition of animal protein sourced feed containing maggot meal and earthworms. In fact, the use of maggot meal and earthworm meal at F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32 protein produced RRG (2.81%) and SGR (1.65%), RFC (2.89%), FE (34.58) and SR (95%) which was almost the same as the use of commercial feed (CF) with 26% protein which produced RRG (2.87%) and SGR (1.67%), RFC (2.86%), FE (34.74) and SR (95%). Water quality in nirwana tilapia culture media (temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH (power of hydrogen), ammonia) was also concluded to be within the appropriate range for nirwana tilapia cultivation. Key Words: maggot meal, earthworm meal, nirwana tilapia, growth, feed conversion.

Intruduction. Indonesia is the second largest producer of tilapia in the world, with 6.3 million tonnes produced globally in 2018. Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was widely cultivated worldwide (Suhermanto et al 2019). Tilapia could adapted to aquaculture environments, traditional, semi or intensive scale cultivation systems, has high economic value, and was not affected by market price fluctuations, contributes to animal protein, and had experienced a significant increase in production in recent years (Wang & Lu 2016).

The increasing demand for tilapia commodities was related to the intensification of aquaculture, so that it will have an impact on the need for feed which was one of the inhibiting factors for growth. The cost of feed needs for cultured fish was around 60-70% of the total production cost, so the development of feed with sustainable local raw materials was needed, and becomes a challenge for farmers (Hamid et al 2016; Sarker et al 2018). The main cause of the high price of fish feed was the increased in the price of feed raw materials. The main protein source of commercial fish feed still comes from imported raw materials such as fish meal. In addition, excessive use of fish meal causes the supply of fish resources to be depleted (Pucher et al 2014). In addition, there is a fairly high pressure on the sustainability of fishery resources due to the excessive use of fish meal. As a result,

a breakthrough in the quest for substitute protein sources was required to support the availability of feed that is sustainable, accessible, and must be environmentally friendly.

Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein that have a high nutritional value, could reduced the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects (Li et al 2019). Black soldier fly maggots or larvae have the potential to serve as an alternate source of protein for fish food whether processed into fresh (live maggots) or dried into meal. The protein content of maggot was 42.1% (in dry weight), moisture (7.9%), fat (24.8%), ash (10.3%), and crude fiber (7%), so that it can meet the protein needs of livestock (Park 2016). The amino acid content in maggot contains arginine (2.29%), methionine (0.66%), phenylalanine (1.63%), threonine (1.70%), tryptophan (0.55%), valine (2.56%), histidine (1.50%), isoleucine (1.87%), lysine (2.71%), and leucine (3.23%) and can support fish development (Djissou et al 2015).

The high protein content was also relatively large (approximately 54-66% in dry matter) carbohydrates, fats and ash (Musyoka et al 2019). According to (Parolini et al 2020), earthworm meal (*Lumbricus rubellus*) contains 63.0% protein, 5.9% crude fat, 8.9% ash, and 1476 kJ/100 g of energy for metabolism. Together with arginine (2.83 g kg⁻¹), phenylalanine (6.26 g kg⁻¹), tryptophan (4.43 g kg⁻¹), valine (4.43 g kg⁻¹), histidine (1.47 g kg⁻¹), isoleucine (2.04 g kg⁻¹), leucine (4.11 g kg⁻¹), and lysine (6.35 g kg⁻¹) were the amino acid profiles found in earthworm meal.

utilizing maggots to replace fish meal as a source of animal protein for *Oreochromis niloticus* (Ezewudo et al 2015), maggot and earthworm meal for *gariepinus* (Djissou et al 2016a), for juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and white shrimp (Kroeckel et al 2012; Cummins et al 2017), earthworm for *Clarias gariepinus* (Dedeke et al 2013), earthworms for *Cyprinus carpio* (Pucher et al 2014), and *Oreochromis* sp. juvenile (Jabir 2012). In general, substituting fish meal could reduced feed consumption while boosting growth and feed effectiveness (Rachmawati and Nurhayati 2022). There hadn't been any investigation on the effects of mixing maggot meal with earthworm meal on the growth of nirwana tilapia, according to some earlier literature, so it is a novelty in the study. This study aims to determine the effect of using maggot flour and earthworm flour as a protein source on the substitution of fish meal in artificial feed formulations on the rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) nirwana tilapia.

Material and Method

Experimental materials. The raw material for fish meal was obtained from the fish meal industry, Sari Ulam, Tegal City, Indonesia. Maggot and earthworms were obtained from local maggot and worm farms, Tegal agrofarm Indonesia. The manufacture of maggot meal refers to (Ahmad et al 2022) with a slight modification, A hot air oven was used to dry the maggot for around 36 hours at 60 °C, then mashed using a grinder and filtered through a sieve. The manufacture of earthworm meal refers to (Parolini et al 2020) a slight modification, by steaming the earthworms at a temperature of 70 °C for 10 minutes and grinding them with a grinder until the particles are finer. After that, bake for 10 to 12 hours at 60 °C. The dry materials were crushed and put through a sieve with a mesh size of 50.

Experimental fish. The nirwana tilapia utilized in this investigation had an average beginning weight of 30.14 ± 0.05 g. Tilapia fish used in the study were 100 fish obtained from the Fish Seed Center of Tegal City, Central Java, Indonesia. The test tilapia were stocked as many as 20 fish in each maintenance tarpaulin container. The completeness of the organs, physical health, size, and weight were taken into consideration when choosing the test fish. The fish are fed until they were completely satisfied twice daily at 8:00 and 16:00 to ensure that they have truly adapted to the new surroundings and the feed provided. The test fish were reared for 60 days and during rearing the tilapia were fed according to the treatment to determine the weight growth from May to July 2022.

Diet Experiment. The ingredients for the feed composition include fish meal, earthworm meal, maggot meal, corn meal, rice bran, copra meal, tapioca meal, fish oil, premix and

commercial feed from PT Matahari Sakti, Surabaya, Indonesia with 26% protein. The use of maggot meal as a substitute for fish meal as much as 5% to 20%., while earthworm meal was 4% for 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4) based on the difference in the percentages of fish meal (FM), maggot meal (MM), and meal. earthworm (EM). F1 = 30% fish meal (FM) + 5% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F2 = 25% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (TM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM + 15% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4 = 15% fish meal (FM) + 20% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM) and commercial feed (CF) as comparison. Previously, proximate analyses of feed components like fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal had been performed (Table 1) for their composition before the experimental diet was used and Table 2 presents the proximate results of the experimental feed formulation. Provided food up to 5% of their body weight at a frequency of two meals per day during maintenance, and two meals per day at 8:00 and 17:00 WIB..

Table 1

Table 2

Nutrient composition (% dry weight) on fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm me	eal
---	-----

Ingredient	Protein	Moisture	Ash	fat	fiber
Fish meal	40.43±0.09°	8.67±0.01ª	16.48±0.27ª	8.41±0.01 ^b	4.40±0.12 ^c
Maggot meal	44.63±0.02 ^b	6.34±0.06 ^c	12.53±0.03 ^b	11.50±0.11ª	10.19±0.04ª
Earthworm meal	54.46±0.09ª	8.18±0.02 ^b	5.61±0.03 ^c	7.43 ^c ±0.02 ^c	6.39±0.14 ^b

Note: *analysis outcome from the University of Diponegoro's Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Sciences and Agriculture (2022). The number after \pm was the standard error value, unequal superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P < 0.05).

Experimental diet composition in each formula

Matorials	Feed formulation (%/100 g)					
Materials	F1	F2	F3	F4		
Fish meal	30.00	25.00	20.00	15.00		
Maggot meal	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00		
earthworm meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Tapioca meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Bran meal	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00		
Copra meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Corn meal	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00		
Vitamin-mineral mix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Fish oil	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
Total	100	100	100	100		

Note: F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Experimental Containers. In this study, the container used was a plastic pool with a length, width and height of $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} \times 0.70 \text{ m}$, then the pool was filled with water with a height of 50 cm. The maintenance container was equipped with an aeration and circulation system for changing water using a pipe on the inside of the pond. The test tilapia were stocked into the plastic pool and acclimatized first. Feed was given little by little, if there is food that was not eaten by the fish then the feed was taken so that the water in the test container was not cloudy. Water quality is maintained by siphoning the

remaining feed and feces at the bottom of the container, as well as changing the water every 7 days with the volume of water replaced, which was 25% of the initial volume.

Research methods. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the experimental methodology of this study, with 4 trials and the use of commercial feed (CF) as a comparison. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed in statistical data analysis to establish the significance level (p < 0.05) and continued using the Tekey test if there was a difference. Descriptive analysis to analyze water quality, Microsoft Excel 2013 was used to determine the mean difference between treatments.

Research variable. Several variables were observed in this study, including the rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR) (Katya et al 2017), ratio of feed conversion (RFC) (Selvam et al 2018), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR). The formula equations applied in this study are presented as follows:

Rate of relative growth (RRG) (%) = $\frac{\text{final weight-initial weight}}{\text{Fish rearing time in days-initial weight}} \times 100$ Spesific growth rate (SGR) (%) = $\frac{\ln (\text{final weight-initial weight})}{\text{Fish rearing time in days}} \times 100$ RFC = $\frac{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}}{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days}) - initial weight in days}} \times 100$

 $FE = \frac{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days}}{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}} \times 100$

SR (%)= $\frac{\text{final fish count}}{\text{Initial Fish count}} \times 100$

Chemical and microbiological analysis. Protein, fat, water, ash, and fiber proximate chemical analyses were performed in accordance with the AOAC's recommended procedure (Latimer 2016). The Kjeldahl method was used for protein proximate analysis (Kusnadi et al 2022). The solution of the destroyed sample was placed in a steam distillation apparatus and three drops of phenolphthalene indicator were added before the distillation was completed. When the dripping distillate reacts neutrally to red litmus and the color of the reservoir solution changes to green, the reservoir solution was determined as a solution of the destroyed sample (pink). Fat analysis was performed using Soxhlet extraction. Amino acid profile of feed using the method (HPLC) applied by (Nik Sin et al. 2021) with slight modification was used for amino acid analysis. A total of 30 mg of protein hydrolyzate was then added with 4 ml of 6 N HCl which had been heated at 110 °C for 24 hours. Cool to room temperature, neutralize (pH = 7) with 6 N NaOH, add the sample to 10 μ l of distilled water, then filter using 0.2 m Whatman filter paper. Add 10 µl of HPLC injector to 50 µl of sample and 300 µl of OPA (Orthophalaldehyde) solution after stirring for 5 minutes. Feed microbiological tests include analyzing aflatoxin contamination using the HPLC method (María et al 2022) and Salmonella with ISO 6579-1, which were evaluated in the laboratory center for agro-based industry (Mooijman et al 2019).

Facets of water quality. Measurements of temperature (°C), pH (hydrogen power), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured for water quality supporting parameters in this study every two days at 08.00 and 16.00 WIB in each unit experiment. At the start,

midpoint, and end of the study, UV-Vis Spectrophotometry was used to analyze the ammonia (NH_3) content.

Results. Table 3 displays the findings of the proximate and microbiological examination of feed on 4 formulations using earthworm meal and maggot meal as well as 1 commercial feed.

The protein and fat content (%) for treatments F1 to F4 ranged from 23.65%, 24.74%, 25.32%, and 26.60%, respectively. The protein content in each formula increased from F1 to F4, in line with the 5% increase in maggot meal in each formula.

Proximate and microbiological analysis (% dry weight) on each feed formulation						
Proximate analysis	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF	
Protein (%)	23.65±0.02 ^d	24.74±0.02 ^c	25.32±0.03 ^b	26.66±0.03ª	26.00	
Moisture (%)	9.87 ± 0.02^{a}	$9.75^{b} \pm 0.01$	9.66±0.01 ^{bc}	9.55±0.02°	10.00	
Ash (%)	12.76±0.03ª	12.24±0.02 ^b	11.63±0.25 ^c	$10.88^{d} \pm 0.02^{d}$	12.00	
Fat (%)	5.14 ± 0.01^{d}	5.64±0.01 ^c	6.60 ± 0.02^{b}	7.66±0.01ª	5.00	
Fiber (%)	7.19±0.04 ^d	7.88±0.02 ^c	8.35 ± 0.01^{b}	8.83±0.04ª	8.00	
Energy (kcal)	3818.2	3853.7	3899.3	3936.5	3906.8	
Microbiological ana	Microbiological analysis					
Aflatoxin (µg kg ⁻¹)	6.79	6.18	4.68	3.81	3.76	
Salmonella	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	

Note: the number after \pm was the standard error value; unequal superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P < 0.05). F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 lists the essential amino acid (EAA) composition of the feed formulation rations as well as the necessary EAA amounts for nirwana tilapia.

Table 4

T-1-1- 0

Profile of essential amino acids (EAA) in each feed treatment	

Acom omino	Experimental diets formulation						
	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
Histidine	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.46	0.43		
Threonin	0.94	1.00	1.08	1.30	1.07		
Arginin	2.00	2.16	2.26	2.72	2.76		
Tyrosin	1.62	2.12	2.46	2.98	2.20		
Methionin	0.2	0.22	0.24	0.3	0.37		
Valin	1.08	1.24	1.36	1.68	1.25		
Phenylalanin	0.82	0.9	0.94	1.16	1.14		
Isoleusin	0.70	0.82	0.90	1.12	0.95		
Leusin	1.70	1.86	1.96	2.36	1.99		
Lysin	1.90	1.90	2.18	2.52	2.33		

Note: F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 shows that experimental feed's amino acid content and profile increased from F1 to F4, which was consistent with the rise in protein content in each feed formulation.

Table 5 displays the findings from the examination of the variables rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency

(FE), and survival rate (SR). The results of observations on all variables show the experimental feed the F3 formula showed almost the same results as the use of commercial feed (CF). Commercial feed showed the best results of RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR compared to the experimental formula, then followed by the treatment of F3 and F5 feed, while the results of F2 and F1 treatments showed lower results. Measurements and observations of water quality during the study are presented in Table 6.

Table 5

Data replication of rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of nirwana tilapia

Darameter	Experimental diet formulation						
Parameter	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
RRG (% day ⁻¹)	2,35±0.01 ^d	2,58±0.02°	2.81±0.02 ^{ab}	2.76±0.03 ^b	2.87±0.03ª		
SGR (%)	1.46 ± 0.02^{b}	1.56 ± 0.01^{b}	1.65 ± 0.01^{a}	1.63 ± 0.02^{a}	1.67 ± 0.02^{a}		
RFC	3.30 ± 0.01^{d}	3.07±0.02 ^c	2.89±0.03ª	2.98±0.02 ^b	2.86±0.01ª		
FE	30.23±0.02 ^e	32.49±0.02 ^d	34.58±0.01 ^b	33.47±0.01 ^c	34.74±0.02ª		
SR (%)	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00		

Note: the number after \pm was the standard error value; unequal superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P < 0.05).

Table 6

Data replication of Facets of water quality in nirwana tilapia culture

Facets of			Treatment		Ref.	
water quality	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF	range
Temperature (°C)	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.7	27.3-28.5	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.8	28.5- 30.55*
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	5.8-6.8	5.7-6.9	5.8-6.7	5.7-7.0	5.7-6.8	> 3*
pН	6.6-7.8	6.5-7.7	6.5-7.5	6.6-7.6	6.7-7.7	6.5-8.5*
Ammonia (mg L ⁻¹)	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.06	0.006-0.04	< 0.1*

Note: *Boyd (1992). F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

During the study, the value of facets of water quality in the shrimp culture medium was still within the desired range, thus ensuring the growth of nirwana tilapia.

Discussion. The results of the proximate test, the protein values from treatment F1 to F4 were 24.65% to 26.60%, while the different test results were different in water content, fat, ash and fiber content (Table 3). The increase in protein content in the feed formulation was influenced by maggot meal which had a protein content (44.13%) greater than fish meal 40.43%. The combination of maggot meal and earthworm meal at F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM) showed the lowest protein level. While the microbological examination of aflatoxin contamination in all formulations revealed that aflatoxin levels decreased with increased usage of maggot meal. This was because maggot has antimicrobial and antifungal activity so that it could reduced microbial contamination in feed formulations (Valachova et al 2014; Pöppel et al 2015). The results of aflatoxin content for all feed formulas were still relatively safe for fish consumption, because they were still below the threshold, which was lessed than 20 g kg⁻¹ and also do not contain salmonella bacteria.

Maggot and earthworms were good sources of animal protein for tilapia because they contain essential amino acids for fish growth. Protein requirements for fish growth vary, the younger the age of the fish, the greater the protein needs. (Nguyen et al 2020a) in his study stated that the need and balance of feed protein was 24-30% for nile tilapia measuring 7.90 g for growth up to 10 weeks. Another study stated that the protein requirement of feed for juvenile Nile tilapia measuring 12.7 g in an eight-week period was 22.2% to 29.7% sourced from soybean meal, fish meal, and corn meal protein (Nguyen et al 2020b). The protein content of the formulas F1 through F4 was shown in this study based on the literature, and it still met the suggested levels of fish feed protein for tilapia growth.

Amino acid content in F4 and F3 treatment feeds with ratios (FM)/(MM)/(EM) (15:20:4)% and (20:15:4)%, respectively, always occupied the highest position, while the combination (FM)/(MM)/(EM) with a ratio (30:5:4)% almost always in the lowest place during the F1 treatment (Table 3). This suggests that the value of the amino acids it contains could be improved by adding natural feed sources of maggot meal to the amino acid profile in amounts ranging from 5% to 20%. Table 4 shows that there are nine profiles of essential amino acids out of ten that are required to be present in the five types of feed, namely arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, threonine, valine, methionine, and phenylalanine. Lysine was one of the 10 necessary amino acids that could served as a benchmark amino acid (Hamid et al 2016). This was because the amino acid lysine in the animal body could stimulated fish growth and deposit protein tissue, because it was needs were not influenced by other metabolic roles (Marchão et al 2020; Cai et al. 2018). The requirement for the amino acid lysine in the growth phase of lysine tilapia can reach 1.55% (Diógenes et al 2016). The values of amino acid levels are larger than 1.55% according to the formulas F1 through F4 and commercial feed (CF) content, namely F1 (1.90%), F2 (1.90%), F3 (2.18%), F4 (2.52%), and CF (2.33%). This shows that the requirement for the amino acid lysine for tilapia was fully met. Diets with an unbalanced amino acid profile could led to lessed food intake and lessed effective utilization of essential amino acids (Prabu et al 2020).

The results of feed treatment on formula F3, F4 and commercial feed (CF) with 25.32%, 26.66% and 26% protein respectively for Nirwana tilapia resulted in RRG, SGR, RFC, feed efficiency (FE), and Survival rate values (SR) which was better than the experimental feed treatment F1, F2, and F4. It was anticipated that the inclusion of earthworm meal and maggot meal in experimental feeds F3 and F4 will provide nirwana tilapia with a rich source of essential amino acids. The analysis findings revealed that CF treatment caused the highest rate of relative growth (RRG) and specific growth rate (SGR) of 2.87% and 1.67%, respectively, then F3 with RRG (2.81%) and SGR (1.65%) is the next highest result. There were differences based on the RRG and SGR values for all formulas, although for CF and F3 they were not statistically different (p > 0.05). The ratio of feed nutrients (protein, fat, and fiber) affected relative and specific growth rates to promote fish growth (Eriegha & Ekokotu 2017). Growth happens when there was extra metabolic energy left over after it had been consumed for bodily upkeep and activities. The majority of the feed consumed was used for growth after first being used to maintain the body and replace damaged cells (Adeniyi et al 2020). Increased feed protein does not always lead to increased growth. Increasing feed protein without being followed by a balance with non-protein energy sources will cause protein to be used as an energy source (Samuelsen et al 2022).

The results of proximate analysis, substitution of fish meal with 15% maggot meal in the F3 feed formula (25.33% protein) resulted in a higher rate of relative growth (RRG) and specific growth rate (SGR) than F4 feed with 20% substitution (26.66% protein). This was presumably because the nutritional content of the feed used for growth was sufficient. This was also in accordance with the study of Rachmawati & Samidjan (2013), which stated that the substitution of fish meal with maggot meal with 25.13% protein could provided more optimal growth compared to protein with levels of 26.94% and 27.79% respectively. If their protein requirements are met, fish can grow well if their food intake is appropriate. The amount of protein in the meal has an impact on the tilapia's high and low growth rates (Ngugi et al 2017). Due to the consumption of protein from bodily tissues to maintain important processes, a lack of protein in the diet could resulted in stunted growth, which was followed by weight loss. The quality of the protein, the energy content of the feed, the nutritional balance, and the level of feeding all had a significant impact on how well the fish used the protein for growth (Samuelsen et al 2022). If the amount of protein consumed from the feed was too high, only a portion of it would be absorbed and used for cell formation and repair, and the remainder would be expelled (Prangnell et al 2022). High protein intake had the effect of increasing the amount of energy needed for protein catabolism, which results in nitrogen being excreted in the form of ammonia through the kidneys. This was due to fish's limited ability to store protein (Silva et al 2022).

The findings of assessing the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) in F1 to F4 and CF treatments in Nirwana tilapia (O. niloticus) for 60 days likewise revealed significant variations between treatments (p < 0.05). The RFC values in the F3 and CF treatments also produced the smallest values and were not significantly different (p > 0.05), namely 2.89 and 2.86. Table 5 shows that the F1 treatment shows the highest RFC value of 3.30 and the lowest in the F4 treatment of 2.89. Therefore, it could be seen that the feed that has the highest utilization efficiency was the F3 treatment (15% maggot meal in place of fish meal) of 2.89. The higher the use of maggot meal (20%) in the formulation, the higher the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) value of 3.30. This was most likely owing to the chitin content in maggot meal, which reduced the ability of tilapia seeds to digest feed when fish meal was substituted more frequently. Because chitin was crystalline and soluble only in strong acid solutions, the body was unable to properly digest it (Cummins et al 2017). The quality of the water and the feed utilized had an impact on the RFC value, which was also strongly connected with the fish diet (Rachmawati & Samidjan 2019). The smaller the RFC value, the better the level of feed efficiency (FE), and vice versa. Compared to the control treatment, the use of feed was smaller, this could be seen from the fish's low appetite (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). Tayebi & Sobhanardakani (2020), stated that Tilapia had an omnivorous nature, so that aquaculture was efficient with low feed costs. The higher the quality of the feed delivered, the lower the RFC value. (Selvam et al 2018). Meanwhile, if the feed conversion value was high, it means that the quality of the feed provided was not good.

Table 5 demonstrates that the nirwana tilapia's survival value differed when fish food was substituted with maggot meal and earthworm meal. The mean survival rate (SR) in Nirwana tilapia cultivation in F2, F3, and CF treatments was 95%, while F1 and F4 treatments were 90%. The results of observations during the study, tilapia fed the test feed (using maggot meal in place of fish meal 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%) and 4% earthworm meal had a high survival value. The high survival value of fish The tilapia obtained in this study was suspected to be water quality parameters during maintenance that were still within the limits of optimum conditions for cultivation purposes so that it was feasible for the survival of tilapia (Diógenes et al 2016). The size of the survival rate was affected by both internal and external parameters, such as water quality, stocking density, amount of complete amino acids in feed, and gender, heredity, age, reproduction, and disease resistance (Obirikorang et al 2022).

According to Djissou et al (2016a), growth performance and survival rate of tilapia were influenced by feed and water quality. Constraints faced in aquaculture were caused by the main components, namely quality seeds, water quality management, feed management, and fish pest management. Provision of quality feed with the required quantity, use of seeds and professional cultivation management were factors that support the success of cultivation (Djissou et al 2016b). Measurement of the study water quality parameters showed that the dissolved oxygen (DO) level in tilapia water was between 5.8 and 6.9 mg/L, which was a level that is still good for fish maintenance and survival. The results of temperature measurements obtained results of 27.2-28.8°C. It was also stated that Rachmawati & Samidjan (2019) that tilapia could lived well with a temperature tolerance value of 25-30°C, tilapia can survive in low oxygen content of up to 3 mg L⁻¹, but a good oxygen range value for cultivation was between 5-7 mg L⁻¹ and levels of ammonia (NH₃) < 0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Conclusions. According to the study's findings, replacing fish meal with a protein source consisting of 4% earthworm meal and 15% maggot meal could raise nirwana tilapia SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values while decreasing RFC. The use of maggot meal and earthworm meal on F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32 protein resulted in RRG (2.81%)

and SGR (1.65%), RFC (2.89), FE (34.58) and SR (95%) which was almost the same as the use of commercial feed (CF) with 26% protein which produces RRG (2.87%) and SGR (1.67%), RFC (2.86), FE (34.74) and SR (95%). Use of earthworm meal and maggot meal as a source of protein can help minimize reliance on fish meal in fish feed.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Educational Fund Management Institutions and also to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology Director General of Vocational Indonesia in 2021 who had provided financial assistance during research.

Conflict of interest. The author declare that there is no conflict of interest

References

- Adeniyi O. V., Olaifa F. E., Emikpe B. O., 2020 Effects of Dietary Tamarind Pulp Extract on Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility, Intestinal Morphology, and Resistance to *Aeromonas Hydrophila* Infection in Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus* L.). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 00(00):1–21.
- Ahmad I., Ullah M., Alkafafy M., Ahmed N., Mahmoud S. F., Sohail K., Ullah H., Ghoneem W. M., Ahmed M. M., Sayed S., 2022 Identification of the Economics, Composition, and Supplementation of Maggot Meal in Broiler Production. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29(6):103277.
- Cai, W. C., G. Z. Jiang, X. F. Li, C. X. Sun, H. F. Mi, S. Q. Liu, and W. B. Liu. 2018. "Effects of Complete Fish Meal Replacement by Rice Protein Concentrate with or without Lysine Supplement on Growth Performance, Muscle Development and Flesh Quality of Blunt Snout Bream (*Megalobrama Amblycephala*). Aquaculture Nutrition 24(1):481–91.
- Cummins V. C., Rawles S. D, Thompson K. R., Velasquez A., Kobayashi Y., Hager J., Webster C. D., 2017 Evaluation of Black Soldier Fly (*Hermetia Illucens*) Larvae Meal as Partial or Total Replacement of Marine Fish Meal in Practical Diets for Pacific White Shrimp (*Litopenaeus Vannamei*). Aquaculture 473:337–44.
- Dedeke G., Owa S., Olurin K., Akinfe A., Awotedu O., 2013 Partial Replacement of Fish Meal by Earthworm Meal (*Libyodrilus Violaceus*) in Diets for African Catfish, Clarias Gariepinus. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture 5(9):229–33.
- Diógenes A. F., Fernandes J. B. K., Dorigam J. C. P., Sakomura N. K., Rodrigues F. H. F., Lima B. T. M., Gonçalves F. H., 2016 Establishing the Optimal Essential Amino Acid Ratios in Juveniles of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) by the Deletion Method. Aquaculture Nutrition 22(2):435–43.
- Djissou A. S. M., Adjahouinou D. C., Koshio S., Fiogbe E. D., 2016a Complete Replacement of Fish Meal by Other Animal Protein Sources on Growth Performance of *Clarias Gariepinus Fingerlings*. International Aquatic Research 8(4):333–41.
- Djissou, A. S. M., Tossavi E. C., Vodounnou J. D, Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E D., 2015 Valorization of Agro-Alimentary Waste for a Production of Maggots like Source of Proteins in the Animal Feeds. International Journal of Agronomy and agricultural research 7(6):42–46.
- Djissou, A. S. M., Vodounnou J. V., Tossavi C. E., Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E D., 2016b Complete Replacement of Fish Meal by Unconventional Proteins Sources in Diet of *Oreochromis Niloticus* (L., 1758) Fingerlings: Growth Performance, Feed Utilization and Body Composition. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 4(5):242–47.
- Eriegha O. J., Ekokotu P. A., 2017 Factors Affecting Feed Intake in Cultured Fish Species: A Review. Animal Research International 14(2):2697–2709.
- Ezewudo B. I., Monebi C. O., Ugwumba A. A. A., 2015 Production and Utilization of Musca Domestica Maggots in the Diet of *Oreochromis Niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) Fingerlings. African Journal of Agricultural Research 10(23):2363–71.
- Hamid, Naqtahnain S. N. I., Abdullah M. F., Zakaria Z., Yusof S. J. H. M., Abdullah R., 2016b. Formulation of Fish Feed with Optimum Protein-Bound Lysine for African Catfish (*Clarias Gariepinus*) Fingerlings. Procedia Engineering 148:361–69.

- Jabir M. D. A. R., 2012 Nutritive Potential and Utilization of Super Worm (*Zophobas Morio*) Meal in the Diet of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Juvenile. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(24):6592–98.
- Katya K., Borsra M. Z. S., Ganesan D., Kuppusamy G., Herriman M., Salter A., Ali S. A., 2017 Efficacy of Insect Larval Meal to Replace Fish Meal in *Juvenile Barramundi*, Lates Calcarifer Reared in Freshwater. International Aquatic Research 9(4):303–12.
- Kroeckel S., Harjes A. G. E., Roth I., Katz H., Wuertz S., Susenbeth A., Schulz C. 2012
 When a Turbot Catches a Fly: Evaluation of a Pre-Pupae Meal of the Black Soldier Fly (*Hermetia Illucens*) as Fish Meal Substitute-Growth Performance and Chitin Degradation in Juvenile Turbot (*Psetta Maxima*). Aquaculture 364–365:345–52.
- Kusnadi, Riyanta A. B., Febriyanti R., 2022 The Effect of Chitosan Addition on Physicochemical Properties, Proximate and Antioxidant of Functional Drinks of Red and Purple Roselle (*Hibiscus Sabdariffa* L.) Extracts. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1041(1):012001.
- Latimer Jr. G. W., 2016 Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. twentieth ed. AOAC International. Gaithersburg. p. 3172.
- Li X., Rahimnejad S., Wang L., Lu K., Song K., Zhang C., 2019 Substituting Fish Meal with Housefly (*Musca Domestica*) Maggot Meal in Diets for Bullfrog Rana (*Lithobates*) Catesbeiana: Effects on Growth, Digestive Enzymes Activity, Antioxidant Capacity and Gut Health. Aquaculture 499:295–305.
- Marchão S. R., Ribeiro F. B., Siqueira J. C. D., Bomfim M. A. D., Silva J. S., Sousa T. J. R. D., Nascimento D. C. N. D, Sousa M. D. C., 2020 Digestible Lysine Requirement for Tambaqui (*Colossoma Macropomum*) Juveniles Using the Diet Dilution Technique." *Aquaculture Reports* 18.1-7.
- María R. A., Aida G., Myriam G., Nora M., Arminda M., 2022 Determination of Uncertainty in the Measurement of Aflatoxins B1 in Pistachio Nuts by the HPLC-FLD Method. Journal of Chromatography Open 2:100044.
- Mooijman K. A., Pielaat A., Kuijpers A. F. A., 2019 Validation of EN ISO 6579-1 -Microbiology of the Food Chain - Horizontal Method for the Detection, Enumeration and Serotyping of *Salmonella* - Part 1 Detection of *Salmonella* Spp. International Journal of Food Microbiology 288:3–12.
- Musyoka S. N., Liti D. M., Ogello. E., Waidbacher H., 2019 Utilization of the Earthworm, *Eisenia Fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an Alternative Protein Source in Fish Feeds Processing: A Review. Aquaculture Research 50(9):2301–15.
- Ngugi C. C., Oyoo-Okoth E., Manyala J. O., Fitzsimmons K., Kimotho A., 2017 Characterization of the Nutritional Quality of Amaranth Leaf Protein Concentrates and Suitability of Fish Meal Replacement in Nile Tilapia Feeds. Aquaculture Reports 5:62– 69.
- Nguyen L., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020a Efficacy of Reduced Protein Diets and the Effects of Indispensable Amino Acid Supplements for Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis Niloticus*. Animal Feed Science and Technology 268:114593.
- Nguyen L., Salem S. M. R., Salze G. P., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020b Optimizing Amino Acid Balance in Diets for Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis Niloticus*. Aquaculture 515:734566.
- Nik Sin N. N., Mustafa S., Suyono, Shapawi R., 2021 Efficient Utilization of Poultry By-Product Meal-Based Diets When Fed to Giant Freshwater Prawn, *Macrobrachium Rosenbergii*. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 33(1):53–72.
- Obirikorang K. A., Yeboah E. A., Gyampoh B. A., Amanie-Adjei S. K., 2022 Effect of Road Conditions on Physiological Stress Responses and Post-Transportation Growth and Survival of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Fingerlings. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):180–96.
- Park H. H., 2016 Black Soldier Fly Larvae Manual. Student Showcase, University of Massachusetts Amherst 14:1–13
- Parolini M., Ganzaroli A., Bacenetti J., 2020 Earthworm as an Alternative Protein Source in Poultry and Fish Farming: Current Applications and Future Perspectives. Science of the Total Environment 734:1–25.
- Pöppel A. K., Vogel H., Wiesner J., Vilcinskas A., 2015 Antimicrobial Peptides Expressed in Medicinal Maggots of the Blow Fly *Lucilia Sericata* Show Combinatorial Activity against

Bacteria. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59(5):2508–14.

- Prabu D. L., Ebeneezar S., Chandrasekar S., Tejpal C. S., Kavitha M., Sayooj P., Vijayagopal P., 2020 Influence of Graded Level of Dietary Protein with Equated Level of Limiting Amino Acids on Growth, Feed Utilization, Body Indices and Nutritive Profile of *Snubnose Pompano*, *Trachinotus Blochii* (Lacepede, 1801) Reared in Low Saline Water. Animal Feed Science and Technology 269:114685.
- Prangnell D. I., Castro L. F., Ali A. S., Browdy C. L., Samocha T. M., 2022 The Performance of Juvenile *Litopenaeus Vannamei* Fed Commercial Diets of Differing Protein Content, in a Super-Intensive Biofloc-Dominated System. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–22.
- Pucher J., Ngoc T. N., Thihanhyen T., Mayrhofer R., El-Matbouli M., Focken U., 2014 Earthworm Meal as Fishmeal Replacement in Plant Based Feeds for *Common Carp* in Semi-Intensive Aquaculture in Rural Northern Vietnam. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 14(2):557–65.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2013 The Effect of Substitution of Fish Meal with Maggot Meal in Artificial Feed for Growth And Survival Rate of *Catfish*. Jurnal Saintek Perikanan 9(1):62-67-67.
- Rachmawati D., Nurhayati D., 2022 Effect of Fish Meal Replacement with Earthworm and Maggot Meals on Feed Utilization and Growth of Banana Shrimp (*Penaeus Merguiensis*). AACL Bioflux 15(3):1470–78.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2019 The Effects of Chicken Feather Silage Substitution for Fish Meal in the Diet on Growth of Saline Tilapia Fingerlings (*Oreochromis Niloticus*). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 246(1).012015.
- Samuelsen T. A., Haustveit G., Kousoulaki K., 2022 The Use of Tunicate (*Ciona Intestinalis*) as a Sustainable Protein Source in Fish Feed Effects on the Extrusion Process, Physical Pellet Quality and Microstructure. Animal Feed Science and Technology 284:115193.
- Sarker P. K., Kapuscinski A. R., Bae A. Y., Donaldson E., Sitek A. J., Fitzgerald D. S., Edelson O. F., 2018 Towards Sustainable Aquafeeds: Evaluating Substitution of Fishmeal with Lipid-Extracted Microalgal Co-Product (*Nannochloropsis Oculata*) in Diets of Juvenile Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*). PLoS ONE 13(7):1–25.
- Selvam R., Saravanakumar M., Suresh S., Chandrasekeran C. V., Prashanth D., 2018 Evaluation of Polyherbal Formulation and Synthetic Choline Chloride on Choline Deficiency Model in Broilers: Implications on Zootechnical Parameters, Serum Biochemistry and Liver Histopathology. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 31(11):1795–1806.
- Silva V. C. D., Adorian T. J., Goulart F. R., Lovatto N. D. M., Bender A. B. B., Speroni C. S., Silva L. P. D., 2022 Rice Ethanol Distillery Residue as a Protein Source in the Diet of Silver Catfish (*Rhamdia Quelen*). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):97–111.
- Suhermanto A., Sukenda S., Zairin M., Lusiastuti A. M., Nuryati S., 2019 Characterization of Streptococcus Agalactiae Bacterium Isolated from Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Culture in Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(3):756–66.
- Tayebi, L., Sobhanardakani S., 2020 Analysis of Heavy Metal Contents and Non-Carcinogenic Health Risk Assessment through Consumption of Tilapia Fish (*Oreochromis Niloticus*). Pollution 6(1):59–67.
- Valachova I., Prochazka E., Bohova J., Novak P., Takac P., Majtan J., 2014 Antibacterial Properties of Lucifensin in *Lucilia Sericata* Maggots after Septic Injury. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 4(5):358–61.
- Wang M., Lu M., 2016 Tilapia Polyculture: A Global Review. Aquaculture Research 47(8):2363–74.

Received: Accepted: Published online:

Authors:

Kusnadi, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: kusnadi.adi87@gmail.com

Sari Prabandari, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: sariprabandari.sp@gmail.com

Syarifudin, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: masudinsyarif88@gmail.com

Suyono, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia e-mail: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

(no subject)

Mag Ioan Valentin <zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com> Reply-To: Mag Ioan Valentin <zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com> To: "Mr. Suyono" <suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com>, Eni Kovacs <ek.bioflux@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Suyono, do you want to pay two taxes of publication? Please do not sumbit many times the same manuscript. Fortunately, I remembered that you submitted it earlier and searched. Keep in touch with your editor only. Thank you

Petrescu-Mag I. V., PhD, Hab. Associate Professor & Senior Researcher (CSI) USAMV Cluj & Bioflux SRL & University of Oradea, Tel: 0040 744470794 ; e-mail zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com Visit our journals: Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation http://www. bioflux.com.ro/aacl Advances in Environmental Sciences www.aes.bioflux.com.ro Human & Veterinary Medicine www.hvm.bioflux.com.ro Advances in Agriculture & Botanics www.aab.bioflux.com.ro Animal Biology & Animal Husbandry www.abah.bioflux.com.ro Extreme Life, Biospeology & Astrobiology www.elba.bioflux.com.ro Porcine Research www.porc.bioflux.com.ro Rabbit Genetics www.rg.bioflux.com.ro ProEnvironment Promediu http://journals.usamvcluj.ro/index.php/promediu Poeciliid Research www.pr.bioflux.com.ro

[Quoted text hidden]

3 attachments

article submitted AACL Bioflux- Mr. Suyono- oke.docx 121K

Submission letter Mr. Suyono.pdf

Document for reviewers- Mr. suyono.doc

Wed, Aug 24, 2022 at 2:53 PM



Bioflux (publishing house) 54 Ceahlau Street, Cluj-Napoca 400488, Romania, European Union

Certificate/Letter of preliminary acceptance

This certificate shows that your paper: Potential of Maggot and Earthworm Meals as Protein Sources for the Growth of Nirwana Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus)

Authors:

Kusnadi, Sari Prabandari, Syarifudin, Suyono

was preliminary accepted for publication, with revision, in the scientific/academic journal: Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation –

International Journal of the Bioflux Society.

AACL Bioflux is covered by Thomson ISI Web of Knowledge via:

- Zoological Record (Biosis) and
- CAB Abstracts (CABI)

Thank you for publishing with us!

Sincerely yours, Editor Researcher Eniko Kovacs, PhD



Potential of maggot and earthworm meals as protein sources for the growth of **Nirwana** <u>Nile</u> tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

¹Kusnadi, ¹Sari Prabandari, ²Syarifudin, ³Suyono

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia;
 ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia;
 ³ Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia. Corresponding author: Suyono, suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

Abstract. I... The purpose of this study was to determine whether maggot flour and earthworm flour have the potential to replace fish meal as a protein source in artificial feed formulations, including the assessingment of their effect on the specific growth rate (SGR), rate of relative growth (RRG), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of the nirvana Nile tilapia (*Dreochromis niloticus*). This study used an experimental method with a completely randomized design (CRD), 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4) and commercial feed (CF) as a comparison. Nthe nirvana Nile tilapia -*O. niloticus* sample specimens, were the experimental fish, withhad an average weight of 30.14±0.02 g. The treatment applied was the use of maggot meal for the fish meal substitution—6f, at concentrations from 5% to 20%, together with—and 4% earthworm meal. The treatments are F1 = 30% fish meal (FM) + 5% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F2 = 25% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (TM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM) + 15% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), specific growth rate (SR), survival rate (SR), feed conversion ratio (RFC), and feed efficiency (FE) are the variablesindicators identifiedused in this study (FE). The SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values of *O. niloticus* nirvana tilapia-can increase, while the RFC value decreases with the addition of animal protein sourced feed containing maggot flour and earthworms. In fact, the use of maggot meal and earthworm meal ± 132 (20% FM + 15%), RFC =(=2.86%), FE=-(34.58) and SR=-(95%) which was almost the same as in the use of commercial feed (CF), with 26% protein, which produced the following effect: RRG==(2.87%), and SGR=(-1.65%), RFC-(=2.86%), FE=-(=34.74) and SR=-(95%). WThe water quality in *O. niloticus* nirwana tilapia-culture media (temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), PH (power of hydrogen), ammonia) was also coneutedefound to be within the appropriate range for *O. n*

Key Words: maggot meal, earthworm meal, nirwana tilapia, growth, feed conversion

Introduction. Indonesia is the second largest producer of tilapia in the world, with 6.3 million tonnes produced globally in 2018. <u>Nile t</u>Filapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was widely cultivated worldwide (Suhermanto et al 2019). <u>*O. niloticus* Tilapia</u> could <u>be</u> adapted to aquaculture environments, traditional, semi or intensive scale cultivation systems, has <u>a</u> high economic value₇ and was not affected by <u>the</u> market price fluctuations, <u>contributes</u> to a significant source of animal protein, and <u>its production</u> had experienced a significant increase in <u>production</u> in recent years (Wang & Lu 2016).

The increasing demand for <u>O. niloticus tilapia</u> commodities was related to the intensification of aquaculture, so that it will have an impact on the need for feed, which was one of the inhibiting factors for growth. The cost of feed needs for cultured fish was around 60-70% of the total production cost, so the development of feed with sustainable local raw materials was needed, and becomes a challenge for farmers (Hamid et al 2016; Sarker et al 2018). The main cause of the high price of fish feed was the increased inof the price of feed raw materials. The main protein source of commercial fish feed still comes from imported raw materials such as fish meal. In addition, excessive use of fish meal causes the supply of fish resources to be depleted (Pucher et al 2014). In addition,

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

1090

Commented [WU1]: Nile tilapia https://fishbase.mnhn.fr/summary/2

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [WU3]: Redundant, already mentioned above.

Commented [WU2]: A short introductory phrase is needed

Commented [WU4]: According to the international standards in scientific writing it is desirable to display 5 key words which do not appear in the title. This will increase findings via key words and implicit citations.

there is a fairly high pressure on the sustainability of fishery resources due to the excessive use of fish meal. As a result, a breakthrough in the quest for substitute protein sources was required to support the availability of feed that is sustainable, accessible, and must be environmentally friendly.

Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein, that have a high nutritional value, could reduced the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects (Li et al 2019). Black soldier fly maggots or larvae have the potential to serve as an alternate source of protein for fish food, whether processed into fresh (live maggots) or dried into meal. The protein content of maggot was 42.1% (in dry weight), moisture (7.9%), fat (24.8%), ash (10.3%), and crude fiber (7%), so that it can meet the protein needs of livestock (Park 2016). The amino acid content in maggot contains arginine (2.29%), methionine (0.66%), phenylalanine (1.63%), threonine (1.70%), tryptophan (0.55), valine (2.56%), histidine (1.50%), isoleucine (1.87%), lysine (2.71%), and leucine (3.23%) and can support fish development (Djissou et al 2015).

The high-protein content was also relatively large (approximately 54-66%) in dry matter) carbohydrates, fats and ash (Musyoka et al 2019). According to a research study (Parolini et al 2020), earthworm meal (*Lumbricus rubellus*) contains 63.0% protein, 5.9% crude fat, 8.9% ash, and 14.76 kJ g⁻¹ of energy for metabolism. Tegether withhe amino acid profile found in earthworm flour was: arginine (2.83 g kg⁻¹), phenylalanine (6.26 g kg⁻¹), tryptophan (4.43 g kg⁻¹), valine (4.43 g kg⁻¹), histidine (1.47 g kg⁻¹), isoleucine (2.04 g kg⁻¹), leucine (4.11 g kg⁻¹)₇ and lysine (6.35 g kg⁻¹) were the amino acid profiles found in earthworm flour.

Utilizing maggotsThe suitability of other animal protein sources forte replaceing fish meal as a source of animal protein was studied for the Oreochromis O. niloticus, with maggot (Ezewudo et al 2015), maggot and earthworm meal for gariepinus-(Djissou et al 2016a), for juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and white shrimp, with maggot and earthworm (Kroeckel et al 2012; Cummins et al 2017), earthworm for Clarias gariepinus (Dedeke et al 2013), earthworms for Cyprinus carpio (Pucher et al 2014), and Oreochromis sp. juvenile (Jabir 2012), with earthworms. In general, substituting fish meal could reduced feed consumption while boosting growth and feed effectiveness (Rachmawati and & Nurhayati 2022). There hadn't been any investigation on the effects of mixing maggot flour with earthworm flour on the growth of nirwana tilapia, according to some earlier literature, so the current study it is a novelty in the study. This study aims to determine the effect of using maggot flour and earthworm flour on-as fish meal substitution, in artificial feed formulations, on the rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of nirvana tilapia.

Material and Method

Experimental materials. The raw material for fish meal was obtained from the fish meal industry, Sari Ulam, Tegal City. Maggot and earthworms were obtained from local maggot and worm farms, Tegal agrofarm Indonesia. The manufacture of maggot meal refers to (Ahmad et al 2022) with a slight modification.₁ A hot air oven was used to dry the maggot for around 36 hours at 60^{-0} C, then mashed using a grinder and filtered through a sieve. The manufacture of earthworm meal refers to <u>an existing method</u> (Parolini et al 2020), with a slight modification:₁ by steaming the earthworms at a temperature of 70^{-0} C for 10 minutes and grinding them with a grinder until the particles are finer, <u>then</u>. After that, bakeing for 10 to 12 hours at 60^{-0} C. The dry materials were crushed and put through a sieve with a mesh size of 50 (...).

Experimental fish. <u>O. niloticus</u> The nirwana tilapia utilized in this investigation research had an average beginning weight of 30.14±0.05 g at the beginning. The number of specimens of <u>O. niloticus</u> tilapia fish used in the study werewas 100_-individuals,fish obtained from the Fish Seed Center of Tegal City, Central Java, Indonesia. The20_-test tilapia specimens were stocked as many as 20 fish in each maintenance tarpaulin container. The completeness of the organs, physical health, size, and weight were taken

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl Commented [5]: Please review and reformulate this phrase, it is not clear.

Commented [6]: 50? Unit of measure is missing.

into consideration when choosing the test fish. The fish are fed <u>until-at_they_were</u> completely satisfiedation twice daily, at 8:00 and 16:00, to ensure that they have truly adapted to the new surroundings and the feed provided. The test fish were reared for 60 days and during the rearing the tilapia were fed according to the treatment to determine the weight growth from May to July 2022. Sampling of test samples was carried out every 10 days to determine the increase in the weight of the test fish and to adjust the weight of the feed to be given.

Diet experiment. The ingredients for the feed composition include fish meal, earthworm meal, maggot meal, corn meal, rice bran, copra meal, tapioca meal, fish oil, premix and commercial feed from PT Matahari Sakti, Surabaya, Indonesia, with 26% protein. As <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of maggot flour <u>was used</u> as a substitute for fish meal—as <u>much as 5% to 20%The use</u> of flow <u>was 4% foradded to all</u> 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4), <u>bThe treatments ascd on thehad</u> differencet in the <u>percentagesconcentrations</u> of fish meal (FM), maggot meal (MM), <u>and meal-and</u> earthworm meal (EM), F2 = 25% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4 = 15% fish meal (FM) + 20% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4 = 15% fish meal (FM) + 20% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal had been performed (Table 1) for <u>determining</u> their composition before the experimental diet was used_—and Table 2 presents the proximate results of the experimental feed formulation. <u>Provided—Ffood</u>, up to 5% of their body weight, <u>was provided</u> at a frequency of two meals per day-<u>during maintenance</u>, and two meals per day-<u>_</u> at 8:00 and 17:00 WIB-.

Table 1

Nutrient composition (% dry weight) ion fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal

Ingredient <u>(%)</u>	Protein	Moisture	Ash	Fat	Fiber	
Fish meal	40.43±0.09°	8.67±0.01ª	16.48±0.27ª	8.41±0.01 ^b	4.40±0.12 ^b	
Maggot meal	44.63±0.02 ^b	6.34 ^c ±0.06	12.53±0.03 ^b	11.50±0.11ª	10.19±0.04ª	
Earthworm meal	54.46±0.09ª	8.18 ^b ±0.02	5.61±0.03 ^c	7.43°±0.02°	6.39±0.14 ^b	
[†] analysis outcome from the University of Diponegoro's Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal						

analysis outcome from the university of Diponegoro's Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, ratculary of Animal Sciences and Agriculture (2022). The number after \pm invas the standard error value_{2.7} <u>differentunequal</u> superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P-<0.05).

Experimental diet composition in each formula

Table 2

Commented [WU7]: Authors own research?

Matariala	Feed formulation (% <u></u> ≠100 g ^{_1})						
Materials	F1	F2	F3	F4			
Fish meal	30.00	25.00	20.00	15.00			
Maggot meal	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00			
Eearthworm meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00			
Tapioca meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00			
Bran meal	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00			
Copra meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00			
Corn meal	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00			
Vitamin-mineral mix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Fish oil	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00			
Total	100	100	100	100			

F1 (30% FM, and 5% MM and, 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

L

Experimental containers. In this study, the container used was a plastic pool with a length, width and height of 1_{72} m x 1 m x 0.70 m, then the pool was filled with water withuntil a height of 50 cm was reached. The maintenance container was equipped with an aeration and circulation system for changing water using a pipe on the inside of the pond. The test <u>0</u>. *niloticus* tilapia were stocked into the plastic pool and acclimatized first. Feed was given little by littleadministered progressively, if there was feed that was not the uneaten by the fish then the feed was taken so thatbeing removed, avoiding turbidity in the water inof the test container was not cloudy. Water quality was maintained by siphoning the remaining feed and feces at the bottom of the container, as well as by changing the water every 7 days_with the volume of water replaced, which was (25% of the initial volume was replaced at once).

Research methods. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the experimental methodology of this study, with 4 trials, and the use of commercial feed (CF) as a comparisonal control sample. Microsoft Excel 2013 was used to determine the mean difference between treatments: aAnalysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed in statistical data analysis to establish the significance level (p-<-0.05), followed by and continued using the a Tuekey test, if there was a difference. Descriptive analysis to analyze water quality, Microsoft Excel 2013 was used to determine the mean difference between treatments.

Research variable. Several variables were observed in this study, including the rate of relative growth (RRG), and specific growth rate (SGR) (Katya et al 2017), ratio of feed conversion (RFC) (Selvam et al 2018), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR). The formula equations applied in this study are presented as follows:

 $\label{eq:Rate} \mbox{Rate of relative growth (RRG) (\%)} = \frac{\mbox{final weight-initial weight}}{\mbox{Fish rearing time in days-initial weight}} \times 100$

Spesific growth rate (SGR) (%)= $\frac{\ln (\text{final weight-initial weight})}{\text{Fish rearing time in days}} \times 100$

 $RFC = \frac{Amount of dry feed intake}{(final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days} \times 100$

 $\mathsf{FE} = \frac{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days}}{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}} \times 100$

SR (%)= $\frac{\text{final fish count}}{\text{Initial Fish count}} \times 100$

Chemical and microbiological analysis. Protein, fat, water, ash, and fiber proximate chemical analyses were performed in accordance with the AOAC's recommended procedure (Latimer 2016). The Kjeldahl method was used for protein proximate analysis (Kusnadi et al 2022). The solution of the destroyed sample was placed in a steam distillation apparatus and three drops of phenolphthalene indicator were added before the distillation was completed. When the dripping distillate reacts neutrally to red litmus and the color of the reservoir solution changes to green, the reservoir solution wais determined as a solution of the destroyed sample (pink). Fat analysis was performed using Soxhlet extraction. The aAmino acids profile of feed was determined using the HPLC method-(HPLC) applied by (Nik Sin et al. 2021) with slight modifications-was-used for amino acid analysis. A total of 30 mg of protein hydrolyzate was then added with 4 ml of 6 N HCl which had been heated at 110–°C for 24 hours, ---Cooled atte

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

Formatted

Commented [WU8]: Sources of the formulas? Who developed them?

Commented [9]: Replace "spesific" by "specific".

1093

temperature, neutralized (pH=-7) with 6 N NaOH, added with the sample to 10 μ J μ L of distilled water, then filtered using 0.2 m of Whatman filter paper. Add 10 μ I of (...) were added through the HPLC injector to 50 μ I of sample and 300 μ I of OPA (Orthophalaldehyde) solution after stirring for 5 minutes. Feed microbiological tests include analyzing aflatoxin contamination using the HPLC method (María et al 2022) and Salmonella, with ISO 6579-1, which were evaluated in the laboratory center for agrobased industry (Mooijman et al 2019).

Facets of wWater quality <u>parameters</u>. Measurements of temperature (°C), pH (hydrogen power), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were <u>measuredperformed to determine</u> the for water quality <u>supporting parameters in this study</u>, every two days at 08.00 and 16.00 WIB, in each unit experiment. At the start, midpoint, and end of the study, UV-Vis Spectrophotometry was used to analyze the ammonia (NH₃) content.

Results. Table 3 displays the findings of the proximate and microbiological examination of feed on 4 formulations using earthworm meal and maggot meal as well as 1 commercial feed. The protein and fat content (%) for treatments F1 to F4 ranged from 23.65%, 24.74%, 25.32%, and 26.60%, respectively. The protein content in each formula increased from F1 to F4, in line with the 5% increase inof the maggot meal in each formula.

Table 3

Proximate and microbiological analysis (% dry weight) on each feed formulation

Proximate analysis	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF			
Protein (%)	23.65±0.02 ^d	24.74±0.02 ^c	25.32±0.03 ^b	26.66±0.03ª	26.00			
Moisture (%)	9.87±0.02 ^a	9.75±0.01 ^b	9.66±0.01 ^{bc}	9.55±0.02 ^c	10.00			
Ash (%)	12.76±0.03ª	12.24±0.02 ^b	11.63±0.25 ^c	10.88±0.02 ^d	12.00			
Fat (%)	5.14±0.01 ^d	5.64±0.01 ^c	6.60±0.02 ^b	7.66±0.01ª	5.00			
Fiber (%)	7.19±0.04 ^d	7.88±0.02 ^c	8.35±0.01 ^b	8.83±0.04ª	8.00			
Energy (kcal)	3818.2	3853.7	3899.3	3936.5	3906.8			
Microbiological analysis								
Aflatoxin (µg kg ⁻¹)	6.79	6.18	4.68	3.81	3.76			
Salmonella	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative			
The number after ± was	The number after ± was the standard error value: upequaldifferent superscript letters on the same line indicate							

Minimiter and the standard error value, unequalifieren superscript reters on the same interactive significantly unequal treatment effects (P-<0.05). F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 lists the essential amino acid (EAA) composition of the feed formulation, rations as well as the necessary EAA amounts for <u>*O. niloticus*nirwana tilapia</u>.

Table 4

Profile of essential amino acids (EAA) in each feed treatment

Acom omino	Experimental diets formulation							
ASdin dinino	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF			
Histidine	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.46	0.43			
Threonin	0.94	1.00	1.08	1.30	1.07			
Arginin	2.00	2.16	2.26	2.72	2.76			
Tyrosin	1.62	2.12	2.46	2.98	2.20			
Methionin	0.2	0.22	0.24	0.3	0.37			
Valin	1.08	1.24	1.36	1.68	1.25			
Phenylalanin	0.82	0.9	0.94	1.16	1.14			
Isoleu sc in	0.70	0.82	0.90	1.12	0.95			
Leu s cin	1.70	1.86	1.96	2.36	1.99			
Lysin	1.90	1.90	2.18	2.52	2.33			

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

Commented [10]: Please reformulate this phrase, it is not clear.

F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 shows <u>that_the</u> experimental feed's amino acid<u>s</u> <u>content</u> and profile<u>increased</u> from <u>the formulations</u> F1 to F4, whichose <u>pattern</u> was consistent with the rise in <u>the total</u> protein content in each feed formulation.

Table 5 displays the findings from the examination of the variables rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR). The results of observations on all variables show the experimental feed the F3 formula showed almost the same results as the use of commercial feed (CF). Commercial feed showed the best results of RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR compared to the experimental formula,-then followed by the treatment-of-s_F3 and F54-feed, while the results of the treatments_F2 and F1 treatments_showed lower resultsvalues. Measurements and observations of water quality during the study are presented in Table 6.

Table 5

Data replication of rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of <u>Oreochromis</u> <u>niloticus</u>nirwana tilapia

Daramatar	Experimental diet formulation							
Parameter	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF			
RRG (% day ⁻¹)	2,35±0.01 ^d	2,58±0.02°	2.81±0.02 ^{ab}	2.76±0.03 ^b	2.87±0.03ª			
SGR (%)	1.46±0.02 ^b	1.56±0.01 ^b	1.65°±0.01ª	1.63 ^d ±0.02 ^a	1.67±0.02ª			
RFC	3.30±0.01 ^d	3.07±0.02 ^c	2.89±0.03 ^a	2.98±0.02 ^b	2.86±0.01ª			
FE	30.23±0.02 ^e	32.49±0.02 ^d	34.58±0.01 ^b	33.47±0.01 ^c	34.74±0.02 ^a			
SR (%)	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00			
The number after \pm was the standard error value; unequal different superscript letters on the same line indicate								

The number after ± was the standard error value; <u>unequaldifferent</u> superscript letters on the same line indicisignificantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Table 6

Data replication of Facets of wWater quality parameters in nirwana tilapia culture for each experimental treatment (the intervals correspond to the values measured during the replications)

FacetParamet			Treatment			Pof
<u>er</u> s of water quality	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF	range
Temperature (°C)	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.7	27.3-28.5	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.8	28.5- 30.55*
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	5.8-6.8	5.7-6.9	5.8-6.7	5.7-7.0	5.7-6.8	> 3*
pН	6.6-7.8	6.5-7.7	6.5-7.5	6.6-7.6	6.7-7.7	6.5-8.5*
Ammonia (mg L ⁻¹)	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.06	0.006-0.04	<-0.1*

*Boyd (1992). F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

During the study, the value of facets of water quality <u>parameters</u> in the shrimp culture medium was still within the desired range, thus ensuring the growth of <u>*O.*</u> <u>niloticus</u>nirwana tilapia.

Discussion. Fin the results of the proximate test, the protein values from treatment F1 to F4 were $24.65\frac{}{7}$ to $26.60\frac{}{7}$ while the different test results were different in water content, fat, ash and fiber content (Table 3). The increase in protein content in the feed formulation was influenced by the maggot meal, which had a protein content (of $44.13\frac{}{2}$) greaterhigher than in fish meal 40.43%. The combination of maggot meal and

Commented [11]: Please respect the scientific rigors and deliver a sound text

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl earthworm meal atin F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM) showed the lowest protein level. While the microbological examination of aflatoxin contamination in all formulations revealed that aflatoxin levels decreased with an increased usage of maggot meal. This was because maggot hasdue to its antimicrobial and antifungal activity, so that it could reduceding the microbial contamination in feed formulations (Valachova et al 2014; Pöppel et al 2015). The results of aflatoxin content for all feed formulas were still relatively safe for fish consumption, because they were still below the threshold, which was less than 20 µg kg⁻¹ and also do not contain salmonella bacteria.

Maggot and earthworms were good sources of animal protein for <u>O. niloticus</u> Tilapia because they contain essential amino acids <u>required</u> for the fish growth. Protein requirements for fish growth vary:₇ the younger<u>the age</u> of the fish, the greater the protein needs. Nguyen et al (2020a) in his research stated in his research that the need and balance of feed protein was 24-30%, for <u>O. niloticus</u> a Nile tilapia measuring 7.90 g, for its growth, up to 10 weeks. Another study stated that the protein requirement of feed for juvenile <u>O. niloticus</u> Nile tilapia measuring 12.7 g in an eight-week period was 22.2% to 29.7%, for proteins sourced from soybean meal, fish meal, and corn meal<u>protein</u> (Nguyen et al 2020b). The protein content of the formulas F1 through F4 was shown in this study based on the literature, and it still met the suggested levels of fish feed protein for tilapia growth.

Amino acid content in the treatments F4 and F3-treatment feeds with ratios (FM)/(MM)/(EM) of (15:20:4)(%), respectively and (20:15:4)(%), respectively, alwayswere sistematically higher-occupied the highest position, while the treatment F1. combination with a ratio(FM)/(MM)/(EM) with a ratio of (30:5:4)(%) was almost always in the lowest place during the F1 treatment (Table 3). This suggests that the value of theits amino acids-it contains content could be improved by adding natural feed sources of maggot meal to the amino acid profilefeed combination, in amounts ranging from 5% to 20%. Table 4 shows that there are nine profiles of essential amino acids out of ten that are required to be present in the five types of feed, namely arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, threonine, valine, methionine, and phenylalanine. Lysine was one of the 1 is an eccessary essential amino acids that can serve to evaluate the feed effects on the fish growth as a benchmark amino acid (Hamid et al 2016). This wais because the amino acid lysine in the animal body canexplicitly stimulatecontributes to the fish growth and <u>protein</u> deposit<u>s protein</u> in the tissues, because its needs are influenced by since it has no other metabolic roles (Marchão et al 2020; Cai et al. 2018). The requirement for the amino acid lysine in the growth phase of lysine tilapia can reach 1.55% (Diógenes et al 2016). The values of amino acid levels, are larger than according to determined in the formulastions F1 through F4 and commercial feed (CF) content, are larger than this threshold value, i.e.: F1 (1.90% in)F1, F2 (1.90%) in F2, F3 (2.18%) in F3, F4 (2.52%)F4, and CF (2.33% in CF). This shows that the requirement for the amino acid lysine for <u>O. niloticus</u> tilapia is fully met. Diets with an unbalanced amino acid profile can lead to less food intake and less effective utilization of essential amino acids (Prabu et al 2020).

The results of feed treatment on formula F3, F4 and commercial feed (CF) with 25.32%, 26.66% and 26% protein, respectively, for <u>O. niloticus</u> Nirwana tilapia resulted in RRG, SGR, RFC, feed efficiency (FE), and Survival rate values (SR) values which wasere better than in the experimental feed treatments F1, F2, and F4. It was anticipated that the inclusion of earthworm meal and maggot meal in experimental feeds F3 and F4 will provide <u>O. niloticus</u> nirwana tilapia with a rich source of essential amino acids. The analysis findings revealed that CF treatment caused the highest rate of relative growth (RRG) and specific growth rate (SGR), of 2.87% and 1.67%, respectively, followed- By F3, with RRG (2.81%) and SGR (1.65%)-is the next highest result. There were differences based on among the RRG and SGR values for all formulastions, although for CF and F3 they were not statistically differentsignificant (p->-0.05). The ratio of feed nutrients (protein, fat, and fiber) affected relative and specific growth happens when there was extra metabolic energy left over after it had been consumed for bodily upkeep and activities. The majority of the feed consumed was used for growth after first being used to maintain the

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

1096

Commented [12]: This phrase needs to be reformulated.

Commented [13]: Between "et al" and the year there is no separator ".". Make coorections in the whole document.

body and replace damaged cells (Adeniyi et al 2020). Increased feed protein does not always lead to increased growth. Increasing feed protein without being followed by a balance with non-protein energy sources will cause protein to be used as an energy source (Samuelsen et al 2022).

The results of proximate analysis, substitution of fish meal with 15% maggot flour in the F3 feed formula (25.33% protein) resulted in a higher rates of relative growth (RRG) and specific growth rate (SGR), than in F4 feed with 20% substitution (26.66% protein). This was presumably because the nutritional content of the feed used for growth was sufficient. This was also in accordance with the study of Rachmawati & Samidjan (2013), which statuggested that the substitution of fish meal with maggot flour with 25.13% protein could provided more optimal growth, compared to protein with levels of 26.94% and 27.79% respectively. If their protein requirements are met, fish can grow well if their food intake is appropriate. The amount of protein in the meal has an impact on <u>O. niloticus the tilapia's high and low</u> growth rates (Ngugi et al 2017). Due to the consumption of protein from bodily tissues to maintain important processes, a lack of protein in the diet could resulted in stunted growth, which was followed by weight loss. The quality of the protein, the energy content of the feed, the nutritional balance, and the level of feeding all had a significant impact on how well the fish used the protein for growth (Samuelsen et al 2022). If the amount of protein consumed from the feed was too high, only a portion of it would be absorbed and used for cell formation and repair, and the remainder would be expelled (Prangnell et al 2022). High protein intake had the effect of increasing the amount of energy needed for protein catabolism, which results in nitrogen being excreted in the form of ammonia through the kidneys. This was due to fish's limited ability to store protein (Silva et al 2022).

The findings of assessing the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) in F1 to F4 and CF treatments in <u>O. niloticus Nirwana tilapia (O. niloticus)</u> for 60 days likewise revealed significant variations between treatments (p-<-0.05). The RFC values in the F3 and CF treatments also produced recorded the smallest values, and which were not significantly different (p->-0.05), compared to the CF, i.e.- 2.89 and 2.86, respectively. Table 5 shows that the F1 treatment showshad the highest RFC value, of 3.30, and while the lowest was observed in the F4 treatment: -of 2.89. Therefore, it could be seen that the feed that has the highest utilization efficiency was observed in the F3 treatment (15% maggot meal in placestead of fish meal), namely of 2.89. The higher the use of maggot meaMMI (20%) in the formulation, the higher the value of the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) value of (3.30). This was most likely owing to the chitin content in maggot meal, which reduced the ability of <u>O. niloticus tilapia</u> seeds to digest feed when fish meal was substituted more frequently. Because chitin was crystalline and soluble only in strong acid solutions, the body was unable to properly digest it (Cummins et al 2017). The quality of the water and the feed utilized had an impact on the RFC value, which was also strongly connected with the fish diet (Rachmawati & Samidjan 2019). The smaller the RFC value, the better the level of feed efficiency (FE), and vice versa. Compared to the control treatment, the use of feed was smaller, this which can be seen from the fish's low appetite (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). Tayebi & Sobhanardakani $(2020)_7$ stated that <u>*O. niloticus* Tilapia</u> had an omnivorous nature, so that aquaculture was efficient with low feed costs. The higher the quality of the feed delivered, the lower the RFC value- (Selvam et al 2018). Meanwhile, if the feed conversion value was high, it means that the quality of the feed provided was not good.

Table 5 demonstrates that <u>O. niloticus's the nirwana tilapia's</u> survival value differed when fish food was substituted with maggot meal and earthworm meal. The mean survival rate (SR) in <u>O. niloticus</u>. Nirwana tilapia cultivation in the F2, F3, and CF treatments was 95%, while in the F1 and F4 treatments were 90%. The results of observations during the study₇ showed that tilapia fed the test feed (using magget meal in place of fish meal 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% of maggot meal instead of fish meal) and 4% earthworm meal had a higher survival value. The high survival value of fish The tilapia obtained in this study was suspected to be also related to the water quality parameters during the maintenance that were still within the limits of optimum conditions for cultivation purposes so that it was feasible for the survival of tilapia

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl Commented [WU14]: ?!

(Diógenes et al 2016). The size of the survival rate was affected by both internal and external parameters, such as water quality, stocking density, amount of complete amino acids in feed, and gender, heredity, age, reproduction, and disease resistance (Obirikorang et al 2022).

According to Djissou et al (2016a), growth performance and survival rate of <u>O</u>. <u>niloticus_tilapia</u>-were influenced by feed and water quality. <u>Ethe_constraints</u> faced in aquaculture were <u>caused by the mainly the components</u>, <u>namely</u> quality seeds, water quality management, feed management, and fish pest management. Provision of quality feed with the required quantity, use of seeds and professional cultivation management were factors that support the success of cultivation (Djissou et al 2016b). <u>Atthe</u> <u>measurement of the study</u> water quality parameters showed that the dissolved oxygen (DO) level in <u>O. niloticus_tilapia</u>-water was between 5.8 and 6.9 mg_/L^{±1-}, <u>which was a</u> <u>level that is</u>-still good for fish maintenance and survival. The <u>results of</u> temperature measurements <u>obtained resultsshowed values</u> of 27.2-28.8°C. It was <u>also</u>-stated <u>thatby</u> Rachmawati & Samidjan (2019) that <u>O. niloticus_tilapia</u>'s <u>could_lived well-tolerance_is</u> within a temperature-tolerance value<u>range</u> of 25-30°C, <u>to stay in a comfort zone</u>. <u>T</u>tilapia can survive in <u>a</u> low oxygen content of up to 3 mg L⁻¹, but a <u>goodsuitable</u> oxygen <u>concentration</u> range <u>value</u> for cultivation is between 5.7 mg L⁻¹ and <u>the</u> levels of ammonia (NH₃) <u>should be</u> <-0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Conclusions. According to the study's findings, replacing fish meal with a protein source consisting of 4% earthworm meal and 15% maggot meal could raise the <u>O</u>. <u>niloticus</u>nirwana tilapia's SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values, while decreasing the RFC. The use of maggot meal and earthworm meal on F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32 protein resulted in: RRG— (=2.81%), and SGR— (=1.65%), RFC— (=2.89), FE (=34.58) and SR= (95%,) which was almost the same as the use of commercial feed (for the CF), with 26% protein, which produces an RRG- (of 2.87%), and SGR of (1.67%), an RFC (of 2.86), an FE (of 34.74) and an SR (of 95%). Usinge of earthworm meal and maggot meal as a-sources of protein can help minimizeing the reliance on fish meal in fish feed.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Educational Fund Management Institutions (LPDP) and also to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology Director General of Vocational Indonesia in 2021, who had provided financial assistance during research.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adeniyi O. V., Olaifa F. E., Emikpe B. O., 2020 Effects of <u>Dietary dietary</u> Tamarind <u>Pulp</u> <u>pulp</u><u>Extract</u><u>extract</u><u>on</u><u>Growth</u><u>growth</u><u>Performanceperformance</u>, <u>n</u>Nutrient <u>Digestibilitydigestibility</u>, <u>Intestinal intestinal Morphologymorphology</u>, and <u>Resistance</u> <u>resistance</u> to <u>Aeromonas Hydrophila hydrophila</u> <u>Infection</u> in Nile <u>Tilapia</u> <u>tilapia</u> (Oreochromis <u>Niloticus</u><u>niloticus</u>L.). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 00(00):VOLUME?ISSUE?1-21.
- Ahmad I., Ullah M., Alkafafy M., Ahmed N., Mahmoud S. F., Sohail K., Ullah H., Ghoneem W. M., Ahmed M. M., Sayed S., 2022 Identification of the Economics, Composition, and Supplementation of Maggot Meal in Broiler Production. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29(6):103277.
- Cai, W. C., G. Z. Jiang, X. F. Li, C. X. Sun, H. F. Mi, S. Q. Liu, and W. B. Liu. 2018. "Effects of Complete Fish Meal Replacement by Rice Protein Concentrate with or without Lysine Supplement on Growth Performance, Muscle Development and Flesh Quality of Blunt Snout Bream (*Megalobrama Amblycephala*)." Aquaculture Nutrition 24(1):481–91. doi: 10.1111/anu.12581.
- Cummins V. C., Rawles S. D, Thompson K. R., Velasquez A., Kobayashi Y., Hager J., Webster C. D., 2017 Evaluation of Black Soldier Fly (*Hermetia Illucens*) Larvae Meal

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

1098

Commented [15]: No "," between the last name and the first name initials. The format is LAST NAME + BLANK + INITIALS OF THE FIRST NAME, WITH SEPARATOR ".". The entries "Cai", "Djissou", "Tayebi" are incorrect. Entry "Hamid" is unique: it cannot be "2016b", since there is no "2016a". Separator "," missing before the year, in the entry "Kroeckel". Commented [WU16]: The formatting requirements are not

	met!
	Formatted: Font: Italic
١	Formatted: Font: Italic

as Partial or Total Replacement of Marine Fish Meal in Practical Diets for Pacific White Shrimp (Litopenaeus Vannamei). Aquaculture 473:337–44.

- Dedeke G., Owa S., Olurin K., Akinfe A., Awotedu O., 2013 Partial Replacement of Fish Meal by Earthworm Meal (*Libyodrilus Violaceus*) in Diets for African Catfish, Clarias Gariepinus. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture 5(9):229–33.
- Diógenes A. F., Fernandes J. B. K., Dorigam J. C. P., Sakomura N. K., Rodrigues F. H. F., Lima B. T. M., Gonçalves F. H., 2016 Establishing the Optimal Essential Amino Acid Ratios in Juveniles of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) by the Deletion Method. Aquaculture Nutrition 22(2):435–43.
- Djissou A. S. M., Adjahouinou D. C., Koshio S., Fiogbe E. D., 2016a Complete Replacement of Fish Meal by Other Animal Protein Sources on Growth Performance of *Clarias Gariepinus Fingerlings*. International Aquatic Research 8(4):333–41.
- Djissou, A. S. M., Tossavi E. C., Vodounnou J. D, Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E D., 2015 Valorization of Agro-Alimentary Waste for a Production of Maggots like Source of Proteins in the Animal Feeds. International Journal of Agronomy and agricultural research 7(6):42–46.
- Djissou, A. S. M., Vodounnou J. V., Tossavi C. E., Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E D., 2016b Complete Replacement of Fish Meal by Unconventional Proteins Sources in Diet of *Oreochromis Niloticus* (L., 1758) Fingerlings: Growth Performance, Feed Utilization and Body Composition. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 4(5):242–47.
- Eriegha O. J., Ekokotu P. A., 2017 Factors Affecting Feed Intake in Cultured Fish Species: A Review. Animal Research International 14(2):2697–2709.
- Ezewudo B. I., Monebi C. O., Ugwumba A. A. A., 2015 Production and Utilization of Musca Domestica Maggots in the Diet of *Oreochromis Niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758)
 Fingerlings. African Journal of Agricultural Research 10(23):2363–71.
- Hamid, Naqtahnain S. N. I., Abdullah M. F., Zakaria Z., Yusof S. J. H. M., Abdullah R., 2016b. Formulation of Fish Feed with Optimum Protein-Bound Lysine for African Catfish (*Clarias Gariepinus*) Fingerlings. Procedia Engineering 148:361–69. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.06.468.
- Jabir M. D. A. R., 2012 Nutritive Potential and Utilization of Super Worm (*Zophobas Morio*) Meal in the Diet of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Juvenile. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(24):6592–98.
- Katya K., Borsra M. Z. S., Ganesan D., Kuppusamy G., Herriman M., Salter A., Ali S. A., 2017. "Efficacy of Insect Larval Meal to Replace Fish Meal in *Juvenile Barramundi*, Lates Calcarifer Reared in Freshwater. International Aquatic Research 9(4):303–12.
- Kroeckel S., Harjes A. G. E., Roth I., Katz H., Wuertz S., Susenbeth A., Schulz C. 2012 When a Turbot Catches a Fly: Evaluation of a Pre-Pupae Meal of the Black Soldier Fly (*Hermetia Illucens*) as Fish Meal Substitute-Growth Performance and Chitin Degradation in Juvenile Turbot (Psetta Maxima). *Aquaculture* 364–365:345–52.
- Kusnadi, Riyanta A. B., Febriyanti R., 2022 The Effect of Chitosan Addition on Physicochemical Properties, Proximate and Antioxidant of Functional Drinks of Red and Purple Roselle (*Hibiscus Sabdariffa* L.) Extracts. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1041(1):012001.
- Latimer Jr. G. W., 2016 Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. twentieth ed. AOAC International. Gaithersburg. p. 3172.
- Li X., Rahimnejad S., Wang L., Lu K., Song K., Zhang C., 2019 Substituting Fish Meal with Housefly (Musca Domestica) Maggot Meal in Diets for Bullfrog Rana (*Lithobates*) Catesbeiana: Effects on Growth, Digestive Enzymes Activity, Antioxidant Capacity and Gut Health. Aquaculture 499:295–305.
- Marchão S. R., Ribeiro F. B., Siqueira J. C. D., Bomfim M. A. D., Silva J. S., Sousa T. J. R. D., Nascimento D. C. N. D, Sousa M. D. C., 2020 Digestible Lysine Requirement for Tambaqui (*Colossoma Macropomum*) Juveniles Using the Diet Dilution Technique." *Aquaculture Reports* 18.1-7.
- María R. A., Aida G., Myriam G., Nora M., Arminda M., 2022 Determination of Uncertainty in the Measurement of Aflatoxins B1 in Pistachio Nuts by the HPLC-FLD Method. Journal of Chromatography Open 2:100044.

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl 1099

- Mooijman K. A., Pielaat A., Kuijpers A. F. A., 2019 Validation of EN ISO 6579-1 -Microbiology of the Food Chain - Horizontal Method for the Detection, Enumeration and Serotyping of Salmonella - Part 1 Detection of *Salmonella* Spp. International Journal of Food Microbiology 288:3–12.
- Musyoka S. N., Liti D. M., Ogello. E., Waidbacher H., 2019 Utilization of the Earthworm, *Eisenia Fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an Alternative Protein Source in Fish Feeds Processing: A Review. Aquaculture Research 50(9):2301–15.
- Ngugi C. C., Oyoo-Okoth E., Manyala J. O., Fitzsimmons K., Kimotho A., 2017 Characterization of the Nutritional Quality of Amaranth Leaf Protein Concentrates and Suitability of Fish Meal Replacement in Nile Tilapia Feeds. Aquaculture Reports 5:62–69.
- Nguyen L., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020a Efficacy of Reduced Protein Diets and the Effects of Indispensable Amino Acid Supplements for Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis Niloticus*. Animal Feed Science and Technology 268:114593.
- Nguyen L., Salem S. M. R., Salze G. P., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020b Optimizing Amino Acid Balance in Diets for Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis Niloticus*. Aquaculture 515:734566.
- Nik Sin N. N., Mustafa S., Suyono, Shapawi R., 2021 Efficient Utilization of Poultry By-Product Meal-Based Diets When Fed to Giant Freshwater Prawn, *Macrobrachium Rosenbergii*. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 33(1):53–72.
- Obirikorang K. A., Yeboah E. A., Gyampoh B. A., Amanie-Adjei S. K., 2022 Effect of Road Conditions on Physiological Stress Responses and Post-Transportation Growth and Survival of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Fingerlings. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):180–96.
- Park H. H., 2016 Black Soldier Fly Larvae Manual. Student Showcase, University of Massachusetts Amherst 14:1–13
- Parolini M., Ganzaroli A., Bacenetti J., 2020 Earthworm as an Alternative Protein Source in Poultry and Fish Farming: Current Applications and Future Perspectives. Science of the Total Environment 734:1–25.
- Pöppel A. K., Vogel H., Wiesner J., Vilcinskas A., 2015 Antimicrobial Peptides Expressed in Medicinal Maggots of the Blow Fly Lucilia Sericata Show Combinatorial Activity against Bacteria. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59(5):2508–14.
- Prabu D. L., Ebeneezar S., Chandrasekar S., Tejpal C. S., Kavitha M., Sayooj P., Vijayagopal P., 2020 Influence of Graded Level of Dietary Protein with Equated Level of Limiting Amino Acids on Growth, Feed Utilization, Body Indices and Nutritive Profile of Snubnose Pompano, Trachinotus Blochii (Lacepede, 1801) Reared in Low Saline Water. Animal Feed Science and Technology 269:114685.
- Prangnell D. I., Castro L. F., Ali A. S., Browdy C. L., Samocha T. M., 2022 The Performance of Juvenile *Litopenaeus Vannamei* Fed Commercial Diets of Differing Protein Content, in a Super-Intensive Biofloc-Dominated System. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–22.
- Pucher J., Ngoc T. N., Thihanhyen T., Mayrhofer R., El-Matbouli M., Focken U., 2014 Earthworm Meal as Fishmeal Replacement in Plant Based Feeds for *Common Carp* in Semi-Intensive Aquaculture in Rural Northern Vietnam. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 14(2):557–65.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2013 The Effect of Substitution of Fish Meal with Maggot Meal in Artificial Feed for Growth And Survival Rate of Catfish. Jurnal Saintek Perikanan 9(1):62-67-67.
- Rachmawati D., Nurhayati D., 2022 Effect of Fish Meal Replacement with Earthworm and Maggot Meals on Feed Utilization and Growth of Banana Shrimp (*Penaeus Merguiensis*). AACL Bioflux 15(3):1470–78.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2019 The Effects of Chicken Feather Silage Substitution for Fish Meal in the Diet on Growth of Saline Tilapia Fingerlings (*Oreochromis Niloticus*). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 246(1).012015.
- Samuelsen T. A., Haustveit G., Kousoulaki K., 2022 The Use of Tunicate (*Ciona Intestinalis*) as a Sustainable Protein Source in Fish Feed Effects on the Extrusion Process, Physical Pellet Quality and Microstructure. Animal Feed Science and

AACL Bioflux, 201X, Volume X, Issue X. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl 1100

Technology 284:115193.

- Sarker P. K., Kapuscinski A. R., Bae A. Y., Donaldson E., Sitek A. J., Fitzgerald D. S., Edelson O. F., 2018 Towards Sustainable Aquafeeds: Evaluating Substitution of Fishmeal with Lipid-Extracted Microalgal Co-Product (Nannochloropsis Oculata) in Diets of Juvenile Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus). PLoS ONE 13(7):1-25.
- Selvam R., Saravanakumar M., Suresh S., Chandrasekeran C. V., Prashanth D., 2018 Evaluation of Polyherbal Formulation and Synthetic Choline Chloride on Choline Deficiency Model in Broilers: Implications on Zootechnical Parameters, Serum Biochemistry and Liver Histopathology. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 31(11):1795-1806.
- Silva V. C. D., Adorian T. J., Goulart F. R., Lovatto N. D. M., Bender A. B. B., Speroni C. S., Silva L. P. D., 2022 Rice Ethanol Distillery Residue as a Protein Source in the Diet of Silver Catfish (Rhamdia Quelen). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):97-111.
- Suhermanto A., Sukenda S., Zairin M., Lusiastuti A. M., Nuryati S., 2019 Characterization of Streptococcus Agalactiae Bacterium Isolated from Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Culture in Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(3):756-66.
- Tayebi, L., Sobhanardakani S., 2020 Analysis of Heavy Metal Contents and Non-Carcinogenic Health Risk Assessment through Consumption of Tilapia Fish (Oreochromis Niloticus). Pollution 6(1):59-67.
- Valachova I., Prochazka E., Bohova J., Novak P., Takac P., Majtan J., 2014 Antibacterial Properties of Lucifensin in Lucilia Sericata Maggots after Septic Injury. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 4(5):358-61.
- Wang M., Lu M., 2016 Tilapia Polyculture: A Global Review. Aquaculture Research 47(8):2363-74.

Received: Accepted: Published online: Authors:

Kusnadi, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: kusnadi.adi87@gmail.com

Sari Prabandari, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia,

e-mail: sariprabandari.sp@gmail.com Syarifudin, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: masudinsyarif88@gmail.com

Suyono, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia, e-mail: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source . are credited.



Potential of Maggot and Earthworm Meals as Protein Sources for the Growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

¹Kusnadi, ¹Sari Prabandari, ²Syarifudin, ³Suyono

¹Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia; ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia; ³Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia. Corresponding author: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

Abstract. Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein that have a high nutritional value, could reduce the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects. Maggot and earthworms have the potential as alternative protein sources for fish feed, either processed into fresh or dried into flour. The purpose of this study was to determine whether maggot flour and earthworm flour have the potential to replace fish meal as a protein source in artificial feed formulations including the assessment their effect on the specific growth rate (SGR), rate of relative growth (RRG), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of the O. niloticus (Oreochromis niloticus). This study used an experimental method with a completely randomized design (CRD), 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4) and commercial feed (CF) as a comparison. O. niloticus sample specimens, an average weight of 30.14±0.02 g. The treatment applied was the use of maggot meal for the fish meal substitution, at concentrations from of 5 to 20%, together with and 4% earthworm meal. The treatments are F1 = 30%fish meal (FM) + 5% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F2 = 25% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (TM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM + 15% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4 = 15% fish meal (FM) + 20% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM). The SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values of O. niloticus can increase, while the RFC value decreases with the addition of animal protein sourced feed containing maggot flour and earthworms. In fact, the use of maggot meal and earthworm meal the treatment F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32% protein, produced the following effect: RRG = 2.81%, SGR = 1.65%, RFC = 2.89%, FE = 34.58, and SR = 95% which was almost the same as in the use of commercial feed (CF), with 26% protein, which produced the following effect: RRG = 2.87%, SGR = 1.67%, RFC = 2.86%, FE = 34.74, and SR = 95%. The water quality in O. niloticus culture media (temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH (power of hydrogen), ammonia) was also concluded to be within the appropriate range for *O. niloticus* cultivation. Key Words: artificial feed, fish feed, water quality, earthworm, maggot.

Introduction. Indonesia is the second largest producer of tilapia in the world, with 6.3 million tonnes produced globally in 2018. Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was widely cultivated worldwide (Suhermanto et al 2019). *O. niloticus* could be adapted to aquaculture environments, traditional, semi or intensive scale cultivation systems, has high economic value, and was not affected by the market price fluctuations, is a significant source of animal protein, and its production had experienced a significant increase in recent years (Wang & Lu 2016).

The increasing demand for *O. niloticus* commodities was related to the intensification of aquaculture so it will have an impact on the need for feed which was one of the inhibiting factors for growth. The cost of feed needed for cultured fish was around 60-70% of the total production cost, so the development of feed with sustainable local raw materials was needed, and becomes a challenge for farmers (Hamid et al 2016; Sarker et al 2018). The main cause of the high price of fish feed was the increased of the price of feed raw materials. The main protein source of commercial fish feed still comes from imported raw materials such as fish meals. In addition, excessive use of fish meals causes the supply of fish resources to be depleted (Pucher et al 2014). In addition, there

is fairly high pressure on the sustainability of fishery resources due to the excessive use of fish meals. As a result, a breakthrough in the quest for substitute protein sources was required to support the availability of feed that is sustainable, accessible, and must be environmentally friendly.

Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein that have a high nutritional value, could reduce the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects (Li et al 2019). Black soldier fly maggots or larvae have the potential to serve as an alternate source of protein for fish food whether processed into fresh (live maggots) or dried into the meal. The protein content of maggot was 42.1% (in dry weight), moisture (7.9%), fat (24.8%), ash (10.3%), and crude fiber (7%), so that it can meet the protein needs of livestock (Park 2016). The amino acid content in maggot contains arginine (2.29%), methionine (0.66%), phenylalanine (1.63%), threonine (1.70%), tryptophan (0.55), valine (2.56%), histidine (1.50%), isoleucine (1.87%), lysine (2.71%), and leucine (3.23%) and can support fish development (Djissou et al 2015).

Nutrients contained in earthworms such as protein (approximately 54-66% in dry matter), carbohydrates, fats and ash (Musyoka et al 2019). According to a research study (Parolini et al 2020), earthworm meal (*Lumbricus rubellus*) contains 63.0% protein, 5.9% crude fat, 8.9% ash, and 14.76 kJ g⁻¹ of energy for metabolism. The amino acid profile found in earthworm flour was: arginine (2.83 g kg⁻¹), phenylalanine (6.26 g kg⁻¹), tryptophan (4.43 g kg⁻¹), valine (4.43 g kg⁻¹), histidine (1.47 g kg⁻¹), isoleucine (2.04 g kg⁻¹), leucine (4.11 g kg⁻¹), and lysine (6.35 g kg⁻¹).

The suitability of other animal protein sources for replacing fish meal was studied for the *O. niloticus*, with maggot (Ezewudo et al 2015), for *gariepinus* (Djissou et al 2016), juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and white shrimp, with maggot and earthworm (Kroeckel et al 2012; Cummins et al 2017), for *Clarias gariepinus* (Dedeke et al 2013), *Cyprinus carpio* (Pucher et al 2014), and *Oreochromis* sp. juvenile (Jabir 2012), with earthworms. In general, substituting fish meals could reduce feed consumption while boosting growth and feed effectiveness (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). There hadn't been any investigation on the effects of mixing maggot flour with earthworm flour on the growth of *O. niloticus*, according to some earlier literature, so the current study is a novelty. This study aims to determine the effect of using maggot flour and earthworm flour as as fish meal substitution, in artificial feed formulations, on the rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of Nile tilapia.

Material and Method

Experimental materials. The raw material for fish meal was obtained from the fish meal industry, Sari Ulam, Tegal City. Maggots and earthworms were obtained from local maggot and worm farms, Tegal agrofarm Indonesia. The manufacture of maggot meal refers to (Ahmad et al. 2022) with a slight modification, A hot air oven was used to dry the maggot for around 36 hours at 60°C, then mashed using a grinder and filtered through a sieve. The manufacture of earthworm meal refers to an existing method (Parolini et al 2020), with a slight modification: steaming the earthworms at a temperature of 70°C for 10 minutes and grinding them with a grinder until the particles are finer. Then, baking for 10 to 12 hours at 60°C. Next, the dry ingredients are done milling process with flour milling machine, then continued the sifting process to get flour more refined.

Experimental fish. The *O. niloticus* utilized in this research had an average weight of 30.14±0.05 g at the beginning. The number of specimens of *O. niloticus* used in the study was 100 individuals, obtained from the Fish Seed Center of Tegal City, Central Java, Indonesia. 20 test tilapia specimens were stocked as in each maintenance tarpaulin container. The completeness of the organs, physical health, size, and weight were taken into consideration when choosing the test fish. The fish are fed satiation twice daily, at 8:00 and 16:00 to ensure that they have truly adapted to the new surroundings and the feed provided. The test fish were reared for 60 days and during the rearing the tilapia

were fed according to the treatment to determine the weight growth from May to July 2022. A sampling was carried out every 10 days to determine the increase in the weight of the test fish and to adjust the weight of the feed to be given.

Diet Experiment. The ingredients for the feed composition include fish meal, earthworm meal, maggot meal, corn meal, rice bran, copra meal, tapioca meal, fish oil, premix, and commercial feed from PT Matahari Sakti, Surabaya, Indonesia with 26% protein. As much as 5% to 20% of maggot flour was used as a substitute for fish meal. Earthworm meal was added to all 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4). The treatments had different concentrations of fish meal (FM), maggot meal (MM), and earthworm meal (EM): F1 = 30% fish meal (FM) + 5% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F2 = 25% fish meal (FM) + 10% maggot meal (TM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), F3 = 20% fish meal (FM + 15% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4 = 15% fish meal (FM) + 20% maggot meal (MM) + 4% earthworm meal (EM). Commercial feed (CF) was also used, for comparison. Previously, proximate analyses of feed components like fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal had been performed (Table 1) for determining their composition before the experimental diet was used. Table 2 presents the proximate results of the experimental feed formulation. Food, up to 5% of their body weight, was provided at a frequency of two meals per day, at 8:00 and 17:00 WIB.

Table 1

Table 2

Nutrient composition	(% drv weigh	t) in fish meal	. maggot meal.	and earthworm meal
	(/o any mongh	c) in non mean	, magget mean	

Ingredient (%)	Protein	Moisture	Ash	fat	fiber
Fish meal	40.43±0.09°	8.67±0.01ª	16.48±0.27ª	8.41±0.01 ^b	4.40±0.12 ^b
Maggot meal	44.63±0.02 ^b	6.34 ^c ±0.06 ^b	12.53±0.03 ^b	11.50±0.11ª	10.19±0.04ª
Earthworm meal	54.46±0.09ª	8.18 ^b ±0.02 ^c	5.61±0.03 ^c	7.43 ^c ±0.02 ^c	6.39±0.14 ^b

Note: The number after \pm is the standard error value, different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Matariala	Feed formulation (% 100 g^{-1})						
Materials	F1	F2	F3	F4			
Fish meal	30.00	25.00	20.00	15.00			
Maggot meal	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00			
Earthworm meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00			
Tapioca meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00			
Bran meal	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00			
Copra meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00			
Corn meal	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00			
Vitamin-mineral mix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Fish oil	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00			
Total	100	100	100	100			

Experimental diet composition in each formula

Note: F1 (30% FM, 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM, and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM, and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM, and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Experimental Containers. In this study, the container used was a plastic pool with a length, width, and height of $1.2 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} \times 0.70 \text{ m}$, then the pool was filled with water until a height of 50 cm was reached. The maintenance container was equipped with an aeration and circulation system for changing water using a pipe inside of the pond.

The test *O. niloticus* were stocked into the plastic pool and acclimatized first. Feed was administered progressively, the uneaten feed being removed, avoiding turbidity in the water of the test container. Water quality was maintained by siphoning the remaining feed and feces at the bottom of the container, as well as by changing the water every 7 days (25% of the initial volume was replaced at once).

Research methods. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the experimental methodology of this study, with 4 trials and the use of commercial feed (CF) control sample. Microsoft Excel 2013 was used to determine the mean difference between treatments: analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed in statistical data analysis to (p<0.05), followed by a Tekey test.

Research variable. Several variables were observed in this study, including the RRG, SGR (Katya et al 2017), RFC (Selvam et al 2018), FE, and SR. The equation formula applied in this study refers to Rachmawati & Nurhayati (2022), which was presented as follows:

Rate of relative growth (RRG) (%) = $\frac{\text{final weight-initial weight}}{\text{Fish rearing time in days-initial weight}} \times 100$ Specific growth rate = $\frac{\ln (\text{final weight-initial weight})}{\text{Fish rearing time in days}} \times 100$ RFC = $\frac{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}}{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days}) - initial weight in days} \times 100$ FE = $\frac{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days}) - initial weight in days}{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}} \times 100$ SR (%) = $\frac{\text{final fish count}}{\text{Initial Fish count}} \times 100$

Chemical and microbiological analysis. Protein, fat, water, ash, and fiber proximate chemical analyses were performed in accordance with the AOAC's recommended procedure (Latimer 2016). The Kjeldahl method was used for protein proximate analysis (Kusnadi et al 2022). The solution of the destroyed sample was placed in a steam distillation apparatus and three drops of phenolphthalene indicator were added before the distillation was completed. When the dripping distillate reacts neutrally to red litmus and the color of the reservoir solution changes to green, the reservoir solution is determined as a solution of the destroyed sample (pink). Fat analysis was performed using Soxhlet extraction. The amino acids profile of feed was determined using the HPLC method (Nik Sin et al. 2021) with slight modifications. A total of 30 mg of protein hydrolyzate was then added with 4 ml of 6 N HCl which had been heated at 110°C for 24 hours cooled at room temperature, neutralized (pH=7) with 6 N NaOH, added with 10 μ L of distilled water, then filtered using 0.2 m of Whatman filter paper. Take a 50µL sample plus 300µL of OPA (Orthophalaldehyde) solution, stir for 5 minutes and then put it into the HPLC injector as much as 10µL. Feed microbiological tests include analyzing aflatoxin contamination using the HPLC method (María et al. 2022) and Salmonella with ISO 6579-1, which were evaluated in the laboratory center for agro-based industry (Mooijman et al 2019).

Water quality parameters. Measurements of temperature (°C), pH (hydrogen power), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were performed to determine water quality, every two days

at 08.00 and 16.00 WIB in each unit experiment. At the start, midpoint, and end of the study, UV-Vis Spectrophotometry was used to analyze the ammonia (NH₃) content.

Results. Table 3 displays the findings of the proximate and microbiological examination of feed on 4 formulations using earthworm meal and maggot meal as well as 1 commercial feed.

The protein and fat content (%) for treatments F1 to F4 ranged from 23.65, 24.74, 25.32, and 26.60%, respectively. The protein content in each formula increased from F1 to F4, in line with the 5% increase of the maggot meal in each formula.

Table 3

Proximate analysis	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
Protein (%)	23.65±0.02 ^d	24.74±0.02 ^c	25.32±0.03 ^b	26.66±0.03ª	26.00		
Moisture (%)	9.87±0.02ª	9.75 ± 0.01^{b}	9.66 ± 0.01^{bc}	9.55±0.02 ^c	10.00		
Ash (%)	12.76±0.03ª	12.24±0.02 ^b	11.63±0.25 ^c	10.88 ± 0.02^{d}	12.00		
Fat (%)	5.14 ± 0.01^{d}	5.64±0.01 ^c	6.60 ± 0.02^{b}	7.66 ± 0.01^{a}	5.00		
Fiber (%)	7.19 ± 0.04^{d}	7.88±0.02 ^c	8.35±0.01 ^b	8.83 ± 0.04^{a}	8.00		
Energy (kcal)	3818.2	3853.7	3899.3	3936.5	3906.8		
Microbiological analysis							
Aflatoxin (µg kg ⁻¹)	6.79	6.18	4.68	3.81	3.76		
Salmonella	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative		

Proximate and microbiological analysis (% dry weight) on each feed formulation

Note: the number after \pm was the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05). F1 (30% FM, 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM, and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM, and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM, and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 lists the essential amino acid (EAA) composition of the feed formulation, as well as the necessary EAA amounts for *O. niloticus*.

Table 4

Profile of essential amino acids (EAA) in each feed treatment							
Acom omino		Experim	nental diets for	rmulation			
	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
Histidine	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.46	0.43		
Threonin	0.94	1.00	1.08	1.30	1.07		
Arginin	2.00	2.16	2.26	2.72	2.76		
Tyrosin	1.62	2.12	2.46	2.98	2.20		
Methionin	0.2	0.22	0.24	0.3	0.37		
Valin	1.08	1.24	1.36	1.68	1.25		
Phenylalanin	0.82	0.9	0.94	1.16	1.14		
Isoleucin	0.70	0.82	0.90	1.12	0.95		
Leucin	1.70	1.86	1.96	2.36	1.99		
Lysin	1.90	1.90	2.18	2.52	2.33		

Note: F1 (30% FM, 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM, and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM, and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM, and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 shows the experimental feed's amino acids profile from the formulations F1 to F4, whose pattern was consistent with the rise in the total protein content in each feed formulation.

Table 5 displays the findings from the examination of the variables RRG, specific SGR, RFC, FE, and SR. The results of observations on all variables show the experimental

feed the F3 formula showed almost the same results as the use of CF. Commercial feed showed the best results of RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR compared to the experimental formula, followed by the treatments F3 and F4 feed, while the results of the treatments F2 and F1 showed lower values. Measurements and observations of water quality during the study are presented in Table 6.

Table 5

Data replication of rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of *Oreochromis niloticus*

Darameter	Experimental diet formulation							
Parameter	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF			
RRG (% day⁻¹)	2,35±0.01 ^d	2,58±0.02°	2.81±0.02 ^{ab}	2.76±0.03 ^b	2.87±0.03ª			
SGR (%)	1.46 ± 0.02^{b}	1.56 ± 0.01^{b}	1.65 ^c ±0.01 ^a	$1.63^{d} \pm 0.02^{a}$	1.67 ± 0.02^{a}			
RFC	3.30±0.01 ^d	3.07±0.02 ^c	2.89±0.03ª	2.98±0.02 ^b	2.86±0.01ª			
FE	30.23±0.02 ^e	32.49±0.02 ^d	34.58±0.01 ^b	33.47±0.01 ^c	34.74±0.02ª			
SR (%)	90.00 ± 0.00	95.00±0.00	95.00 ± 0.00	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00			

Note: the number after \pm was the standard error value; differentl superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Table 6

Water quality parameters for each experimental treatment (the intervals correspond to the values measured during the replications)

Parameters		Treatment					
of water quality	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF	range	
Temperature (°C)	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.7	27.3-28.5	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.8	28.5- 30.55*	
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	5.8-6.8	5.7-6.9	5.8-6.7	5.7-7.0	5.7-6.8	> 3*	
pН	6.6-7.8	6.5-7.7	6.5-7.5	6.6-7.6	6.7-7.7	6.5-8.5*	
Ammonia (mg L ⁻¹)	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.06	0.006-0.04	< 0.1*	

Note: *Boyd (1992). F1 (30% FM, 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM, and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM, and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM, and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

During the study, the value of facets of water quality in the shrimp culture medium was still within the desired range, thus ensuring the growth of O. niloticus .

Discussion. In the results of the proximate test, the protein values from treatment F1 to F4 were 23.65 to 26.66% (Table 3). The increase in protein content in the feed formulation was influenced by the maggot meal, which had a protein content 44.13%, higher than in fish meal 40.43%. The combination of maggot meal and earthworm meal in F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM) showed the lowest protein level. The microbological examination of aflatoxin contamination in all formulations revealed that aflatoxin levels decreased with increased usage of maggot meal. Due to its antimicrobial and antifungal activity, reduce the microbial contamination in feed formulations (Valachova et al 2014; Pöppel et al 2015). The results of aflatoxin content for all feed formulas were still relatively safe for fish consumption, because they were still below the threshold, which was less than 20 μ g kg⁻¹ and also do not contain salmonella bacteria.

Maggot and earthworms were good sources of animal protein for *O. niloticus* because they contain essential amino acids required for the fish growth. Protein requirements for fish growth vary, the younger of the fish, the greater the protein needs.

Nguyen et al (2020) stated in his research that the need and balance of feed protein was 24-30% for *O. niloticus* measuring 7.90 g for its growth up to 10 weeks. Another study stated that the protein requirement of feed for juvenile *O. niloticus* measuring 12.7 g in an eight-week period was 22.2% to 29.7%, for proteins sourced from soybean meal, fish meal, and corn meal (Nguyen et al 2020). Based on the research report presented, the range of protein use for tilapia growth is between 22.2 to 30%, so that alternative feed products in this study which have a protein content of 23.65 to 26.66% can already be used as a reference for tilapia cultivation.

Amino acid content in the treatments F4 and F3 with ratios FM/MM/EM of 15:20:4(%), respectively and (20:15:4)%, respectively, were sistematically higher, while the treatment F1 with a ratio (FM)/(MM)/(EM) of 30:5:4(%) was almost always the lowest (Table 3). This suggests that the value of it amino acids content could be improved by adding natural feed sources of maggot meal to the feed combination, in amounts ranging from 5% to 20%. Table 4 shows that there are nine essential amino acids out of ten that are required to be present in the five types of feed, namely arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, threonine, valine, methionine, and phenylalanine. Lysine is essential amino acids that can serve to evaluate the feed effects on the fish growth (Hamid et al 2016). This is because the amino acid lysine in the animal body explicitly contributes to the fish growth and protein deposits in the tissues, since it has no other metabolic roles (Marchão et al 2020; Cai et al 2018). The requirement for the amino acid lysine in the growth phase of lysine tilapia can reach 1.55% (Diógenes et al 2016). The values of amino acid levels, determined in the formulations F1 through F4 and commercial feed (CF) content, are larger than this threshold value, i.e. 1.90% in F1, 1.90% in F2, 2.18% in F3, 2.52% in F4, and 2.33% in CF. This shows that the requirement for the amino acid lysine for O. niloticus is fully met. Diets with an unbalanced amino acid profile can lead to less food intake and less effective utilization of essential amino acids (Prabu et al 2020).

The results of feed treatment on formula F3, F4 and commercial feed (CF) with 25.32%, 26.66% and 26% protein respectively for O. niloticus resulted in RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR value which were better than in the experimental feed treatments F1, F2, and F4. It was anticipated that the inclusion of earthworm meal and maggot meal in experimental feeds F3 and F4 will provide O. niloticus with a rich source of essential amino acids. The analysis findings revealed that CF treatment caused the highest RRG and SGR of 2.87% and 1.67%, respectively, followed by F3 with RRG (2.81%) and SGR (1.65%). There were differences among the RRG and SGR values for all formulations, although for CF and F3 they were not statistically significant (p>0.05). The ratio of feed nutrients (protein, fat, and fiber) affected relative and specific growth rates to promote fish growth (Eriegha & Ekokotu 2017). Growth happens when there was extra metabolic energy left over after it had been consumed for bodily upkeep and activities. The majority of the feed consumed was used for growth after first being used to maintain the body and replace damaged cells (Adeniyi et al 2020). Increased feed protein does not always lead to increased growth. Increasing feed protein without being followed by a balance with non-protein energy sources will cause protein to be used as an energy source (Samuelsen et al 2022).

The substitution of fish meal with 15% maggot flour in the F3 feed formula (25.33% protein) resulted in a higher RRG and SGR, than in F4 feed with 20% substitution (26.66% protein). This was presumably because the nutritional content of the feed used for growth was sufficient. This was also in accordance with the study of Rachmawati & Samidjan (2013), which suggest that the substitution of fish meal with maggot flour with 25.13% protein could provided more optimal growth compared to protein levels of 26.94 and 27.79% respectively. If their protein requirements are met, fish can grow well if their food intake is appropriate. The amount of protein in the meal has an impact on the *O. niloticus* growth rates (Ngugi et al 2017). Due to the consumption of protein from bodily tissues to maintain important processes, a lack of protein in the diet could resulted in stunted growth, followed by weight loss. The quality of the protein, the energy content of the feed, the nutritional balance, and the level of feeding all had a significant impact on how well the fish used the protein for growth

(Samuelsen et al 2022). If the amount of protein consumed from the feed was too high, only a portion of it would be absorbed and used for cell formation and repair, and the remainder would be expelled (Prangnell et al 2022). High protein intake had the effect of increasing the amount of energy needed for protein catabolism, which results in nitrogen being excreted in the form of ammonia through the kidneys. This was due to fish's limited ability to store protein (Silva et al 2022).

The findings of assessing the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) in F1 to F4 and CF treatments in O. niloticus for 60 days likewise revealed significant variations between treatments (p < 0.05). The RFC values in the F3 and CF treatments also recorded the smallest values and which were not significantly different (p>0.05), compared to the CF, i.e. 2.89 and 2.86, respectively. Table 5 shows that the F1 treatment had the highest RFC value of 3.30 and while the lowest was observed in the F4 treatment 2.89. Therefore, it could be seen that the feed that has the highest utilization efficiency was observed in the F3 treatment (15% maggot meal in stead of fish meal), namely 2.89. The higher the use of (20%) in the formulation, the higher the value of the RFC 3.30. This was most likely owing to the chitin content in maggot meal, which reduced the ability of O. niloticus seeds to digest feed when fish meal was substituted more frequently. Because chitin was crystalline and soluble only in strong acid solutions, the body was unable to properly digest it (Cummins et al 2017). The quality of the water and the feed utilized had an impact on the RFC value, which was also strongly connected with the fish diet (Rachmawati & Samidjan 2019). The smaller the RFC value, the better the level of feed efficiency (FE), and vice versa. Compared to the control treatment, the use of feed was smaller, which can be seen from the fish's low appetite (Rachmawati and Nurhayati 2022). Tayebi & Sobhanardakani (2020), stated that O. niloticus had an omnivorous nature, so that aquaculture was efficient with low feed costs. The higher the quality of the feed delivered, the lower the RFC value (Selvam et al 2018). Meanwhile, if the feed conversion value was high, it means that the quality of the feed provided was not good.

Table 5 demonstrates that the *O. niloticus*'s survival value differed when fish food was substituted with maggot meal and earthworm meal. The mean SR in *O. niloticus* cultivation in the F2, F3, and CF treatments was 95%, while in the F1 and F4 treatments were 90%. The results of observations during the study, showed that *O. niloticus* fed the test feed (using 5, 10, 15, and 20%) and 4% earthworm meal had a higher survival value. The high survival value was suspected to be also related to the water quality parameters during the maintenance, that were still within the limits of optimum conditions for cultivation purposes so that it was feasible for the survival of tilapia (Diógenes et al 2016). The survival rate was affected by both internal and external parameters, such as water quality, stocking density, amount of complete amino acids in feed, and gender, heredity, age, reproduction, and disease resistance (Obirikorang et al 2022).

According to Djissou et al (2016), growth performance and survival rate of *O. niloticus* were influenced by feed and water quality. The constraints faced in aquaculture were mainly the quality seeds, water quality management, feed management, and fish pest management. Provision of quality feed with the required quantity, use of seeds and professional cultivation management were factors that support the success of cultivation (Djissou et al. 2016). The measurement of the water quality parameters showed that the dissolved oxygen (DO) level in *O. niloticus* water was between 5.8 and 6.9 mg L⁻¹, still good for fish maintenance and survival. The results of temperature measurements obtained results of 27.2-28.8°C. It was stated by Rachmawati & Samidjan (2019) *O. niloticus* tolerance is with in a temperature range of 25-30°C, to stay in a comfort zone. Tilapia can survive in a low oxygen content of up to 3 mg L⁻¹, but a suitable oxygen concentration range for cultivation is between 5-7 mg L⁻¹ and the levels of ammonia (NH₃) should be < 0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Conclusions. According to the study's findings, replacing fish meal with a protein source consisting of 4% earthworm meal and 15% maggot meal could raise *O. niloticus* SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values, while decreasing the RFC. The use of maggot meal and

earthworm meal on F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32% protein resulted in RRG = 2.81%, and SGR = 1.65%, RFC = 2.89, FE = 34.58, and SR = 95%, which was almost the same as for CF with 26% protein, which produces an RRG of 2.87%, an SGR of 1.67%, an RFC of 2.86, an FE of 34.74) and an SR of 95%. Using of earthworm meal and maggot meal as sources of protein can help minimizeing the reliance on fish meal in fish feed.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Educational Fund Management Institutions (LPDP) and also to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology Director General of Vocational Indonesia in 2021, who had provided financial assistance during research.

Conflict of interest. The author declare that there is no conflict of interest

References

- Adeniyi O. V., Olaifa F. E., Emikpe B. O., 2020 Effects of dietary tamarind pulp extract on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology, and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–21.
- Ahmad I., Ullah M., Alkafafy M., Ahmed N., Mahmoud S. F., Sohail K., Ullah H., Ghoneem W. M., Ahmed M. M., Sayed S., 2022 Identification of the economics, composition, and supplementation of maggot meal in broiler production. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29(6):1-9.
- Cai W. C., Jiang G. Z., Li X. F., Sun C. X., Mi H. F., Liu S. Q., Liu W. B., 2018 Effects of complete fish meal replacement by rice protein concentrate with or without lysine supplement on growth performance, muscle development and flesh quality of blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*). Aquaculture Nutrition 24(1):1-11.
- Cummins V. C., Rawles S. D, Thompson K. R., Velasquez A., Kobayashi Y., Hager J., Webster C. D., 2017 Evaluation of *Black soldier fly* (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae meal as partial or total replacement of marine fish meal in practical diets for pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture 473:337–44.
- Dedeke G., Owa S., Olurin K., Akinfe A., Awotedu O., 2013 Partial replacement of fish meal by earthworm meal (*Libyodrilus Violaceus*) in diets for african catfish, clarias gariepinus. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture 5(9):229–33.
- Diógenes A. F., Fernandes J. B. K., Dorigam J. C. P., Sakomura N. K., Rodrigues F. H. F., Lima B. T. M., Gonçalves F. H., 2016 Establishing the optimal essential amino acid ratios in juveniles of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) by the deletion method. Aquaculture Nutrition 22(2):435–43.
- Djissou A. S. M., Adjahouinou D. C., Koshio S., Fiogbe E. D., 2016 Complete replacement of fish meal by other animal protein sources on growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus fingerlings*. International Aquatic Research 8(4):333–41.
- Djissou A. S. M., Tossavi E. C., Vodounnou J. D, Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E D., 2015 Valorization of agro-alimentary waste for a production of maggots like source of proteins in the animal feeds. International Journal of Agronomy and agricultural research 7(6):42–46.
- Djissou A. S. M., Vodounnou J. V., Tossavi C. E., Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E D., 2016 Complete replacement of fish meal by unconventional proteins sources in diet of *Oreochromis Niloticus* (L., 1758) fingerlings: growth performance, feed utilization and body composition. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 4(5):242–47.
- Eriegha O. J., Ekokotu P. A., 2017 Factors affecting feed intake in cultured fish species: a review. Animal Research International 14(2):2697–2709.
- Ezewudo B. I., Monebi C. O., Ugwumba A. A. A., 2015 Production and utilization of musca domestica maggots in the diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fingerlings. African Journal of Agricultural Research 10(23):2363–2371.
- Hamid S. N. I. N., Abdullah M. F., Zakaria Z., Yusof S. J. H. M., Abdullah R., 2016

Formulation of fish feed with optimum protein-bound lysine for African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fingerlings. Procedia Engineering 148:361–69.

- Jabir M. D. A. R., 2012 Nutritive potential and utilization of super worm (*Zophobas Morio*) meal in the diet of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) juvenile. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(24):6592–98.
- Katya K., Borsra M. Z. S., Ganesan D., Kuppusamy G., Herriman M., Salter A., Ali S. A., 2017 Efficacy of insect larval meal to replace fish meal in juvenile *barramundi*, lates calcarifer reared in freshwater. International Aquatic Research 9(4):303–12.
- Kroeckel S., Harjes A. G. E., Roth I., Katz H., Wuertz S., Susenbeth A., Schulz C. 2012 When a Turbot catches a fly: evaluation of a pre-pupae meal of the Black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) as fish meal substitute-growth performance and chitin degradation in juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*). Aquaculture 364–365:345–52.
- Kusnadi, Riyanta A. B., Febriyanti R., 2022 The effect of chitosan addition on physicochemical properties, proximate and antioxidant of functional drinks of red and purple roselle (*Hibiscus Sabdariffa* L.) extracts. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1041(1):1-7.
- Latimer Jr. G. W., 2016 Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. twentieth ed. AOAC International. Gaithersburg. p. 3172.
- Li X., Rahimnejad S., Wang L., Lu K., Song K., Zhang C., 2019 Substituting fish meal with housefly (*Musca domestica*) maggot meal in diets for Bullfrog rana (*Lithobates*) catesbeiana: effects on growth, digestive enzymes activity, antioxidant capacity and gut health. Aquaculture 499:295–305.
- Marchão S. R., Ribeiro F. B., Siqueira J. C. D., Bomfim M. A. D., Silva J. S., Sousa T. J. R. D., Nascimento D. C. N. D, Sousa M. D. C., 2020 Digestible lysine requirement for tambaqui (*Colossoma Macropomum*) juveniles using the diet dilution technique. Aquaculture Reports 18.1-7.
- María R. A., Aida G., Myriam G., Nora M., Arminda M., 2022 Determination of uncertainty in the measurement of aflatoxins b1 in Pistachio nuts by the HPLC-FLD method. Journal of Chromatography Open 2:1-6.
- Mooijman K. A., Pielaat A., Kuijpers A. F. A., 2019 Validation of en iso 6579-1 microbiology of the food chain - horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of Salmonella - part 1 detection of *Salmonella* spp. International Journal of Food Microbiology 288:3–12.
- Musyoka S. N., Liti D. M., Ogello. E., Waidbacher H., 2019 Utilization of the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an alternative protein source in fish feeds processing: a review. Aquaculture Research 50(9):1–15.
- Ngugi C. C., Oyoo-Okoth E., Manyala J. O., Fitzsimmons K., Kimotho A., 2017 Characterization of the nutritional quality of amaranth leaf protein concentrates and suitability of fish meal replacement in Nile tilapia feeds. Aquaculture Reports 5:62– 69.
- Nguyen L., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020 Efficacy of reduced protein diets and the effects of indispensable amino acid supplements for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Animal Feed Science and Technology 268:114593.
- Nguyen L., Salem S. M. R., Salze G. P., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020 Optimizing amino acid balance in diets for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Aquaculture 515:734566.
- Nik Sin N. N., Mustafa S., Suyono, Shapawi R., 2021 Efficient utilization of poultry byproduct meal-based diets when fed to giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 33(1):53–72.
- Obirikorang K. A., Yeboah E. A., Gyampoh B. A., Amanie-Adjei S. K., 2022 Effect of road conditions on physiological stress responses and post-transportation growth and survival of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):180–96.
- Park H. H., 2016 Black soldier fly larvae manual. Student Showcase, University of Massachusetts Amherst 14:1–13
- Parolini M., Ganzaroli A., Bacenetti J., 2020 Earthworm as an alternative protein source in poultry and fish farming: current applications and future perspectives. Science of the Total Environment 734:1–25.

- Pöppel A. K., Vogel H., Wiesner J., Vilcinskas A., 2015 Antimicrobial peptides expressed in medicinal maggots of the Blow *fly lucilia sericata* show combinatorial activity against bacteria. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59(5):2508–14.
- Prabu D. L., Ebeneezar S., Chandrasekar S., Tejpal C. S., Kavitha M., Sayooj P., Vijayagopal P., 2020 Influence of graded level of dietary protein with equated level of limiting amino acids on growth, feed utilization, body indices and nutritive profile of *Snubnose pompano, Trachinotus blochi*i (Lacepede, 1801) reared in low saline water. Animal Feed Science and Technology 269:1-14.
- Prangnell D. I., Castro L. F., Ali A. S., Browdy C. L., Samocha T. M., 2022 The performance of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed commercial diets of differing protein content, in a super-intensive biofloc-dominated system. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–22.
- Pucher J., Ngoc T. N., Thihanhyen T., Mayrhofer R., El-Matbouli M., Focken U., 2014 Earthworm meal as fishmeal replacement in plant based feeds for *Common Carp* in semi-intensive aquaculture in rural northern vietnam. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 14(2):557–65.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2013 The effect of substitution of fish meal with maggot meal in artificial feed for growth and survival rate of Catfish. Jurnal Saintek Perikanan 9(1):62-67-67. [in Indonesian]
- Rachmawati D., Nurhayati D., 2022 Effect of fish meal replacement with earthworm and maggot meals on feed utilization and growth of Banana shrimp (*Penaeus merguiensis*). AACL Bioflux 15(3):1470–1478.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2019 The effects of chicken feather silage substitution for fish meal in the diet on growth of Saline tilapia fingerlings (*Oreochromis niloticus*). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 246(1).1-8.
- Samuelsen T. A., Haustveit G., Kousoulaki K., 2022 The use of tunicate (*Ciona intestinalis*) as a sustainable protein source in fish feed effects on the extrusion process, physical pellet quality and microstructure. Animal Feed Science and Technology 284:1-13.
- Sarker P. K., Kapuscinski A. R., Bae A. Y., Donaldson E., Sitek A. J., Fitzgerald D. S., Edelson O. F., 2018 Towards sustainable aquafeeds: evaluating substitution of fishmeal with lipid-extracted microalgal co-product (*Nannochloropsis oculata*) in diets of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). PLoS ONE 13(7):1–25.
- Selvam R., Saravanakumar M., Suresh S., Chandrasekeran C. V., Prashanth D., 2018 Evaluation of polyherbal formulation and synthetic choline chloride on choline deficiency model in broilers: implications on zootechnical parameters, serum biochemistry and liver histopathology. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 31(11):1795–1806.
- Silva V. C. D., Adorian T. J., Goulart F. R., Lovatto N. D. M., Bender A. B. B., Speroni C. S., Silva L. P. D., 2022 Rice ethanol distillery residue as a protein source in the diet of Silver catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):97–111.
- Suhermanto A., Sukenda S., Zairin M., Lusiastuti A. M., Nuryati S., 2019 Characterization of *Streptococcus agalactiae* bacterium isolated from tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture in indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(3):756–66.
- Tayebi L., Sobhanardakani S., 2020 Analysis of heavy metal contents and noncarcinogenic health risk assessment through consumption of tilapia fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Pollution 6(1):59–67.
- Valachova I., Prochazka E., Bohova J., Novak P., Takac P., Majtan J., 2014 Antibacterial properties of lucifensin in *Lucilia sericata* maggots after septic injury. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 4(5): 358–361.
- Wang M., Lu M., 2016 Tilapia polyculture: a global review. Aquaculture Research 47(8):1-12.

Received: Accepted: Published online: Authors:

Kusnadi, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: kusnadi.adi87@gmail.com

Sari Prabandari, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: sariprabandari.sp@gmail.com

Syarifudin, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: masudinsyarif88@gmail.com

Suyono, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia, e-mail: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Potential of maggot and earthworm meals as protein sources for the growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

¹Kusnadi, ¹Sari Prabandari, ²Syarifudin, ³Suyono

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia; ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia; ³ Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia. Corresponding author: Suyono, suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

Abstract. Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein that have a high nutritional value, could reduce the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects. Maggot and earthworms have the potential as alternative protein sources for fish feed, either processed into fresh or dried into flour. The purpose of this study was to determine whether maggot flour and earthworm flour have the potential to replace fish meal as a protein source in artificial feed formulations, including the assessment of their effect on the specific growth rate (SGR), rate of relative growth (RRG), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of the Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). This study used an experimental method with a completely randomized design (CRD), 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4) and commercial feed (CF) as a comparison. O. niloticus sample specimens had an average weight of 30.14±0.02 g. The treatment applied was the use of maggot meal for the fish meal substitution, at concentrations from 5 to 20%, together with 4% earthworm meal. The treatments are F1=30% fish meal (FM)+5% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F2=25% fish meal (FM)+10% maggot meal (TM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F3=20% fish meal (FM)+15% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4=15% fish meal (FM)+20% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM). The SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values of O. niloticus can increase, while the RFC value decreases with the addition of animal protein sourced feed containing maggot flour and earthworms. In fact, the use of maggot meal and earthworm meal in the treatment F3 (20% FM+15% MM+4% EM), with 25.32 protein, produced the following effect: RRG=2.81%, SGR=1.65%, RFC=2.89%, FE=34.58 and SR=95% which was almost the same as în the use of commercial feed (CF), with 26% protein, which produced the following effect: RRG=2.87%, and SGR=1.67%, RFC=2.86%, FE=34.74 and SR=95%. The water quality in *O. niloticus* culture media (temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH (power of hydrogen), ammonia) was also found to be within the appropriate range for *O. niloticus* cultivation.

Key Words: artificial feed, fish meal, commercial feed, feed efficiency, water quality.

Introduction. Indonesia is the second largest producer of tilapia in the world, with 6.3 million tonnes produced globally in 2018. Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was widely cultivated worldwide (Suhermanto et al 2019). *O. niloticus* could be adapted to aquaculture environments, traditional, semi or intensive scale cultivation systems, has a high economic value and was not affected by the market price fluctuations, is a significant source of animal protein, and its production had experienced a significant increase in in recent years (Wang & Lu 2016).

The increasing demand for *O. niloticus* commodities was related to the intensification of aquaculture, so that it will have an impact on the need for feed, which was one of the inhibiting factors for growth. The cost of feed needs for cultured fish was around 60-70% of the total production cost, so the development of feed with sustainable local raw materials was needed, and becomes a challenge for farmers (Ngugi et al 2017; Sarker et al 2018). The main cause of the high price of fish feed was the increase of the price of feed raw materials. The main protein source of commercial fish feed still comes from imported raw materials such as fish meal. In addition, excessive use of fish meal causes the supply of fish resources to be depleted (Pucher et al 2014). In addition, there is a fairly high pressure on the sustainability of fishery resources due to the excessive use

of fish meal. As a result, a breakthrough in the quest for substitute protein sources was required to support the availability of feed that is sustainable, accessible, and must be environmentally friendly.

Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein, that have a high nutritional value, could reduce the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects (Li et al 2019a). Black soldier fly maggots or larvae have the potential to serve as an alternate source of protein for fish food, whether processed into fresh (live maggots) or dried into meal. The protein content of maggot was 42.1% (in dry weight), moisture (7.9%), fat (24.8%), ash (10.3%), and crude fiber (7%), so that it can meet the protein needs of livestock (Park 2016). The amino acid content in maggot contains arginine (2.29%), methionine (0.66%), phenylalanine (1.63%), threonine (1.70%), tryptophan (0.55), valine (2.56%), histidine (1.50%), isoleucine (1.87%), lysine (2.71%), and leucine (3.23%) and can support fish development (Djissou et al 2015).

Earthworm meal has a considerably high nutrient content, is easily digested, and contains high protein (approximately 54-66%) that is relatively similar to that in fish meal (Musyoka et al 2019). According to a research study conducted by Parolini et al (2020), earthworm meal (*Lumbricus rubellus*) contains 63.0% protein, 5.9% crude fat, 8.9% ash, and 14.76 kJ g⁻¹ of energy for metabolism. The amino acid profile found in earthworm flour was: arginine (2.83 g kg⁻¹), phenylalanine (6.26 g kg⁻¹), tryptophan (4.43 g kg⁻¹), valine (4.43 g kg⁻¹), histidine (1.47 g kg⁻¹), isoleucine (2.04 g kg⁻¹), leucine (4.11 g kg⁻¹) and lysine (6.35 g kg⁻¹).

The suitability of other animal protein sources for replacing fish meal was studied for the *O. niloticus*, with maggot (Ezewudo et al 2015), for gariepinus (Djissou et al 2016a), juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and white shrimp, with maggot and earthworm (Kroeckel et al 2012; Cummins et al 2017), for *Clarias gariepinus* (Dedeke et al 2013), *Cyprinus carpio* (Pucher et al 2014) and *Oreochromis* sp. juvenile (Jabir 2012), with earthworms. In general, substituting fish meal could reduce feed consumption while boosting growth and feed effectiveness (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). There hadn't been any investigation on the effects of mixing maggot flour with earthworm flour on the growth of *O. niloticus*, according to some earlier literature, so the current study is a novelty. This study aimed to determine the effect of using maggot flour and earthworm flour as fish meal substitution, in artificial feed formulations, on the rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of *O. niloticus*.

Material and Method

Experimental materials. The raw material for fish meal was obtained from the fish meal industry, Sari Ulam, Tegal City. Maggot and earthworms were obtained from local maggot and worm farms, Tegal agrofarm Indonesia. The manufacture of maggot meal refers to (Ahmad et al 2022) with a slight modification. A hot air oven was used to dry the maggot for around 36 hours at 60°C, then mashed using a grinder and filtered through a sieve. The manufacture of earthworm meal refers to an existing method (Parolini et al 2020), with a slight modification: steamed earthworms at 70°C for 10 min and grinded with a grinder until the particles were finer, then at 60°C in a hot air oven. The earthworm were taken out of the oven and placed in plastic bags after 10-12 h.

Experimental fish. *O. niloticus* utilized in the current research had an average weight of 30.14±0.05 g at the beginning. The number of specimens of *O. niloticus* used in the study was 100 individuals, obtained from the Fish Seed Center of Tegal City, Central Java, Indonesia. 20 test tilapia specimens were stocked as in each maintenance tarpaulin container. The completeness of the organs, physical health, size, and weight were taken into consideration when choosing the test fish. The fish were fed at satiation twice daily, at 8:00 and 16:00, to ensure that they have truly adapted to the new surroundings and the feed provided. The test fish were reared for 60 days and during the rearing the tilapia were fed according to the treatment to determine the weight growth from May to July

2022. Sampling was carried out every 10 days to determine the increase in the weight of the test fish and to adjust the weight of the feed to be given.

Diet experiment. The ingredients for the feed composition include fish meal, earthworm meal, maggot meal, corn meal, rice bran, copra meal, tapioca meal, fish oil, premix and commercial feed from PT Matahari Sakti, Surabaya, Indonesia, with 26% protein. As much as 5 to 20% of maggot flour was used as a substitute for fish meal. 4% earthworm meal was added to all 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4). The treatments had different concentrations of fish meal (FM), maggot meal (MM) and earthworm meal (EM): F1=30% fish meal (FM)+5% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F2=25% fish meal (FM)+10% maggot meal (TM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F3=20% fish meal (FM)+20% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4=15% fish meal (FM)+20% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM). Commercial feed (CF) was also used, for comparison. Previously, proximate analyses of feed components like fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal had been performed (Table 1) for determining their composition before the experimental diet was used. Table 2 presents the proximate results of the experimental feed formulation. Food, up to 5% of their body weight, was provided at a frequency of two meals per day, at 8:00 and 17:00 WIB.

Table 1

Table 2

Nutrient composition (% dry weight) in fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal

Ingredient (%)	Protein	Moisture	Ash	Fat	Fiber
Fish meal	40.43±0.09 ^c	8.67±0.01ª	16.48±0.27ª	8.41±0.01 ^b	4.40±0.12 ^b
Maggot meal	44.63±0.02 ^b	6.34 ^c ±0.06 ^b	12.53±0.03 ^b	11.50 ± 0.11^{a}	10.19±0.04ª
Earthworm meal	54.46±0.09ª	8.18 ^b ±0.02 ^c	5.61±0.03 ^c	7.43 ^c ±0.02 ^c	6.39±0.14 ^b

The number after \pm is the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Experimental diet composition in each formula

Matoriala	Feed formulation (% 100 g^{-1})					
Materials	F1	F2	F3	F4		
Fish meal	30.00	25.00	20.00	15.00		
Maggot meal	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00		
Earthworm meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Tapioca meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Bran meal	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00		
Copra meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Corn meal	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00		
Vitamin-mineral mix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Fish oil	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
Total	100	100	100	100		

F1 (30% FM, 5% MM and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Experimental containers. In this study, the container used was a plastic pool with a length, width and height of $1.2 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} \times 0.70 \text{ m}$, then the pool was filled with water until a height of 50 cm was reached. The maintenance container was equipped with an aeration and circulation system for changing water using a pipe inside of the pond. The test *O. niloticus* were stocked into the plastic pool and acclimatized first. Feed was administered progressively, the uneaten feed being removed, avoiding turbidity in the water of the test container. Water quality was maintained by siphoning the remaining feed and feces at the bottom of the container, as well as by changing the water every 7 days (25% of the initial volume was replaced at once).

Research methods. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the experimental methodology of this study, with 4 trials, and the use of commercial feed (CF) as control sample. Microsoft Excel 2013 was used to determine the mean difference between treatments: analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed in statistical data analysis to (p<0.05), followed by a Tukey test.

Research variable. Several variables were observed in this study, including the RRG and SGR (Katya et al 2017), RFC (Selvam et al 2018), FE, and SR. The formula equations applied in this study are presented as follows (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022):

Rate of relative growth (RRG) (%) = $\frac{\text{final weight-initial weight}}{\text{Fish rearing time in days-initial weight}} \times 100$

Specific growth rate= $\frac{\ln (\text{final weight-initial weight})}{\text{Fish rearing time in days}} \times 100$

 $RFC = \frac{Amount of dry feed intake}{(final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days} \times 100$

FE = $\frac{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days}}{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}} \times 100$

SR (%)= $\frac{\text{final fish count}}{\text{Initial Fish count}} \times 100$

Chemical and microbiological analysis. Protein, fat, water, ash, and fiber proximate chemical analyses were performed in accordance with the AOAC's recommended procedure (Latimer 2016). The Kjeldahl method was used for protein proximate analysis (Kusnadi et al 2022). The solution of the destroyed sample was placed in a steam distillation apparatus and three drops of phenolphthalene indicator were added before the distillation was completed. When the dripping distillate reacts neutrally to red litmus and the color of the reservoir solution changes to green, the reservoir solution is determined as a solution of the destroyed sample (pink). Fat analysis was performed using Soxhlet extraction. The amino acids profile of feed was determined using the HPLC method (Nik et al 2021) with slight modifications. 30 mg of protein hydrolyzate was placed into a tube, then 4 mL of 6 N HCl was added which has been heated at 110°C for 24 hours, cooled at room temperature, neutralized (pH=7) with 6 N NaOH, then the sample was added with distilled water to a volume of 10 mL, filtered using 0.2 µm Whatman filter paper. The tube was rinsed for 30 seconds with nitrogen gas and immediately covered with a layer of Teflon hat. The tubes were placed in an electric oven for 24 hours at 110°C for samples hydrolysis. The tubes were then cooled at room temperature for 30 minutes. Feed microbiological tests include analyzing aflatoxin contamination using the HPLC method (María et al 2022) and Salmonella, with ISO 6579-1, which were evaluated in the laboratory center for agro-based industry (Mooijman et al 2019).

Water quality parameters. Measurements of temperature (°C), pH (hydrogen power), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were performed to determine the water quality, every two days at 08.00 and 16.00 WIB, in each unit experiment. At the start, midpoint, and end of the study, UV-Vis Spectrophotometry was used to analyze the ammonia (NH₃) content.

Results. Table 3 displays the findings of the proximate and microbiological examination of feed on 4 formulations using earthworm meal and maggot meal as well as 1 commercial feed. The protein and fat content (%) for treatments F1 to F4 ranged from

23.65, 24.74, 25.32, and 26.60%, respectively. The protein content in each formula increased from F1 to F4, in line with the 5% increase of the maggot meal in each formula.

Proximate analysis	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
Protein (%)	23.65±0.02 ^d	24.74±0.02 ^c	25.32±0.03 ^b	26.66±0.03ª	26.00		
Moisture (%)	9.87±0.02ª	9.75±0.01 ^b	9.66±0.01 ^{bc}	9.55±0.02 ^c	10.00		
Ash (%)	12.76±0.03ª	12.24±0.02 ^b	11.63±0.25 ^c	10.88±0.02 ^d	12.00		
Fat (%)	5.14 ± 0.01^{d}	5.64±0.01 ^c	6.60 ± 0.02^{b}	7.66±0.01ª	5.00		
Fiber (%)	7.19 ± 0.04^{d}	7.88±0.02 ^c	8.35±0.01 ^b	8.83±0.04ª	8.00		
Energy (kcal)	3818.2	3853.7	3899.3	3936.5	3906.8		
Microbiological analysis							
Aflatoxin (µg kg ⁻¹)	6.79	6.18	4.68	3.81	3.76		
Salmonella	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative		

Proximate and microbiological analysis (% dry weight) on each feed formulation

The number after \pm was the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05). F1 (30% FM 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 lists the essential amino acid (EAA) composition of the feed formulation, as well as the necessary EAA amounts for *O. niloticus*.

Table 4

Table 3

Acom omino		Experimental diets formulation						
ASalli allillo	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF			
Histidine	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.46	0.43			
Threonin	0.94	1.00	1.08	1.30	1.07			
Arginin	2.00	2.16	2.26	2.72	2.76			
Tyrosin	1.62	2.12	2.46	2.98	2.20			
Methionin	0.2	0.22	0.24	0.3	0.37			
Valin	1.08	1.24	1.36	1.68	1.25			
Phenylalanin	0.82	0.9	0.94	1.16	1.14			
Isoleucin	0.70	0.82	0.90	1.12	0.95			
Leucin	1.70	1.86	1.96	2.36	1.99			
Lysin	1.90	1.90	2.18	2.52	2.33			

Profile of essential amino acids (EAA) in each feed treatment

F1 (30% FM 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 shows the experimental feed's amino acids profile from the formulations F1 to F4, whose pattern was consistent with the rise in the total protein content in each feed formulation.

Table 5 displays the findings from the examination of the variables RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR. The results of observations on all variables show the experimental feed the F3 formula showed almost the same results as the use of CF. Commercial feed showed the best results of RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR compared to the experimental formula, followed by the treatments F3 and F4, while the results of the treatments F2 and F1 showed lower values. Measurements and observations of water quality during the study are presented in Table 6.

Table 5

Data replication of rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of *Oreochromis niloticus*

Daramatar	Experimental diet formulation						
Parameter -	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
RRG (% day ⁻¹)	2,35±0.01 ^d	2,58±0.02 ^c	2.81±0.02 ^{ab}	2.76±0.03 ^b	2.87±0.03ª		
SGR (%)	1.46±0.02 ^b	1.56±0.01 ^b	1.65 ^c ±0.01 ^a	1.63 ^d ±0.02 ^a	1.67±0.02ª		
RFC	3.30 ± 0.01^{d}	3.07±0.02 ^c	2.89±0.03 ^a	2.98±0.02 ^b	2.86±0.01ª		
FE	30.23±0.02 ^e	32.49±0.02 ^d	34.58±0.01 ^b	33.47±0.01 ^c	34.74±0.02ª		
SR (%)	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00		

The number after \pm was the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Table 6

Water quality parameters for each experimental treatment (the intervals correspond to the values measured during the replications)

Parameters of		Treatment					
water quality	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF	range	
Temp. (℃)	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.7	27.3-28.5	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.8	28.5-30.55*	
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	5.8-6.8	5.7-6.9	5.8-6.7	5.7-7.0	5.7-6.8	>3*	
pН	6.6-7.8	6.5-7.7	6.5-7.5	6.6-7.6	6.7-7.7	6.5-8.5*	
Ammonia (mg L ⁻¹)	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.06	0.006-0.04	<0.1*	

*Boyd (1992). F1 (30% FM 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

During the study, the value of water quality parameters in the shrimp culture medium was still within the desired range, thus ensuring the growth of *O. niloticus*.

Discussion. In the results of the proximate test, the protein values from treatment F1 to F4 were 24.65 to 26.60% (Table 3). The increase in protein content in the feed formulation was influenced by the maggot meal, which had a protein content of 44.13%, higher than in fish meal 40.43%. The combination of maggot meal and earthworm meal in F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM) showed the lowest protein level. The microbological examination of aflatoxin contamination in all formulations revealed that aflatoxin levels decreased with an increased usage of maggot mealdue to its antimicrobial and antifungal activity, reducing the microbial contamination in feed formulations (Valachova et al 2014; Pöppel et al 2015). The results of aflatoxin content for all feed formulas were still relatively safe for fish consumption, because they were still below the threshold, which was less than 20 μ g kg⁻¹ and also do not contain salmonella bacteria.

Maggot and earthworms were good sources of animal protein for *O. niloticus* because they contain essential amino acids required for the fish growth. Protein requirements for fish growth vary: the younger the fish, the greater the protein needs. Nguyen et al (2020a) stated in his research that the need and balance of feed protein was 24-30%, for *O. niloticus* measuring 7.90 g, for its growth, up to 10 weeks. Another study stated that the protein requirement of feed for juvenile *O. niloticus* measuring 12.7 g in an eight-week period was 22.2% to 29.7%, for proteins sourced from soybean meal, fish meal, and corn meal (Nguyen et al 2020b). Based on the research report presented, the range of protein used for *O. niloticus* growth is between 22.2 to 30%, so that alternative feed products in this study which have a protein content of 23.65 to 26.66% can be used as a reference for *O. niloticus* cultivation. Amino acid content in the treatments F4 and F3 with ratios FM/MM/EM of 15:20:4(%), respectively and 20:15:4(%), respectively, were sistematically higher, while the treatment F1, with a ratio(FM)/(MM)/(EM) of 30:5:4(%) was almost always the lowest (Table 3). This suggests that the value of its amino acids content could be improved by adding natural

feed sources of maggot meal to the feed combination, in amounts ranging from 5% to 20%. Table 4 shows that there are nine essential amino acids out of ten that are required to be present in the five types of feed, namely arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, threonine, valine, methionine, and phenylalanine. Lysine is an essential amino acid that can serve to evaluate the feed effects on the fish growth (Wu et al 2022). This is because the amino acid lysine in the animal body explicitly contributes to the fish growth and protein deposits in the tissues, since it has no other metabolic roles (Li et al 2019b; Marchão et al 2020). The requirement for the amino acid lysine in the growth phase of lysine tilapia can reach 1.55% (Diógenes et al 2016). The values of amino acid levels, determined in the formulations F1 through F4 and commercial feed (CF) content, are larger than this threshold value, i.e.: 1.90% in F1, 1.90% in F2, 2.18% in F3, 2.52% F4, and2.33% in CF. This shows that the requirement for the amino acid lysine for *O. niloticus* is fully met. Diets with an unbalanced amino acid profile can lead to less food intake and less effective utilization of essential amino acids (Prabu et al 2020).

The results of feed treatment on formula F3, F4 and commercial feed (CF) with 25.32, 26.66 and 26% protein, respectively, for O. niloticus resulted in RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR values which were better than in the experimental feed treatments F1, F2, and F4. It was anticipated that the inclusion of earthworm meal and maggot meal in experimental feeds F3 and F4 will provide O. niloticus with a rich source of essential amino acids. The analysis findings revealed that CF treatment caused the highest RRG and SGR, of 2.87 and 1.67%, respectively, followed By F3, with RRG (2.81%) and SGR (1.65%). There were differences among the RRG and SGR values for all formulations, although for CF and F3 they were not statistically significant (p>0.05). The ratio of feed nutrients (protein, fat, and fiber) affected relative and specific growth rates to promote fish growth (Eriegha & Ekokotu 2017). Growth happens when there was extra metabolic energy left over after it had been consumed for bodily upkeep and activities. The majority of the feed consumed was used for growth after first being used to maintain the body and replace damaged cells (Adeniyi et al 2020). Increased feed protein does not always lead to increased growth. Increasing feed protein without being followed by a balance with non-protein energy sources will cause protein to be used as an energy source (Samuelsen et al 2022).

The substitution of fish meal with 15% maggot flour in the F3 feed formula (25.33% protein) resulted in a higher RRG and SGR, than in F4 feed with 20% substitution (26.66% protein). This was presumably because the nutritional content of the feed used for growth was sufficient. This was also in accordance with the study of Rachmawati & Samidjan (2013), which suggested that the substitution of fish meal with maggot flour with 25.13% protein could provide more optimal growth, compared to protein levels of 26.94 and 27.79% respectively. If their protein requirements are met, fish can grow well if their food intake is appropriate. The amount of protein in the meal has an impact on *O. niloticus* growth rates (Ngugi et al 2017). Due to the consumption of protein from bodily tissues to maintain important processes, a lack of protein in the diet could resulted in stunted growth, followed by weight loss. The quality of the protein, the energy content of the feed, the nutritional balance, and the level of feeding all had a significant impact on how well the fish used the protein for growth (Samuelsen et al 2022). If the amount of protein consumed from the feed was too high, only a portion of it would be absorbed and used for cell formation and repair, and the remainder would be expelled (Prangnell et al 2022). High protein intake had the effect of increasing the amount of energy needed for protein catabolism, which results in nitrogen being excreted in the form of ammonia through the kidneys. This was due to fish's limited ability to store protein (Silva et al 2022).

The findings of assessing the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) in F1 to F4 and CF treatments in *O. niloticus* for 60 days likewise revealed significant variations between treatments (p<0.05). The RFC values in the F3 and CF treatments also recorded the smallest values, which were not significantly different (p>0.05), compared to the CF, i.e. 2.89 and 2.86, respectively. Table 5 shows that the F1 treatment had the highest RFC value, of 3.30, while the lowest was observed in the F4 treatment: 2.89. Therefore, it could be seen that the feed that has the highest utilization efficiency was observed in the

F3 treatment (15% maggot meal instead of fish meal), namely 2.89. The higher the use of MM (20%) in the formulation, the higher the value of the RFC (3.30). This was most likely owing to the chitin content in maggot meal, which reduced the ability of *O. niloticus* to digest feed when fish meal was substituted more frequently. Because chitin was crystalline and soluble only in strong acid solutions, the body was unable to properly digest it (Cummins et al 2017). The quality of the water and the feed utilized had an impact on the RFC value, which was also strongly connected with the fish diet (Rachmawati & Samidjan 2019). The smaller the RFC value, the better the level of feed efficiency (FE), and vice versa. Compared to the control treatment, the use of feed was smaller, which can be seen from the fish's low appetite (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). Suhermanto et al (2019) stated that *O. niloticus* had an omnivorous nature, so that aquaculture was efficient with low feed costs. The higher the quality of the feed delivered, the lower the RFC value (Selvam et al 2018). Meanwhile, if the feed conversion value was high, it means that the quality of the feed provided was not good.

Table 5 demonstrates that *O. niloticus*'s survival value differed when fish food was substituted with maggot meal and earthworm meal. The mean SR in *O. niloticus* cultivation in the F2, F3, and CF treatments was 95%, while in the F1 and F4 treatments were 90%. The results of observations during the study showed that tilapia fed the test feed (using 5, 10, 15, and 20% of maggot meal instead of fish meal) and 4% earthworm meal had a higher survival value. The high survival value was suspected to be also related to the water quality parameters during the maintenance, that were still within the limits of optimum conditions for cultivation purposes so that it was feasible for the survival of tilapia (Diógenes et al 2016). The survival rate was affected by both internal and external parameters, such as water quality, stocking density, amount of complete amino acids in feed, and gender, heredity, age, reproduction, and disease resistance (Obirikorang et al 2022).

According to Djissou et al (2016a), growth performance and survival rate of *O. niloticus* were influenced by feed and water quality. the constraints faced in aquaculture were mainly the quality seeds, water quality management, feed management, and fish pest management. Provision of quality feed with the required quantity, use of seeds and professional cultivation management were factors that support the success of cultivation (Djissou et al 2016b). the measurement of the water quality parameters showed that the dissolved oxygen (DO) level in *O. niloticus* water was between 5.8 and 6.9 mg L⁻¹, still good for fish maintenance and survival. The temperature measurements showed values of 27.2-28.8°C. It was stated by Rachmawati & Samidjan (2019) that *O. niloticus*'s tolerance is within a temperature range of 25-30°C, to stay in a comfort zone. Tilapia can survive in a low oxygen content of up to 3 mg L⁻¹, but a suitable oxygen concentration rangefor cultivation is between 5-7 mg L⁻¹ and the levels of ammonia (NH₃) should be <0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Conclusions. According to the study's findings, replacing fish meal with a protein source consisting of 4% earthworm meal and 15% maggot meal could raise the *O. niloticus*'s SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values, while decreasing the RFC. The use of maggot meal and earthworm meal on F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32 protein resulted in: RRG=2.81%, SGR=1.65%, RFC=2.89, FE=34.58 and SR=95%, which was almost the same as for the CF, with 26% protein, which produces an RRG of 2.87%, an SGR of 1.67%, an RFC of 2.86, an FE of 34.74 and an SR of 95%. Using earthworm meal and maggot meal as sources of protein can help minimizing the reliance on fish meal in fish feed.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank tto he Educational Fund Management Institutions (LPDP) and also to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology Director General of Vocational Indonesia in 2021, who had provided financial assistance during the research.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adeniyi O. V., Olaifa F. E., Emikpe B. O., 2020 Effects of dietary Tamarind pulp extract on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology, and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–21.
- Ahmad I., Ullah M., Alkafafy M., Ahmed N., Mahmoud S. F., Sohail K., Ullah H., Ghoneem W. M., Ahmed M. M., Sayed S., 2022 Identification of the Economics, composition, and supplementation of maggot meal in broiler production. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29(6):103277.
- Boyd C. E., 1992 Water quality management for pond fish culture. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, New York, 318 p.
- Cummins V. C., Rawles S. D, Thompson K. R., Velasquez A., Kobayashi Y., Hager J., Webster C. D., 2017 Evaluation of black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae meal as partial or total replacement of marine fish meal in practical diets for pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture 473:337–344.
- Dedeke G., Owa S., Olurin K., Akinfe A., Awotedu O., 2013 Partial replacement of fish meal by earthworm meal (*Libyodrilus violaceus*) in diets for African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture 5(9):229–233.
- Diógenes A. F., Fernandes J. B. K., Dorigam J. C. P., Sakomura N. K., Rodrigues F. H. F., Lima B. T. M., Gonçalves F. H., 2016 Establishing the optimal essential amino acid ratios in juveniles of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) by the deletion method. Aquaculture Nutrition 22(2):435–443.
- Djissou A. S. M., Tossavi E. C., Vodounnou J. D, Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E. D., 2015 Valorization of agro-alimentary waste for a production of maggots like source of proteins in the animal feeds. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research 7(6):42–46.
- Djissou A. S. M., Adjahouinou D. C., Koshio S., Fiogbe E. D., 2016a Complete replacement of fish meal by other animal protein sources on growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. International Aquatic Research 8(4):333–341.
- Djissou A. S. M., Vodounnou J. V., Tossavi C. E., Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E. D., 2016b Complete replacement of fish meal by unconventional proteins sources in diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (L., 1758) fingerlings: growth performance, feed utilization and body composition. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 4(5):242–47.
- Eriegha O. J., Ekokotu P. A., 2017 Factors affecting feed intake in cultured fish species: a review. Animal Research International 14(2):2697–2709.
- Ezewudo B. I., Monebi C. O., Ugwumba A. A. A., 2015 Production and utilization of musca domestica maggots in the diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fingerlings. African Journal of Agricultural Research 10(23):2363–2371.
- Jabir M. D. A. R., 2012 Nutritive potential and utilization of super worm (*Zophobas morio*) meal in the diet of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) juvenile. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(24):6592–6598.
- Katya K., Borsra M. Z. S., Ganesan D., Kuppusamy G., Herriman M., Salter A., Ali S. A., 2017 Efficacy of insect larval meal to replace fish meal in juvenile barramundi, lates calcarifer reared in freshwater. International Aquatic Research 9(4):303–312.
- Kroeckel S., Harjes A. G. E., Roth I., Katz H., Wuertz S., Susenbeth A., Schulz C. 2012 When a Turbot catches a fly: evaluation of a pre-pupae meal of the Black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) as fish meal substitute-growth performance and chitin degradation in juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*). Aquaculture 364–365:345–352.
- Kusnadi, Riyanta A. B., Febriyanti R., 2022 The effect of chitosan addition on physicochemical properties, proximate and antioxidant of functional drinks of red and purple roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) extracts. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1041(1):1-7.
- Latimer Jr. G. W., 2016 Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, 3172 p.
- Li X., Rahimnejad S., Wang L., Lu K., Song K., Zhang C., 2019a Substituting fish meal

with housefly (*Musca domestica*) maggot meal in diets for Bullfrog rana (*Lithobates catesbeiana*): effects on growth, digestive enzymes activity, antioxidant capacity and gut health. Aquaculture 499:295–305.

- Li X., Wu X., Dong Y., Gao Y., Yao W., Zhou Z., 2019b Effects of dietary lysine levels on growth, feed utilization and related gene expression of juvenile hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* $\mathfrak{P} \times Epinephelus$ *lanceolatus* \mathfrak{I}). Aquaculture 502:153–61.
- Marchão S. R., Ribeiro F. B., Siqueira J. C. D., Bomfim M. A. D., Silva J. S., Sousa T. J. R. D., Nascimento D. C. N. D, Sousa M. D. C., 2020 Digestible lysine requirement for tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*) juveniles using the diet dilution technique. Aquaculture Reports 18:1-7.
- María R. A., Aida G., Myriam G., Nora M., Arminda M., 2022 Determination of uncertainty in the measurement of aflatoxins b1 in Pistachio nuts by the HPLC-FLD method. Journal of Chromatography Open 2:1-6.
- Mooijman K. A., Pielaat A., Kuijpers A. F. A., 2019 Validation of EN ISO 6579-1 microbiology of the food chain - horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of Salmonella - part 1 detection of *Salmonella* spp. International Journal of Food Microbiology 288:3–12.
- Musyoka S. N., Liti D. M., Ogello. E., Waidbacher H., 2019 Utilization of the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an alternative protein source in fish feeds processing: a review. Aquaculture Research 50(9):1–15.
- Ngugi C. C., Oyoo-Okoth E., Manyala J. O., Fitzsimmons K., Kimotho A., 2017 Characterization of the nutritional quality of amaranth leaf protein concentrates and suitability of fish meal replacement in Nile tilapia feeds. Aquaculture Reports 5:62– 69.
- Nguyen L., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020a Efficacy of reduced protein diets and the effects of indispensable amino acid supplements for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Animal Feed Science and Technology 268:114593.
- Nguyen L., Salem S. M. R., Salze G. P., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020b Optimizing amino acid balance in diets for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Aquaculture 515:734566.
- Nik S. N. N., Mustafa S., Suyono, Shapawi R., 2021 Efficient utilization of poultry byproduct meal-based diets when fed to giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 33(1):53–72.
- Obirikorang K. A., Yeboah E. A., Gyampoh B. A., Amanie-Adjei S. K., 2022 Effect of road conditions on physiological stress responses and post-transportation growth and survival of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):180–196.
- Park H. H., 2016 Black soldier fly larvae manual. Student Showcase, University of Massachusetts Amherst 14:1–13.
- Parolini M., Ganzaroli A., Bacenetti J., 2020 Earthworm as an alternative protein source in poultry and fish farming: current applications and future perspectives. Science of the Total Environment 734:1–25.
- Pöppel A. K., Vogel H., Wiesner J., Vilcinskas A., 2015 Antimicrobial peptides expressed in medicinal maggots of the Blow fly *Lucilia sericata* show combinatorial activity against bacteria. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59(5):2508–2514.
- Prabu D. L., Ebeneezar S., Chandrasekar S., Tejpal C. S., Kavitha M., Sayooj P., Vijayagopal P., 2020 Influence of graded level of dietary protein with equated level of limiting amino acids on growth, feed utilization, body indices and nutritive profile of *Snubnose pompano*, *Trachinotus blochii* (Lacepede, 1801) reared in low saline water. Animal Feed Science and Technology 269:1-14.
- Prangnell D. I., Castro L. F., Ali A. S., Browdy C. L., Samocha T. M., 2022 The performance of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed commercial diets of differing protein content, in a super-intensive biofloc-dominated system. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–22.
- Pucher J., Ngoc T. N., Thihanhyen T., Mayrhofer R., El-Matbouli M., Focken U., 2014 Earthworm meal as fishmeal replacement in plant based feeds for common carp in semi-intensive aquaculture in rural northern Vietnam. Turkish Journal of Fisheries

and Aquatic Sciences 14(2):557–565.

- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2013 [The effect of substitution of fish meal with maggot meal in artificial feed for growth and survival rate of catfish]. Jurnal Saintek Perikanan 9(1):62-67–67. [In Indonesian].
- Rachmawati D., Nurhayati D., 2022 Effect of fish meal replacement with earthworm and maggot meals on feed utilization and growth of Banana shrimp (*Penaeus merguiensis*). AACL Bioflux 15(3):1470–1478.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2019 The effects of chicken feather silage substitution for fish meal in the diet on growth of Saline tilapia fingerlings (*Oreochromis niloticus*). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 246(1).1-8.
- Samuelsen T. A., Haustveit G., Kousoulaki K., 2022 The use of tunicate (*Ciona intestinalis*) as a sustainable protein source in fish feed effects on the extrusion process, physical pellet quality and microstructure. Animal Feed Science and Technology 284:1-13.
- Sarker P. K., Kapuscinski A. R., Bae A. Y., Donaldson E., Sitek A. J., Fitzgerald D. S., Edelson O. F., 2018 Towards sustainable aquafeeds: evaluating substitution of fishmeal with lipid-extracted microalgal co-product (*Nannochloropsis oculata*) in diets of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). PLoS ONE 13(7):1–25.
- Selvam R., Saravanakumar M., Suresh S., Chandrasekeran C. V., Prashanth D., 2018 Evaluation of polyherbal formulation and synthetic choline chloride on choline deficiency model in broilers: implications on zootechnical parameters, serum biochemistry and liver histopathology. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 31(11):1795–1806.
- Silva V. C. D., Adorian T. J., Goulart F. R., Lovatto N. D. M., Bender A. B. B., Speroni C. S., Silva L. P. D., 2022 Rice ethanol distillery residue as a protein source in the diet of Silver catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):97–111.
- Suhermanto A., Sukenda S., Zairin M., Lusiastuti A. M., Nuryati S., 2019 Characterization of Streptococcus agalactiae bacterium isolated from tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture in Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(3):756–66.
- Valachova I., Prochazka E., Bohova J., Novak P., Takac P., Majtan J., 2014 Antibacterial properties of lucifensin in *Lucilia sericata* maggots after septic injury. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 4(5):358–361.
- Wang M., Lu M., 2016 Tilapia polyculture: a global review. Aquaculture Research 47(8):1-12.
- Wu Y. Y, Dai Y. J., Xiao K., Wang X., Wang M. M., Huang Y. Y., Guo H. X., Li X. F., Jiang G. Z., Liu W. B., 2022 Effects of different dietary ratio lysine and arginine on growth, muscle fiber development and meat quality of *Megalobrama amblycephala*. Aquaculture Reports 26:1-9.

Received: 23 August 2022. Accepted: 18 October 2022. Published online: 28 October 2022. Authors:

Kusnadi, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: kusnadi.adi87@gmail.com

Sari Prabandari, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: sariprabandari.sp@gmail.com

Syarifudin, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: masudinsyarif88@gmail.com

Suyono, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia, e-mail: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Kusnadi, Prabandari S., Syarifudin, Suyono, 2022 Potential of maggot and earthworm meals as protein sources for the growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). AACL Bioflux 15(5):2609-2619.



Potential of maggot and earthworm meals as protein sources for the growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)

¹Kusnadi, ¹Sari Prabandari, ²Syarifudin, ³Suyono

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia; ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia; ³ Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia. Corresponding author: Suyono, suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

Abstract. Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein that have a high nutritional value, could reduce the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects. Maggot and earthworms have the potential as alternative protein sources for fish feed, either processed into fresh or dried into flour. The purpose of this study was to determine whether maggot flour and earthworm flour have the potential to replace fish meal as a protein source in artificial feed formulations, including the assessment of their effect on the specific growth rate (SGR), rate of relative growth (RRG), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of the Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). This study used an experimental method with a completely randomized design (CRD), 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4) and commercial feed (CF) as a comparison. O. niloticus sample specimens had an average weight of 30.14±0.02 g. The treatment applied was the use of maggot meal for the fish meal substitution, at concentrations from 5 to 20%, together with 4% earthworm meal. The treatments are F1=30% fish meal (FM)+5% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F2=25% fish meal (FM)+10% maggot meal (TM)+4% earthworm meal (ÉM), F3=20% fish meal (FM)+15% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4=15% fish meal (FM)+20% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM). The SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values of O. niloticus can increase, while the RFC value decreases with the addition of animal protein sourced feed containing maggot flour and earthworms. In fact, the use of maggot meal and earthworm meal in the treatment F3 (20% FM+15% MM+4% EM), with 25.32 protein, produced the following effect: RRG=2.81%, SGR=1.65%, RFC=2.89%, FE=34.58 and SR=95% which was almost the same as în the use of commercial feed (CF), with 26% protein, which produced the following effect: RRG=2.87%, and SGR=1.67%, RFC=2.86%, FE=34.74 and SR=95%. The water quality in *O. niloticus* culture media (temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH (power of hydrogen), ammonia) was also found to be within the appropriate range for *O. niloticus* cultivation.

Key Words: artificial feed, fish meal, commercial feed, feed efficiency, water quality.

Introduction. Indonesia is the second largest producer of tilapia in the world, with 6.3 million tonnes produced globally in 2018. Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was widely cultivated worldwide (Suhermanto et al 2019). *O. niloticus* could be adapted to aquaculture environments, traditional, semi or intensive scale cultivation systems, has a high economic value and was not affected by the market price fluctuations, is a significant source of animal protein, and its production had experienced a significant increase in in recent years (Wang & Lu 2016).

The increasing demand for *O. niloticus* commodities was related to the intensification of aquaculture, so that it will have an impact on the need for feed, which was one of the inhibiting factors for growth. The cost of feed needs for cultured fish was around 60-70% of the total production cost, so the development of feed with sustainable local raw materials was needed, and becomes a challenge for farmers (Ngugi et al 2017; Sarker et al 2018). The main cause of the high price of fish feed was the increase of the price of feed raw materials. The main protein source of commercial fish feed still comes from imported raw materials such as fish meal. In addition, excessive use of fish meal causes the supply of fish resources to be depleted (Pucher et al 2014). In addition, there is a fairly high pressure on the sustainability of fishery resources due to the excessive use

of fish meal. As a result, a breakthrough in the quest for substitute protein sources was required to support the availability of feed that is sustainable, accessible, and must be environmentally friendly.

Maggots and earthworms are sources of animal protein, that have a high nutritional value, could reduce the need for fish meal, and have positive ecological effects (Li et al 2019a). Black soldier fly maggots or larvae have the potential to serve as an alternate source of protein for fish food, whether processed into fresh (live maggots) or dried into meal. The protein content of maggot was 42.1% (in dry weight), moisture (7.9%), fat (24.8%), ash (10.3%), and crude fiber (7%), so that it can meet the protein needs of livestock (Park 2016). The amino acid content in maggot contains arginine (2.29%), methionine (0.66%), phenylalanine (1.63%), threonine (1.70%), tryptophan (0.55), valine (2.56%), histidine (1.50%), isoleucine (1.87%), lysine (2.71%), and leucine (3.23%) and can support fish development (Djissou et al 2015).

Earthworm meal has a considerably high nutrient content, is easily digested, and contains high protein (approximately 54-66%) that is relatively similar to that in fish meal (Musyoka et al 2019). According to a research study conducted by Parolini et al (2020), earthworm meal (*Lumbricus rubellus*) contains 63.0% protein, 5.9% crude fat, 8.9% ash, and 14.76 kJ g⁻¹ of energy for metabolism. The amino acid profile found in earthworm flour was: arginine (2.83 g kg⁻¹), phenylalanine (6.26 g kg⁻¹), tryptophan (4.43 g kg⁻¹), valine (4.43 g kg⁻¹), histidine (1.47 g kg⁻¹), isoleucine (2.04 g kg⁻¹), leucine (4.11 g kg⁻¹) and lysine (6.35 g kg⁻¹).

The suitability of other animal protein sources for replacing fish meal was studied for the *O. niloticus*, with maggot (Ezewudo et al 2015), for gariepinus (Djissou et al 2016a), juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and white shrimp, with maggot and earthworm (Kroeckel et al 2012; Cummins et al 2017), for *Clarias gariepinus* (Dedeke et al 2013), *Cyprinus carpio* (Pucher et al 2014) and *Oreochromis* sp. juvenile (Jabir 2012), with earthworms. In general, substituting fish meal could reduce feed consumption while boosting growth and feed effectiveness (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). There hadn't been any investigation on the effects of mixing maggot flour with earthworm flour on the growth of *O. niloticus*, according to some earlier literature, so the current study is a novelty. This study aimed to determine the effect of using maggot flour and earthworm flour as fish meal substitution, in artificial feed formulations, on the rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of *O. niloticus*.

Material and Method

Experimental materials. The raw material for fish meal was obtained from the fish meal industry, Sari Ulam, Tegal City. Maggot and earthworms were obtained from local maggot and worm farms, Tegal agrofarm Indonesia. The manufacture of maggot meal refers to (Ahmad et al 2022) with a slight modification. A hot air oven was used to dry the maggot for around 36 hours at 60°C, then mashed using a grinder and filtered through a sieve. The manufacture of earthworm meal refers to an existing method (Parolini et al 2020), with a slight modification: steamed earthworms at 70°C for 10 min and grinded with a grinder until the particles were finer, then at 60°C in a hot air oven. The earthworm were taken out of the oven and placed in plastic bags after 10-12 h.

Experimental fish. *O. niloticus* utilized in the current research had an average weight of 30.14±0.05 g at the beginning. The number of specimens of *O. niloticus* used in the study was 100 individuals, obtained from the Fish Seed Center of Tegal City, Central Java, Indonesia. 20 test tilapia specimens were stocked as in each maintenance tarpaulin container. The completeness of the organs, physical health, size, and weight were taken into consideration when choosing the test fish. The fish were fed at satiation twice daily, at 8:00 and 16:00, to ensure that they have truly adapted to the new surroundings and the feed provided. The test fish were reared for 60 days and during the rearing the tilapia were fed according to the treatment to determine the weight growth from May to July

2022. Sampling was carried out every 10 days to determine the increase in the weight of the test fish and to adjust the weight of the feed to be given.

Diet experiment. The ingredients for the feed composition include fish meal, earthworm meal, maggot meal, corn meal, rice bran, copra meal, tapioca meal, fish oil, premix and commercial feed from PT Matahari Sakti, Surabaya, Indonesia, with 26% protein. As much as 5 to 20% of maggot flour was used as a substitute for fish meal. 4% earthworm meal was added to all 4 treatments (F1, F2, F3, and F4). The treatments had different concentrations of fish meal (FM), maggot meal (MM) and earthworm meal (EM): F1=30% fish meal (FM)+5% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F2=25% fish meal (FM)+10% maggot meal (TM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), F3=20% fish meal (FM)+20% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM), and F4=15% fish meal (FM)+20% maggot meal (MM)+4% earthworm meal (EM). Commercial feed (CF) was also used, for comparison. Previously, proximate analyses of feed components like fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal had been performed (Table 1) for determining their composition before the experimental diet was used. Table 2 presents the proximate results of the experimental feed formulation. Food, up to 5% of their body weight, was provided at a frequency of two meals per day, at 8:00 and 17:00 WIB.

Table 1

Table 2

Nutrient composition (% dry weight) in fish meal, maggot meal, and earthworm meal

Ingredient (%)	Protein	Moisture	Ash	Fat	Fiber
Fish meal	40.43±0.09 ^c	8.67±0.01ª	16.48±0.27ª	8.41±0.01 ^b	4.40±0.12 ^b
Maggot meal	44.63±0.02 ^b	6.34 ^c ±0.06 ^b	12.53±0.03 ^b	11.50 ± 0.11^{a}	10.19±0.04ª
Earthworm meal	54.46±0.09ª	8.18 ^b ±0.02 ^c	5.61±0.03 ^c	7.43 ^c ±0.02 ^c	6.39±0.14 ^b

The number after \pm is the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Experimental diet composition in each formula

Matoriala	Feed formulation (% 100 g^{-1})					
Materials	F1	F2	F3	F4		
Fish meal	30.00	25.00	20.00	15.00		
Maggot meal	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00		
Earthworm meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Tapioca meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Bran meal	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00		
Copra meal	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00		
Corn meal	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00		
Vitamin-mineral mix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Fish oil	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
Total	100	100	100	100		

F1 (30% FM, 5% MM and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Experimental containers. In this study, the container used was a plastic pool with a length, width and height of $1.2 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} \times 0.70 \text{ m}$, then the pool was filled with water until a height of 50 cm was reached. The maintenance container was equipped with an aeration and circulation system for changing water using a pipe inside of the pond. The test *O. niloticus* were stocked into the plastic pool and acclimatized first. Feed was administered progressively, the uneaten feed being removed, avoiding turbidity in the water of the test container. Water quality was maintained by siphoning the remaining feed and feces at the bottom of the container, as well as by changing the water every 7 days (25% of the initial volume was replaced at once).

Research methods. Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in the experimental methodology of this study, with 4 trials, and the use of commercial feed (CF) as control sample. Microsoft Excel 2013 was used to determine the mean difference between treatments: analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed in statistical data analysis to (p<0.05), followed by a Tukey test.

Research variable. Several variables were observed in this study, including the RRG and SGR (Katya et al 2017), RFC (Selvam et al 2018), FE, and SR. The formula equations applied in this study are presented as follows (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022):

Rate of relative growth (RRG) (%) = $\frac{\text{final weight-initial weight}}{\text{Fish rearing time in days-initial weight}} \times 100$

Specific growth rate= $\frac{\ln (\text{final weight-initial weight})}{\text{Fish rearing time in days}} \times 100$

 $RFC = \frac{Amount of dry feed intake}{(final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days} \times 100$

FE = $\frac{(\text{final weight in days+dead fish weight in days)- initial weight in days}}{\text{Amount of dry feed intake}} \times 100$

SR (%)= $\frac{\text{final fish count}}{\text{Initial Fish count}} \times 100$

Chemical and microbiological analysis. Protein, fat, water, ash, and fiber proximate chemical analyses were performed in accordance with the AOAC's recommended procedure (Latimer 2016). The Kjeldahl method was used for protein proximate analysis (Kusnadi et al 2022). The solution of the destroyed sample was placed in a steam distillation apparatus and three drops of phenolphthalene indicator were added before the distillation was completed. When the dripping distillate reacts neutrally to red litmus and the color of the reservoir solution changes to green, the reservoir solution is determined as a solution of the destroyed sample (pink). Fat analysis was performed using Soxhlet extraction. The amino acids profile of feed was determined using the HPLC method (Nik et al 2021) with slight modifications. 30 mg of protein hydrolyzate was placed into a tube, then 4 mL of 6 N HCl was added which has been heated at 110°C for 24 hours, cooled at room temperature, neutralized (pH=7) with 6 N NaOH, then the sample was added with distilled water to a volume of 10 mL, filtered using 0.2 µm Whatman filter paper. The tube was rinsed for 30 seconds with nitrogen gas and immediately covered with a layer of Teflon hat. The tubes were placed in an electric oven for 24 hours at 110°C for samples hydrolysis. The tubes were then cooled at room temperature for 30 minutes. Feed microbiological tests include analyzing aflatoxin contamination using the HPLC method (María et al 2022) and Salmonella, with ISO 6579-1, which were evaluated in the laboratory center for agro-based industry (Mooijman et al 2019).

Water quality parameters. Measurements of temperature (°C), pH (hydrogen power), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were performed to determine the water quality, every two days at 08.00 and 16.00 WIB, in each unit experiment. At the start, midpoint, and end of the study, UV-Vis Spectrophotometry was used to analyze the ammonia (NH₃) content.

Results. Table 3 displays the findings of the proximate and microbiological examination of feed on 4 formulations using earthworm meal and maggot meal as well as 1 commercial feed. The protein and fat content (%) for treatments F1 to F4 ranged from

23.65, 24.74, 25.32, and 26.60%, respectively. The protein content in each formula increased from F1 to F4, in line with the 5% increase of the maggot meal in each formula.

Proximate analysis	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
Protein (%)	23.65±0.02 ^d	24.74±0.02 ^c	25.32±0.03 ^b	26.66±0.03ª	26.00		
Moisture (%)	9.87±0.02ª	9.75±0.01 ^b	9.66±0.01 ^{bc}	9.55±0.02 ^c	10.00		
Ash (%)	12.76±0.03ª	12.24±0.02 ^b	11.63±0.25 ^c	10.88±0.02 ^d	12.00		
Fat (%)	5.14 ± 0.01^{d}	5.64±0.01 ^c	6.60 ± 0.02^{b}	7.66±0.01ª	5.00		
Fiber (%)	7.19 ± 0.04^{d}	7.88±0.02 ^c	8.35±0.01 ^b	8.83±0.04ª	8.00		
Energy (kcal)	3818.2	3853.7	3899.3	3936.5	3906.8		
Microbiological analysis							
Aflatoxin (µg kg ⁻¹)	6.79	6.18	4.68	3.81	3.76		
Salmonella	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative		

Proximate and microbiological analysis (% dry weight) on each feed formulation

The number after \pm was the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05). F1 (30% FM 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 lists the essential amino acid (EAA) composition of the feed formulation, as well as the necessary EAA amounts for *O. niloticus*.

Table 4

Table 3

Acom omino		Experimental diets formulation						
ASalli allillo	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF			
Histidine	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.46	0.43			
Threonin	0.94	1.00	1.08	1.30	1.07			
Arginin	2.00	2.16	2.26	2.72	2.76			
Tyrosin	1.62	2.12	2.46	2.98	2.20			
Methionin	0.2	0.22	0.24	0.3	0.37			
Valin	1.08	1.24	1.36	1.68	1.25			
Phenylalanin	0.82	0.9	0.94	1.16	1.14			
Isoleucin	0.70	0.82	0.90	1.12	0.95			
Leucin	1.70	1.86	1.96	2.36	1.99			
Lysin	1.90	1.90	2.18	2.52	2.33			

Profile of essential amino acids (EAA) in each feed treatment

F1 (30% FM 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

Table 4 shows the experimental feed's amino acids profile from the formulations F1 to F4, whose pattern was consistent with the rise in the total protein content in each feed formulation.

Table 5 displays the findings from the examination of the variables RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR. The results of observations on all variables show the experimental feed the F3 formula showed almost the same results as the use of CF. Commercial feed showed the best results of RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR compared to the experimental formula, followed by the treatments F3 and F4, while the results of the treatments F2 and F1 showed lower values. Measurements and observations of water quality during the study are presented in Table 6.

Table 5

Data replication of rate of relative growth (RRG), specific growth rate (SGR), ratio of feed conversion (RFC), feed efficiency (FE), and survival rate (SR) of *Oreochromis niloticus*

Daramatar	Experimental diet formulation						
Parameter -	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF		
RRG (% day ⁻¹)	2,35±0.01 ^d	2,58±0.02 ^c	2.81±0.02 ^{ab}	2.76±0.03 ^b	2.87±0.03ª		
SGR (%)	1.46±0.02 ^b	1.56±0.01 ^b	1.65 ^c ±0.01 ^a	1.63 ^d ±0.02 ^a	1.67±0.02ª		
RFC	3.30 ± 0.01^{d}	3.07±0.02 ^c	2.89±0.03 ^a	2.98±0.02 ^b	2.86±0.01ª		
FE	30.23±0.02 ^e	32.49±0.02 ^d	34.58±0.01 ^b	33.47±0.01 ^c	34.74±0.02ª		
SR (%)	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	95.00±0.00	90.00±0.00	95.00±0.00		

The number after \pm was the standard error value; different superscript letters on the same line indicate significantly unequal treatment effects (P<0.05).

Table 6

Water quality parameters for each experimental treatment (the intervals correspond to the values measured during the replications)

Parameters of		Treatment					
water quality	F1	F2	F3	F4	CF	range	
Temp. (℃)	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.7	27.3-28.5	27.2-28.6	27.2-28.8	28.5-30.55*	
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	5.8-6.8	5.7-6.9	5.8-6.7	5.7-7.0	5.7-6.8	>3*	
pН	6.6-7.8	6.5-7.7	6.5-7.5	6.6-7.6	6.7-7.7	6.5-8.5*	
Ammonia (mg L ⁻¹)	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.02	0.006-0.06	0.006-0.04	<0.1*	

*Boyd (1992). F1 (30% FM 5% MM, and 4% EM); F2 (25% FM, 10% MM and 4% EM); F3 (20% FM, 15% MM and 4% EM); F4 (15% FM, 20% MM and 4% EM) and CF (Commercial feed).

During the study, the value of water quality parameters in the shrimp culture medium was still within the desired range, thus ensuring the growth of *O. niloticus*.

Discussion. In the results of the proximate test, the protein values from treatment F1 to F4 were 24.65 to 26.60% (Table 3). The increase in protein content in the feed formulation was influenced by the maggot meal, which had a protein content of 44.13%, higher than in fish meal 40.43%. The combination of maggot meal and earthworm meal in F1 (30% FM and 5% MM, 4% EM) showed the lowest protein level. The microbological examination of aflatoxin contamination in all formulations revealed that aflatoxin levels decreased with an increased usage of maggot mealdue to its antimicrobial and antifungal activity, reducing the microbial contamination in feed formulations (Valachova et al 2014; Pöppel et al 2015). The results of aflatoxin content for all feed formulas were still relatively safe for fish consumption, because they were still below the threshold, which was less than 20 μ g kg⁻¹ and also do not contain salmonella bacteria.

Maggot and earthworms were good sources of animal protein for *O. niloticus* because they contain essential amino acids required for the fish growth. Protein requirements for fish growth vary: the younger the fish, the greater the protein needs. Nguyen et al (2020a) stated in his research that the need and balance of feed protein was 24-30%, for *O. niloticus* measuring 7.90 g, for its growth, up to 10 weeks. Another study stated that the protein requirement of feed for juvenile *O. niloticus* measuring 12.7 g in an eight-week period was 22.2% to 29.7%, for proteins sourced from soybean meal, fish meal, and corn meal (Nguyen et al 2020b). Based on the research report presented, the range of protein used for *O. niloticus* growth is between 22.2 to 30%, so that alternative feed products in this study which have a protein content of 23.65 to 26.66% can be used as a reference for *O. niloticus* cultivation. Amino acid content in the treatments F4 and F3 with ratios FM/MM/EM of 15:20:4(%), respectively and 20:15:4(%), respectively, were sistematically higher, while the treatment F1, with a ratio(FM)/(MM)/(EM) of 30:5:4(%) was almost always the lowest (Table 3). This suggests that the value of its amino acids content could be improved by adding natural

feed sources of maggot meal to the feed combination, in amounts ranging from 5% to 20%. Table 4 shows that there are nine essential amino acids out of ten that are required to be present in the five types of feed, namely arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, threonine, valine, methionine, and phenylalanine. Lysine is an essential amino acid that can serve to evaluate the feed effects on the fish growth (Wu et al 2022). This is because the amino acid lysine in the animal body explicitly contributes to the fish growth and protein deposits in the tissues, since it has no other metabolic roles (Li et al 2019b; Marchão et al 2020). The requirement for the amino acid lysine in the growth phase of lysine tilapia can reach 1.55% (Diógenes et al 2016). The values of amino acid levels, determined in the formulations F1 through F4 and commercial feed (CF) content, are larger than this threshold value, i.e.: 1.90% in F1, 1.90% in F2, 2.18% in F3, 2.52% F4, and2.33% in CF. This shows that the requirement for the amino acid lysine for *O. niloticus* is fully met. Diets with an unbalanced amino acid profile can lead to less food intake and less effective utilization of essential amino acids (Prabu et al 2020).

The results of feed treatment on formula F3, F4 and commercial feed (CF) with 25.32, 26.66 and 26% protein, respectively, for O. niloticus resulted in RRG, SGR, RFC, FE, and SR values which were better than in the experimental feed treatments F1, F2, and F4. It was anticipated that the inclusion of earthworm meal and maggot meal in experimental feeds F3 and F4 will provide O. niloticus with a rich source of essential amino acids. The analysis findings revealed that CF treatment caused the highest RRG and SGR, of 2.87 and 1.67%, respectively, followed By F3, with RRG (2.81%) and SGR (1.65%). There were differences among the RRG and SGR values for all formulations, although for CF and F3 they were not statistically significant (p>0.05). The ratio of feed nutrients (protein, fat, and fiber) affected relative and specific growth rates to promote fish growth (Eriegha & Ekokotu 2017). Growth happens when there was extra metabolic energy left over after it had been consumed for bodily upkeep and activities. The majority of the feed consumed was used for growth after first being used to maintain the body and replace damaged cells (Adeniyi et al 2020). Increased feed protein does not always lead to increased growth. Increasing feed protein without being followed by a balance with non-protein energy sources will cause protein to be used as an energy source (Samuelsen et al 2022).

The substitution of fish meal with 15% maggot flour in the F3 feed formula (25.33% protein) resulted in a higher RRG and SGR, than in F4 feed with 20% substitution (26.66% protein). This was presumably because the nutritional content of the feed used for growth was sufficient. This was also in accordance with the study of Rachmawati & Samidjan (2013), which suggested that the substitution of fish meal with maggot flour with 25.13% protein could provide more optimal growth, compared to protein levels of 26.94 and 27.79% respectively. If their protein requirements are met, fish can grow well if their food intake is appropriate. The amount of protein in the meal has an impact on *O. niloticus* growth rates (Ngugi et al 2017). Due to the consumption of protein from bodily tissues to maintain important processes, a lack of protein in the diet could resulted in stunted growth, followed by weight loss. The quality of the protein, the energy content of the feed, the nutritional balance, and the level of feeding all had a significant impact on how well the fish used the protein for growth (Samuelsen et al 2022). If the amount of protein consumed from the feed was too high, only a portion of it would be absorbed and used for cell formation and repair, and the remainder would be expelled (Prangnell et al 2022). High protein intake had the effect of increasing the amount of energy needed for protein catabolism, which results in nitrogen being excreted in the form of ammonia through the kidneys. This was due to fish's limited ability to store protein (Silva et al 2022).

The findings of assessing the ratio of feed conversion (RFC) in F1 to F4 and CF treatments in *O. niloticus* for 60 days likewise revealed significant variations between treatments (p<0.05). The RFC values in the F3 and CF treatments also recorded the smallest values, which were not significantly different (p>0.05), compared to the CF, i.e. 2.89 and 2.86, respectively. Table 5 shows that the F1 treatment had the highest RFC value, of 3.30, while the lowest was observed in the F4 treatment: 2.89. Therefore, it could be seen that the feed that has the highest utilization efficiency was observed in the

F3 treatment (15% maggot meal instead of fish meal), namely 2.89. The higher the use of MM (20%) in the formulation, the higher the value of the RFC (3.30). This was most likely owing to the chitin content in maggot meal, which reduced the ability of *O. niloticus* to digest feed when fish meal was substituted more frequently. Because chitin was crystalline and soluble only in strong acid solutions, the body was unable to properly digest it (Cummins et al 2017). The quality of the water and the feed utilized had an impact on the RFC value, which was also strongly connected with the fish diet (Rachmawati & Samidjan 2019). The smaller the RFC value, the better the level of feed efficiency (FE), and vice versa. Compared to the control treatment, the use of feed was smaller, which can be seen from the fish's low appetite (Rachmawati & Nurhayati 2022). Suhermanto et al (2019) stated that *O. niloticus* had an omnivorous nature, so that aquaculture was efficient with low feed costs. The higher the quality of the feed delivered, the lower the RFC value (Selvam et al 2018). Meanwhile, if the feed conversion value was high, it means that the quality of the feed provided was not good.

Table 5 demonstrates that *O. niloticus*'s survival value differed when fish food was substituted with maggot meal and earthworm meal. The mean SR in *O. niloticus* cultivation in the F2, F3, and CF treatments was 95%, while in the F1 and F4 treatments were 90%. The results of observations during the study showed that tilapia fed the test feed (using 5, 10, 15, and 20% of maggot meal instead of fish meal) and 4% earthworm meal had a higher survival value. The high survival value was suspected to be also related to the water quality parameters during the maintenance, that were still within the limits of optimum conditions for cultivation purposes so that it was feasible for the survival of tilapia (Diógenes et al 2016). The survival rate was affected by both internal and external parameters, such as water quality, stocking density, amount of complete amino acids in feed, and gender, heredity, age, reproduction, and disease resistance (Obirikorang et al 2022).

According to Djissou et al (2016a), growth performance and survival rate of *O. niloticus* were influenced by feed and water quality. the constraints faced in aquaculture were mainly the quality seeds, water quality management, feed management, and fish pest management. Provision of quality feed with the required quantity, use of seeds and professional cultivation management were factors that support the success of cultivation (Djissou et al 2016b). the measurement of the water quality parameters showed that the dissolved oxygen (DO) level in *O. niloticus* water was between 5.8 and 6.9 mg L⁻¹, still good for fish maintenance and survival. The temperature measurements showed values of 27.2-28.8°C. It was stated by Rachmawati & Samidjan (2019) that *O. niloticus*'s tolerance is within a temperature range of 25-30°C, to stay in a comfort zone. Tilapia can survive in a low oxygen content of up to 3 mg L⁻¹, but a suitable oxygen concentration rangefor cultivation is between 5-7 mg L⁻¹ and the levels of ammonia (NH₃) should be <0.1 mg L⁻¹.

Conclusions. According to the study's findings, replacing fish meal with a protein source consisting of 4% earthworm meal and 15% maggot meal could raise the *O. niloticus*'s SGR, RRG, FE, and SR values, while decreasing the RFC. The use of maggot meal and earthworm meal on F3 (20% FM + 15% MM + 4% EM) with 25.32 protein resulted in: RRG=2.81%, SGR=1.65%, RFC=2.89, FE=34.58 and SR=95%, which was almost the same as for the CF, with 26% protein, which produces an RRG of 2.87%, an SGR of 1.67%, an RFC of 2.86, an FE of 34.74 and an SR of 95%. Using earthworm meal and maggot meal as sources of protein can help minimizing the reliance on fish meal in fish feed.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank tto he Educational Fund Management Institutions (LPDP) and also to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology Director General of Vocational Indonesia in 2021, who had provided financial assistance during the research.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adeniyi O. V., Olaifa F. E., Emikpe B. O., 2020 Effects of dietary Tamarind pulp extract on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology, and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–21.
- Ahmad I., Ullah M., Alkafafy M., Ahmed N., Mahmoud S. F., Sohail K., Ullah H., Ghoneem W. M., Ahmed M. M., Sayed S., 2022 Identification of the Economics, composition, and supplementation of maggot meal in broiler production. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29(6):103277.
- Boyd C. E., 1992 Water quality management for pond fish culture. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, New York, 318 p.
- Cummins V. C., Rawles S. D, Thompson K. R., Velasquez A., Kobayashi Y., Hager J., Webster C. D., 2017 Evaluation of black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae meal as partial or total replacement of marine fish meal in practical diets for pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture 473:337–344.
- Dedeke G., Owa S., Olurin K., Akinfe A., Awotedu O., 2013 Partial replacement of fish meal by earthworm meal (*Libyodrilus violaceus*) in diets for African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture 5(9):229–233.
- Diógenes A. F., Fernandes J. B. K., Dorigam J. C. P., Sakomura N. K., Rodrigues F. H. F., Lima B. T. M., Gonçalves F. H., 2016 Establishing the optimal essential amino acid ratios in juveniles of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) by the deletion method. Aquaculture Nutrition 22(2):435–443.
- Djissou A. S. M., Tossavi E. C., Vodounnou J. D, Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E. D., 2015 Valorization of agro-alimentary waste for a production of maggots like source of proteins in the animal feeds. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research 7(6):42–46.
- Djissou A. S. M., Adjahouinou D. C., Koshio S., Fiogbe E. D., 2016a Complete replacement of fish meal by other animal protein sources on growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. International Aquatic Research 8(4):333–341.
- Djissou A. S. M., Vodounnou J. V., Tossavi C. E., Toguyeni A., Fiogbe E. D., 2016b Complete replacement of fish meal by unconventional proteins sources in diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (L., 1758) fingerlings: growth performance, feed utilization and body composition. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 4(5):242–47.
- Eriegha O. J., Ekokotu P. A., 2017 Factors affecting feed intake in cultured fish species: a review. Animal Research International 14(2):2697–2709.
- Ezewudo B. I., Monebi C. O., Ugwumba A. A. A., 2015 Production and utilization of musca domestica maggots in the diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fingerlings. African Journal of Agricultural Research 10(23):2363–2371.
- Jabir M. D. A. R., 2012 Nutritive potential and utilization of super worm (*Zophobas morio*) meal in the diet of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) juvenile. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(24):6592–6598.
- Katya K., Borsra M. Z. S., Ganesan D., Kuppusamy G., Herriman M., Salter A., Ali S. A., 2017 Efficacy of insect larval meal to replace fish meal in juvenile barramundi, lates calcarifer reared in freshwater. International Aquatic Research 9(4):303–312.
- Kroeckel S., Harjes A. G. E., Roth I., Katz H., Wuertz S., Susenbeth A., Schulz C. 2012 When a Turbot catches a fly: evaluation of a pre-pupae meal of the Black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) as fish meal substitute-growth performance and chitin degradation in juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*). Aquaculture 364–365:345–352.
- Kusnadi, Riyanta A. B., Febriyanti R., 2022 The effect of chitosan addition on physicochemical properties, proximate and antioxidant of functional drinks of red and purple roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) extracts. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1041(1):1-7.
- Latimer Jr. G. W., 2016 Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, 3172 p.
- Li X., Rahimnejad S., Wang L., Lu K., Song K., Zhang C., 2019a Substituting fish meal

with housefly (*Musca domestica*) maggot meal in diets for Bullfrog rana (*Lithobates catesbeiana*): effects on growth, digestive enzymes activity, antioxidant capacity and gut health. Aquaculture 499:295–305.

- Li X., Wu X., Dong Y., Gao Y., Yao W., Zhou Z., 2019b Effects of dietary lysine levels on growth, feed utilization and related gene expression of juvenile hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* $\mathfrak{P} \times Epinephelus$ *lanceolatus* \mathfrak{I}). Aquaculture 502:153–61.
- Marchão S. R., Ribeiro F. B., Siqueira J. C. D., Bomfim M. A. D., Silva J. S., Sousa T. J. R. D., Nascimento D. C. N. D, Sousa M. D. C., 2020 Digestible lysine requirement for tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*) juveniles using the diet dilution technique. Aquaculture Reports 18:1-7.
- María R. A., Aida G., Myriam G., Nora M., Arminda M., 2022 Determination of uncertainty in the measurement of aflatoxins b1 in Pistachio nuts by the HPLC-FLD method. Journal of Chromatography Open 2:1-6.
- Mooijman K. A., Pielaat A., Kuijpers A. F. A., 2019 Validation of EN ISO 6579-1 microbiology of the food chain - horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of Salmonella - part 1 detection of *Salmonella* spp. International Journal of Food Microbiology 288:3–12.
- Musyoka S. N., Liti D. M., Ogello. E., Waidbacher H., 2019 Utilization of the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an alternative protein source in fish feeds processing: a review. Aquaculture Research 50(9):1–15.
- Ngugi C. C., Oyoo-Okoth E., Manyala J. O., Fitzsimmons K., Kimotho A., 2017 Characterization of the nutritional quality of amaranth leaf protein concentrates and suitability of fish meal replacement in Nile tilapia feeds. Aquaculture Reports 5:62– 69.
- Nguyen L., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020a Efficacy of reduced protein diets and the effects of indispensable amino acid supplements for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Animal Feed Science and Technology 268:114593.
- Nguyen L., Salem S. M. R., Salze G. P., Dinh H., Davis D. A., 2020b Optimizing amino acid balance in diets for Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Aquaculture 515:734566.
- Nik S. N. N., Mustafa S., Suyono, Shapawi R., 2021 Efficient utilization of poultry byproduct meal-based diets when fed to giant freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 33(1):53–72.
- Obirikorang K. A., Yeboah E. A., Gyampoh B. A., Amanie-Adjei S. K., 2022 Effect of road conditions on physiological stress responses and post-transportation growth and survival of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):180–196.
- Park H. H., 2016 Black soldier fly larvae manual. Student Showcase, University of Massachusetts Amherst 14:1–13.
- Parolini M., Ganzaroli A., Bacenetti J., 2020 Earthworm as an alternative protein source in poultry and fish farming: current applications and future perspectives. Science of the Total Environment 734:1–25.
- Pöppel A. K., Vogel H., Wiesner J., Vilcinskas A., 2015 Antimicrobial peptides expressed in medicinal maggots of the Blow fly *Lucilia sericata* show combinatorial activity against bacteria. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59(5):2508–2514.
- Prabu D. L., Ebeneezar S., Chandrasekar S., Tejpal C. S., Kavitha M., Sayooj P., Vijayagopal P., 2020 Influence of graded level of dietary protein with equated level of limiting amino acids on growth, feed utilization, body indices and nutritive profile of *Snubnose pompano*, *Trachinotus blochii* (Lacepede, 1801) reared in low saline water. Animal Feed Science and Technology 269:1-14.
- Prangnell D. I., Castro L. F., Ali A. S., Browdy C. L., Samocha T. M., 2022 The performance of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed commercial diets of differing protein content, in a super-intensive biofloc-dominated system. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):1–22.
- Pucher J., Ngoc T. N., Thihanhyen T., Mayrhofer R., El-Matbouli M., Focken U., 2014 Earthworm meal as fishmeal replacement in plant based feeds for common carp in semi-intensive aquaculture in rural northern Vietnam. Turkish Journal of Fisheries

and Aquatic Sciences 14(2):557–565.

- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2013 [The effect of substitution of fish meal with maggot meal in artificial feed for growth and survival rate of catfish]. Jurnal Saintek Perikanan 9(1):62-67–67. [In Indonesian].
- Rachmawati D., Nurhayati D., 2022 Effect of fish meal replacement with earthworm and maggot meals on feed utilization and growth of Banana shrimp (*Penaeus merguiensis*). AACL Bioflux 15(3):1470–1478.
- Rachmawati D., Samidjan I., 2019 The effects of chicken feather silage substitution for fish meal in the diet on growth of Saline tilapia fingerlings (*Oreochromis niloticus*). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 246(1).1-8.
- Samuelsen T. A., Haustveit G., Kousoulaki K., 2022 The use of tunicate (*Ciona intestinalis*) as a sustainable protein source in fish feed effects on the extrusion process, physical pellet quality and microstructure. Animal Feed Science and Technology 284:1-13.
- Sarker P. K., Kapuscinski A. R., Bae A. Y., Donaldson E., Sitek A. J., Fitzgerald D. S., Edelson O. F., 2018 Towards sustainable aquafeeds: evaluating substitution of fishmeal with lipid-extracted microalgal co-product (*Nannochloropsis oculata*) in diets of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). PLoS ONE 13(7):1–25.
- Selvam R., Saravanakumar M., Suresh S., Chandrasekeran C. V., Prashanth D., 2018 Evaluation of polyherbal formulation and synthetic choline chloride on choline deficiency model in broilers: implications on zootechnical parameters, serum biochemistry and liver histopathology. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 31(11):1795–1806.
- Silva V. C. D., Adorian T. J., Goulart F. R., Lovatto N. D. M., Bender A. B. B., Speroni C. S., Silva L. P. D., 2022 Rice ethanol distillery residue as a protein source in the diet of Silver catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*). Journal of Applied Aquaculture 34(1):97–111.
- Suhermanto A., Sukenda S., Zairin M., Lusiastuti A. M., Nuryati S., 2019 Characterization of Streptococcus agalactiae bacterium isolated from tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture in Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(3):756–66.
- Valachova I., Prochazka E., Bohova J., Novak P., Takac P., Majtan J., 2014 Antibacterial properties of lucifensin in *Lucilia sericata* maggots after septic injury. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 4(5):358–361.
- Wang M., Lu M., 2016 Tilapia polyculture: a global review. Aquaculture Research 47(8):1-12.
- Wu Y. Y, Dai Y. J., Xiao K., Wang X., Wang M. M., Huang Y. Y., Guo H. X., Li X. F., Jiang G. Z., Liu W. B., 2022 Effects of different dietary ratio lysine and arginine on growth, muscle fiber development and meat quality of *Megalobrama amblycephala*. Aquaculture Reports 26:1-9.

Received: 23 August 2022. Accepted: 18 October 2022. Published online: 28 October 2022. Authors:

Kusnadi, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: kusnadi.adi87@gmail.com

Sari Prabandari, Department of Pharmacy, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: sariprabandari.sp@gmail.com

Syarifudin, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harapan Bersama Politechnic, Tegal 52147, Central Java, Indonesia, e-mail: masudinsyarif88@gmail.com

Suyono, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Pancasakti University, Tegal, Indonesia, e-mail: suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Kusnadi, Prabandari S., Syarifudin, Suyono, 2022 Potential of maggot and earthworm meals as protein sources for the growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). AACL Bioflux 15(5):2609-2619.