4486.pdf

Submission date: 30-Jul-2023 06:40AM (UTC-0700) Submission ID: 2138797774 File name: 4486.pdf (276.63K) Word count: 4532 Character count: 27384 International Journal of Social Science (IJSS) Vol.2 Issue.1 June 2022, pp:1187-1192 ISSN: 2798-3463 (Printed) | 2798-4079 (Online) DOI: https://doi.org/10.53625/ijss.v2i1.2365

THEORY AND MODELS UNDERLYING ETHICAL INVESTMENT RESEARCH

.....

by

Abdulloh Mubarok¹, Mushawir², Baihaqi Fanani³, Ibnu Muttaqin⁴, Raihan⁵ ^{1,3,4,5}Universitas Pancasakti Tegal, Indonesia ²Universitas Mercubuana Yogyakarta, Indonesia Email: ¹abdulloh_mubarok@upstegal.ac.id

Article Info ABSTRACT

Article history: Received April 15, 2022 Revised April 21, 2022 Accepted May 29, 2022

K Crossref

Keywords: Culture; Intentions; Personal Skills; E-commerce; Entrepreneur Mindset. competitiveness The purpose of this article is to explore in depth the literature on the theories and models that underlie ethical investment research. Through a literature review study, the research found 10 journals that are ready to be reviewed. The literature search found several theories and models that underlie ethical investment research. The theories and models include agency theory, Angel and Rivoli model (1997), Heinkel, Krause and Zechner model (2001), Barnea, Heinkel and Krause model (2005) and Hypothesis Model of Ethical Investment and Stock Price Relationship of Derwall, Koedijk and Ter Horst (2011).

10 This is an open access article under the CC

Corresponding Author:

Abdulloh Mubarok Universitas Pancasakti Tegal, Indonesia Email: abdulloh_mubarok@upstegal.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Ethical investment has experienced very fast and high growth in the last decade (Renneboog et al., 2007; Albaity and Ahmad, 2011; Pena and Cortez, 2017; Mubarok, 2022). This investment activity has shifted from marginal to mainstream (Louche and Lydenberg, 2006). The growth and development of ethical investment is both at the global level and in 22 onesia (Mubarok, 2022). At the global level, GSIA (2018) recorded the value of ethical investments, particularly in the five major world markets, namely Europe, the United States, Japan, Canada, Australia and New Zealand reaching \$22.89 trillion in 2016. The number rose 34.05% to \$30.683 trillion in 2018. Meanwhile in Indonesia, the growth of ethical investment can be seen from the stock market capitalization value in ethical stock indexes such as the Bisnis-27 Index, Sri-Kehati Index, JII, ISSI and JII70 Index. For example, The Financial Services Authority (OJK) recorded the market capitalization value of sharia shares in ISSI index of Rp.3,666,688.31 billion at 2018. There is a significant increase of 96.22% compared to 2011, where the index was first launched (Rp1,968,091.37).

The growth of ethical investment than has attracted the interest of academics to conduct studies and research. The growth can be seen, both from the number of public discussions (von Wallis and Klein, 2015) and from the academic literature (Sandberg et al. 2009; von Wallis and Klein, 2015). Et 40 al investment research has developed since the 1970s (Ortas and Moneva, 2010), namely after the emergence of the issue of corporate social responsibility as discussed 2 y Friedman (1970) (Forte and Miglietta, 2011). It was Grossman and Sharpe (1986), researchers who first analyzed the performance of ethical portfolios and th 36 lationship between financial in 2 tments and ethical issues. The analysis was conducted on shares of companies in South Africa, as a result of the response to apartheid, a US social movement that tried to convince churche 2 and charities to divest from company shares in South Africa. After that, several studies emerged such as done by Luther et al., (1992), Hamilton et al. (1993), Gregory et al., (1997), Orlitzky et al., (2003), and Bauer et al. (2005) (Forte and Miglietta, 2011).

Widyawati (2019) reviewed the literature on SRI research (ethical investment) and concluded that there are three main themes of ethical investment research (i) investor behavior, (ii) ethical investment development and (iii) ethical investment performance. Investor behavior research focuses on examining the characteristics of ethical investors such

Journal homepage: https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/IJSS

1187

Y-SA license

International Journal of Social Science (IJSS) Vol.2 Issue.1 June 2022, pp:1179-1186 ISSN: 2798-3463 (Printed) | 2798-4079 (Online)

.....

as related to motivation, investor investment patterns and investor decision making. Research on ethical investment development focuses on the description of ethical investment in a particular area, arguments for ethical investment theory and the role of participants in the ethical investment market, including discussion of the mechanism (strategy) of developing ethical investment (negative, positive screening and activism). As for ethical investment performance research is conducted by comparing ethical investment returns with its benchmark (nonethical investment).

However, there is no literature that comprehensively discusses the theories that underlie ethical investment research. Consider the matter, the authors are interested in exploring more deeply the literature on the theoretical and model basis of ethical investment research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Ethical Investment Concept

Mubarok (2022), after exploring t so existing ethical investment literature, explained that the term ethical investment is synonymous with the term socially responsible investments (SRI) (Sandberg et al., 2009; Cowton, 2018), and Michelson et al. (2004) use the two terms interchangeably. Nevertheless, the term ethical investment is seen as the oldest terminology which is slowly being replaced by the terminology of socially responsible investment (Sparkes 2001). Some academics view the term socially responsible investment have narrower scope than the term ethical investment. Socially responsible investments only covers social aspects, not included ethical aspects, while the term ethical investment, besides covering the social responsibility also includes ethical aspects based on religious beliefs (Mazouz et al., 2016; Gillet and Salaber-Ayton, 2017). The term ethical investment is more commonly used in the UK while the term socially responsible investment is used more in the United States (Reich et al., 2001; Michelson et al., 2004).

Other terms for ethical investment besides SRI include values-based investing (Schueth, 2003; Magnússon and Dyremyhr, 2011; von Wallis and Klein, 2015), sustainable investment (Forte and Miglietta, 2011; Ortas and Moneva, 2010), corporate responsible investments, green, environmental or value based investing (Magnússon and Dyremyhr, 2011), social investing (Schueth, 2003; Sandberg et al., 2009), socially aware investing or socially conscious investing, **8** ssionbased or mission-related investing (Schueth, 2003), in **8** sting based on social norms (Al-Awadhi, 2017), responsible investment, sustainable and responsible investment, socially and environmentally responsible investment and governance and socially responsible investment (Sandberg **32** l., 2009).

Cowton (1994) defines ethical investment as an investment in which the selection and management of 23 portfolio is based on ethical and social criteria. In another article Cowton (2018) defines ethical investment (SRI) as the practice of integrating social, environn 18 tal and ethical considerations in investment decisions. Humphrey and Lee (2011) define ethical investment as an investment approach that considers ethical, religious, social or other normative selections in making investment decisions. Meanwhile, Dunfee (2003) describes ethical (social) investment as an investment strategy using non-financial criteria. The Non-financial criteria include social or religious criteria (Dunfee, 2003) or ethics, social, environment and corporate governance (Sandberg et al., 2009).

Theory and Models Underlying Ethical Investment

Literature exploration found theory and several models that underlie ethical investment research. The theory and models include agency theory (Hong et al., 2012; Easton and Pinder, 2018), Angel and Rivoli Model (1997) (Vanwalleghem, 2013), Heinkel, Krause and Zechner Model (2001) (Renneboog et al., 2007; Vanwalleghem, 2013), Barnea, Heinkel and Krause Model (2005) (Renneboog et al., 2007) and Hypothesis Model of Ethical Investment Relationship and Stock Price of Derwall, Koedijk and Ter Horst (2011) (Claassen, 2011). Agency theory is discussed by Harrison Hongy, Jerey D. Kubikz and Jose A. Scheinkman in an article 11 titled "Financial Constraints on Corporate Goodness" and Steve Easton and Sean Pinder in an article entitled "Theory and empirical evidence on socially responsible investing and investment performance: Implications for fund trustees and their members" 17

Angel and Rivoli model (1997) is described by James J. Angel and Pietra Rivoli in an article entitled "Doe Tthical Investing Impose A Cost Upon The Firm A Theoritical Perspective" and Dieter Vanwalleghem in the article "The real effects of socially responsible investing: Disagreement on the doing well while doing the good hypothesis and the cost of capital". The Heinkel, Krauft and Zechner model (2001) is described by Robert Heint2, Alan Kraus and Josef Zechner in an article entitled "The Effect of G37h Investment on Corporate Behavior", Luc Renneboog, Jenke ter Horst and Chendi Zhang in an article entitled "Social Responsible Investments: Methodology, Risk Exposure and Performance" and Dieter Vanwalleghem in the article "The real effects of socially responsible investing: Disagreement on doing well while doing good hypothesis and the cost of capital". The Barnea, Heinkel and Krause model (2005) is described by Ant2 Barnea, Robert Heinkel, Alan Kraus in an article entitled "Green investors and corporate investment" and Luc Renneboog, Jenke ter Horst and Chendi Zhang in an article Kraus in an article entitled "Green investors and corporate investment" and Luc Renneboog, Jenke ter Horst and Chendi Zhang in an article entitled "Socially Responsible Investments: Methodology, Risk Exposit5, and Performance". The Hypothesis Model for the Relationship between Ethical Investment and Stock Prices of Derwall, Koedijk and Ter Horst (2011) is explained by Jeroen Derwall, Kees

.....

Journal homepage: https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/IJSS

International Journal of Social Science (IJSS) Vol.2 Issue.1 June 2022, pp:1187-1192 ISSN: 2798-3463 (Printed) | 2798-4079 (Online) Scrossref DOI: https://doi.org/10.53625/ijss.v2i1.2365

Koedijk and Jenke Ter Horst in an arter entitled "A tale of values-driven and profit-seeking social investors" and D.B. Claassen in an article entitled, "Are sin stock returns higher in collectivistic countries than in individualistic ones?"

METHOD

This research design uses a literature review study, namely by identifying, evaluating and synthesizing research results and thoughts generated by researchers and practitioners. The results and thoughts are usually published through various sources such as journals, books, the internet, and other libraries. The search of the publication article through "a google search" tool with the keywords "ethical investment", "socially responsible investments", "values-based investing", "sustainable investment", goin investing", "mission based investing", social norms investment, environmentally responsible investment". Articles or journ **5** is that match the criteria are then downloaded for further analysis. The literature review uses articles published up to 2021 which can be accessed in full text in pdf and scholarly formats (peer reviewed journals).

In coppiling the literature review, the authors followed four stages of activity, as explained by Ramdhani et al. (2014), namely (1) selecting topics to be reviewed, (2) tracking and selecting relevant articles, (3) analyzing and synthesized gliterature and (4) organizing review writing. Regarding the analysis and synthesis of literature, the author analyzes articles that are relevant to the topic to be reviewed. Then discussing articles by making identification and classification based (7) the elements that will be reviewed from several articles that discuss almost the same topic. Next, integrating the results of the analysis of the articles based on the similarities and differences of each article and drawing conclusions based on the similarities and differences of each article.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Based on search results on Google Schoolar and Google search, the authors found 128 journals that matched the keywords. Furthermore, selected based on the theme of the article, namely the theory and model underlying ethical investment, and found 10 full text journals that are ready to be reviewed. In general, there are one theory and four models that underlie ethical investment research, namely agency theory, Angel and Rivoli Model (1997), Heinkel, Krause and Zechner Model (2001), Barne 29 leinkel and Krause Model (2005) and Hypothesis Model of Ethical Investment and Stock Price Relationship of Derwall, Koedijk and Ter Horst (2011).

Agency Theory

One of the theories that underlie the existence of ethical investment and ethical investment strategies is agency theory (Easton and Pinder, 2018). Agency theory tries to discuss problems within the company due to the separation between owners and management and efforts to reduce the problems (Panda and Leepsa, 2017). The problem is the behavior of fulfilling the personal interests of an agent that makes it not manage the company in the best interests of the owner (Bendickson et al., 2016). Agency theory explains that in a joint stock company, the company is owned by an individual or a group of shareholders. The shareholders (owners) then delegate their authority to management (agents) in the form of company management in order to maximize the interests of the owners (Panda and Leepsa, 2017).

However, agents often have interests and goals that differ from those of the owner. Agents do not always act in the best interests of the owner (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). The condition then triggers a conflict known as an agency conflict (problem) (Panda and Leepsa, 2017). The owner can direct the negative behavior of the agent, among others, by setting incentives for appropriate and appropriate agents or incurring monitoring costs to limit the agent's deviant activities. In some situations, the owner can also force the agent to spend resources (bondage fees) to ensure that the agent will not take certain actions that will harm the owner (Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

Regarding ethical investing, Hong et al. (2012) explained that companies with low financial constraints will incur more corporate 26 odness costs (such as environmental, social and governance (ESG) costs). The corporate goodness expenditure is more sensitive to financial slack than capital expenditure (R&D spending). The shows that corporate goodness activities are expensive activities and can affect profits.

Following Hong et al. (2012), Easton and Pinder (2018) explain when the company is performing well (doing well), the financial constraints experienced by managers in order to maximize the value of the company will be smaller. This allows managers to spend company money on other areas such as employee welfare and various philanthropic activities, including the ESG activities. These areas may be of benefit to managers, but they do not increase the value and may actually decrease the value of the company.

Hanafi's findings (2013) show that ethical investment can complement dividends and debt as a mechanism to reduce Gency conflicts between management and shareholders. Regarding dividends, ethical investment mechanisms can reduce the effectiveness of dividend policies in reducing conflicts between management and owners. Ethical

.....

Journal homepage: https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/IJSS

International Journal of Social Science (IJSS) Vol.2 Issue.1 June 2022, pp:1179-1186 ISSN: 2798-3463 (Printed) | 2798-4079 (Online)

.....

investment civities are generally oriented towards sustainability and disclosure to all civity can minimize information asymmetry and improve company performance so that it is expected to increase investor confidence in the company and reduce expectations of dividends. Ethical Investment Model

Angel and Rivoli Model (1997)

Angel and Rivoli (1997) developed a model to explain the impact of screening ethical investments. The model is generally based on Merton's (1987) market segmentation model. Angel and Rivoli (1997) explain that some investors (potential owners) try to do screening by excluding certain companies from their portfolios. When some investors do not invest in a company, the market for that company's equity becomes segmented. Under segmented conditions, cost of firm capital then rises above the unsegmented equity market. When the company's cash flow is not affected, the increase in the cost of capital will reduce the economic profit associated with the company's activities.

BOinkel, Krause and Zechner model (2001)

Heinkel et al. (2001) developed a theoretical model to test and answer the fundamental question whether the presence of ethical investors (owners), with a negative screening approach, can make companies change their behavior. Those who previously applied polluting technology to clean technology (Renneboog et al., 2007).

This model assumes (i) investors are risk averse, (ii) consists of two types: neutral investors and ethical investors. Neutral investors will ignore ethical considerations in forming their optimal portfolio, while ethical investors will refuse to invest in companies that do not meet ethical criteria. (iii) there are a number of companies each of which has one of two types of production technology: polluting technology and pollution-free (clean) technology. Companies with clean technology satisfy the investment criteria of ethical investors, while companies with polluting technology are excluded from the portfolio unless they change the technology. (iv) The company will maximize the share price. (v) Investor ownership is constant, meaning that an increase in ownership by ethical investors means a decrease in ownership by neutral investors (Heinkel et al., 2001).

The results of the model process explain that if fund managers adopt negative screening, they will include less companies with polluting technology in their investment portfolio. This will reduce risk sharing of 24 tunities among investors, so that the share price of companies with polluting technology will fall, and then increase the cost of capital. When the increase in the cost of capital exceeds the cost of capital for ethical companies, companies with polluting technology tend to turn into clean tect 19 logy companies (Renneboog et al., 2007a). In other words, ethical investment can change the company's behavior (Heinkel et al., 2001).

Barnea, Heinkel and K19use Model (2005)

Complementing pepp 28 Jeinkel et al. (2001), Barnea, Heinkel and Krause (2005) developed a theoretical model to investigate and explain the impact of negative screening on the investment decisions of firms with polluting technologies. In contrast to the Heinkel, Krause and Zechner model (2001) which assumes the firm is constant, Barnea, Heinkel and Krause (2005) attempted to endogenize investment and examine the impact of negative screening on total economic investment.

The model assumes (i) a risk-neutral entrepreneur owns a project that wishes to implement and sell to a risk-averse investor. (ii) The projects are of two types: clean technology projects and polluting technology projects. (iii) the expected returns and variance (risk) of the two types of projects are identic 27 but the correlation between returns is less than 1.0, thus offering diversification benefits to investors. (iv) There are two types of risk-averse investors: neutral investors and ethical investors. (v) Projects with polluting technology, before being sold to the public, can be transferred and become reformed projects. The reformed project retains the characteristics of a project with polluting technology but is acceptable to ethical investors. (vi) The number of projects with polluting technology turning into reformed projects is endogenous.

The results of the process model conclude that negative screening can reduce the incentives of polluting firms to invest (Renneboog et al. 2007). Ethical investors (owners) can encourage polluting companies to reform to become environmentally friendly companies. If the costs of reform were not limited, ethical screening would reduce the total investment in an economy significantly. When the reform activities are free of charge, ethical investors have no impact at all and total investment remains constant for each level (Barnea et al., 2005).

Hypothesis Model of Ethical Investment and Stock Price Relationship of Derwall, Koedijk and Ter Horst (2011)

Based on the existing ethical investing literature, Derwall et a 13:011) proposed two hypotheses of the relationship between ethical investment (SRI) and stock prices, namely (i) the shunned-s 31k hypothesis and (ii) the errors-inexpectations hypothesis. The shunned-stock hypothesis explains that ethically controversial stocks trade at relatively low prices. This is because value-based investors try to avoid and refuse to own the stock and thus push the price of an ethically controversial stock below the ethical share price.

International Journal of Social Science (IJSS) Vol.2 Issue.1 June 2022, pp:1187-1192 ISSN: 2798-3463 (Printed) | 2798-4079 (Online) SCROSSFET DOI: https://doi.org/10.53625/ijss.v2i1.2365

The shunned-stock hypothesis is based on two assumptions (i) ethical investors are value driven, not related to the profit motive. The motivation of these investors' decisions varies between purely emotional or social norms. (ii) in order to be able to influence the price of securities, value-based investors must be large enough, for example there are 10% ethical-based investors from the total number of investors in the financial market. Value-based investors primarily use the care is a controversial stocks.

.....

The errors-in-expectations hypothesis explains that ethical stocks have higher risk-adjusted returns. This is beca 16 the market is slow to recognize the positive impact of implementing CSR on the company's expected cash flow or the market systematically underestimates the importance of CSR in influencing the company's expected cash flow. Investors in the hypothesis are profit-oriented investors and use positive screening in the selection of financial assets.

There are 14 yeral requirements for the errors-in-expectations hypothesis. (i) the expected future cash flows should be related to the use of CSR practices. (is stock prices should not reflect all value-related information related to CSR practices, because superior profits from CSR can only be a source of abnormal returns to the extent that investors do not expect them.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of the article is to explore in depth the literature on the theories and models that underlie ethical investment research. The results of the article search found one theory and four models that underlie ethical investment research. The theory and models include agency theory, Angel and Rivoli Model (1997), Heinkel, Krause and Zechner Model (2001), Better, Heinkel and Krause Model (2005) and Hypothesis Model of Ethical Investment and Stock Price Relationship of Derwall, Koedijk and Ter Horst (2011). The theories and models are expected to complement and become a reference in research activities on ethical investment.

REFERENCE

- Al-Awadhi, A. M. (2017). Essays on Religious Beliefs and Stock Market Outcomes. A Thesis. School of Economics, Finance and Marketing College of Business RMIT University. https://researchbank.rmit.edu.au/view/rmit:162003.
- [2] Albaity, M. dan Ahmad, R. (2011). Return performance and leverage effect in Islamic and socially responsible stock indices evidence from Dow Jones (DJ) and Financial Times Stock Exchange (FTSE). African Journal of Business Management, 5 (16), 6927–6939. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM10.1063
- [3] Angel, J. J. dan Rivoli, P. (1997). Does Ethical Investing Impose A Cost Upon The Firm A Theoritical Perspective. *The Journal of Investing*, Winter, 57–61. https://doi.org/10.3905/joi.1997.57
- Barnea, A. dan Rubin, A. (2006). Corporate Social Responsibility as a Conflict Between Shareholders. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(March), 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0496-z
- [5] Claassen, D. B. (2010). Sin Stocks Returns & Collectivism versus Individualism (Issue April). Thesis. International Economics and Finance, Tilburg University, Amsterdam.
- [6] Cowton, C. J. (1994). The Development of Ethical Investment Products. In A. R. Prindl & B. Prodhan (Eds.), Ethical Conflicts in Finance (pp. 213–232). Blackwell Publishers.
- [7] Cowton, C. J. (2018). Socially Responsible Investing. In A. Lewis (Ed.), The Cambridge Handbook of Psychology and Economic Behaviour (pp. 285–304). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316676349.010.
- [8] Derwall, J., Koedijk, K. dan Ter Horst, J. (2011). A tale of values-driven and profit-seeking social investors. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35(8), 2137–2147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.01.009
- [9] Dunfee, T. W. (2003). Social Investing : or Backwater ? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 43(3), 247–252.
- [10] Easton, S. dan Pinder, S. (2018). Theory and empirical evidence on socially responsible investing and investment performance: Implications for fund trustees and their members. ACFS Commissioned Paper Series. Monash University.
- [11] Forte, G. dan Miglietta, F. (2011). A Comparison of Socially Responsible and Islamic Equity Investments. SSRN Electronic Journal, 21(21). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1819002
- [12] Friedman, M. (1970). The Social Responsibility of Business Is to Increase Its Profits. The New York Times Magazine, 1-6. https://www.bnicapital.ch/files/friedman.pdf.
- [13] Gillet, P. dan Salaber-Ayton, J. (2017). The recent development and performance of ethical investments. In Routledge Handbook of Social and Sustainable Finance (pp. 530–546).
- [14] GSIA. (2018). 2018 Global Sustainable Investment Review.
- [15] Hanafi, S. M. (2013). Islamic Ethical Investment as Mechanism to Mitigate Agency Conflict: An Empirical Study in Indonesian Stock Exchange. Al-Jami'ah, Vol. 51, No. 1. DOI: 10.14421/ajis.2013.511.189-216.

.....

Journal homepage: https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/IJSS

.....

- [16] Heinkel, R., Kraus, A. dan Zechner, J. (2001). The Effect of Green Investment on Corporate Behavior. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 36(4), 431–449. https://doi.org/10.2307/2676219
- [17] Hong, H.G., Kubik, J. D. dan Scheinkman, J. (2012). Financial Constraints on Corporate Goodness. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1734164 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.173416
- [18] Humphrey, J. E. dan Lee, D. D. (2011). Australian socially responsible funds performance, risk and screening intensity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(4), 519-535.
- [19] Jensen, M.C. dan Meckling, W.H. (1976). Theory of The Firm. Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 3, 305-360
- [20] Louche, C. dan Lydenberg, S. (2006). Socially Responsible Investment: Differences between Europe and United States. In Vlerick Leuven Gent Management School Working Paper Series 2006-22
- [21] Magnússon, M. B. dan Dyremyhr, T. E. (2011). *Ethical Investments* (Issue June). Thesis. Department of Finance, Copenhagen Business School.
- [22] Mazouz, K., Mohamed, A. dan Saadouni, B. (2016). Price Reaction of Ethically Screened Stocks: A Study of the Dow Jones Islamic Market World Index. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 154(3), 683–699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3389-y
- [23] Michelson, G., Wailes, N., van der Laan, S. dan Frost, G. (2004). Ethical Investment Processes and Outcomes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 52(52), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BUSI.0000033103.12560.be
- [24] Mubarok, A. (2022). Investasi Etis (Konsep, Dasar Pertimbangan dan Pendekatan). Jesya: Jurnal Ekonomi & Ekonomi Syariah. Vol 5 No 1, 765-782
- [25] Ortas, E. dan Moneva, J. M. (2010). Conditional volatility in sustainable and traditional stock exchange indexes: analysis of the Spanish market. GCG: Revista de Globalización, Competitividad & Gobernabilidad, 4(2), 104– 129.
- [26] Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2019). Statistik Saham Syariah. Direktorat Pasar Modal Syariah Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. https://ojk.go.id/id/kanal/syariah/data-dan-statistik/saham-syariah/Default.aspx
- [27] Panda, B. dan Leepsa, N.M. (2017). Agency theory: Review of Theory and Evidence on Problems and Perspectives. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*. 10(1) 74–95
- [28] Pena, J. dan Cortez, M. C. (2017). Social screening and mutual fund performance: international evidence. 1–67. https://efmaefm.org/0EFMAMEETINGS/EFMA ANNUAL MEETINGS/2017-Athens/papers/EFMA2017_0206_fullpaper.pdf
- [29] Ramdhani, A., Ramdhani, M.A., dan Amin, A.A., 2014, Writing a Literature Review Research Paper : A stepby-step approach, Insan Akademika Publications, 03(01): 47-56.
- [30] Reich, M. C., Wolff, R., Zaring, O., Zetterberg, L., dan Åhman, M. (2001). Ethical Investments-Towards a Sound Theory and Screening Methodology (B 1425, Issue October). Swedish Environmental Research Institute.
- [31] Renneboog, L., ter Horst, J., dan Zhang, C. (2007a). Socially Responsible Investments: Methodology, Risk Exposure and Performance. In ECGI Working Peper Series in Finance (175/2007; 2007-013, Issue 175). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.985267
- [32] Sandberg, J., Juravle, C., Hedesström, T. M. dan Hamilton, I. (2009). The heterogeneity of socially responsible investment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87(4), 519–533. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9956-0
- [33] Schueth, S. (2003). Socially responsible investing in the United States. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 43(3), 189– 194. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:102298182
- [34] Sparkes, R. (2001). Ethical investment: whose ethics, which investment? Business Ethics: A European Review, 10(3), 194–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8608.00233
- [35] Vanwalleghem, D. (2013). The real effects of socially responsible investing: Disagreement on the doing well while doing good hypothesis and the cost of capital. 1-36. https://faculty.wharton.upenn.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2014/10/Vanwalleghem_The-real-effects-of-socially-responsible-investing_1.pdf
- [36] von Wallis, M. dan Klein, C. (2015). Ethical requirement and financial interest: a literature review on socially responsible investing. *Business Research*, 8(1), 61–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-014-0015-7
- [37] Widyawati, L. (2019). A systematic literature review of socially responsible investment and environmental social governance metrics. *Bus Strat Env.*, 1–19.

Journal homepage: https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/IJSS

| 448 | 6.pdf | | |
|--------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|
| ORIGIN | ALITY REPORT | | |
| | 8% 12% INTERNET SOURCES | 10% PUBLICATIONS | 10% STUDENT PAPERS |
| PRIMAR | Y SOURCES | | |
| 1 | faculty.wharton.upenn.ed | lu | 1 % |
| 2 | www.eurojournals.com | | 1% |
| 3 | www.efmaefm.org | | 1 % |
| 4 | science.conference.upi.ec | lu | 1 % |
| 5 | amrsjournals.com | | 1 % |
| 6 | www.aljamiah.or.id | | 1% |
| 7 | Submitted to University o | f Malaya | 1% |
| 8 | Joakim Sandberg. "The He Socially Responsible Inves Business Ethics, 10/14/20 Publication | stment", Journ | U/a |
| | | | |

Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro

| | | • 70 |
|----|---|------|
| 10 | archive.org | 1 % |
| 11 | financedocbox.com | 1% |
| 12 | Submitted to University of Cape Town Student Paper | 1% |
| 13 | Sabastian Utz, Maximillian Wimmer. "Are they any good at all? A financial and ethical analysis of socially responsible mutual funds", Journal of Asset Management, 2014 Publication | <1 % |
| 14 | Submitted to Hanken School of Economics Student Paper | <1 % |
| 15 | Gunnar Friede, Timo Busch, Alexander Bassen. "ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies", Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 2015 Publication | <1 % |
| 16 | Submitted to International University Schloss Reichardshausen Student Paper | <1 % |
| 17 | joi.pm-research.com Internet Source | <1% |

| 18 | Submitted to Kaplan Professional Student Paper | <1% |
|----|---|------|
| 19 | Marco Nicolosi, Stefano Grassi, Elena Stanghellini. "Item response models to measure corporate social responsibility", Applied Financial Economics, 2014 Publication | <1% |
| 20 | Markus Losse, Martin Geissdoerfer. "Mapping socially responsible investing: A bibliometric and citation network analysis", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021 Publication | <1% |
| 21 | Submitted to University of Exeter Student Paper | <1 % |
| 22 | zaguan.unizar.es | <1% |
| 23 | puretest.hud.ac.uk Internet Source | <1% |
| 24 | Marina Nazir, Minhas Akbar, Ahsan Akbar, Petra Poulovo, Ammar Hussain, Muhammad Azeem Qureshi. "The nexus between corporate environment, social, and governance performance and cost of capital: evidence from top global tech leaders", Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2021 Publication | <1% |

| 25 | Thomas I. Renström, Luca Spataro, Laura Marsiliani. "Can subsidies rather than pollution taxes break the trade-off between economic output and environmental protection?", Energy Economics, 2021 Publication | <1% |
|----|--|-----|
| 26 | papers.ssrn.com Internet Source | <1% |
| 27 | Joshua Graff Zivin, Arthur Small. "A Modigliani-Miller Theory of Altruistic Corporate Social Responsibility", Topics in Economic Analysis & Policy, 2005 Publication | <1% |
| 28 | Khalil Al Ayoubi, Geoffroy Enjolras. "How Norway's sovereign wealth fund negative screening affects firms' value and behaviour", Business Ethics: A European Review, 2020 Publication | <1% |
| 29 | aaajournals.org Internet Source | <1% |
| 30 | jcsr.springeropen.com Internet Source | <1% |
| 31 | repositorium.sdum.uminho.pt | <1% |
| 32 | umu.diva-portal.org | <1% |

 Eva Hofmann, Elfriede Penz, Erich Kirchler.
"The 'Whys' and 'Hows' of ethical investment: Understanding an early-stage market through an explorative approach", Journal of Financial Services Marketing, 2009 Publication

<1%

- Guenster, Nadja. "Performance Implications of SR Investing: Past versus Future", Socially Responsible Finance and Investing Financial Institutions Corporations Investors and Activists, 2012.
- Paredes-Gazquez, Juan Diego, Laura Lazcano Benito, and Marta De la Cuesta Gonzalez. "Drivers and Barriers of Environmental, Social and Governance Information in Investment Decision-Making: The Spanish Case", International Journal of Business and Management, 2014. Publication

| 36 | Submitted to Pontifical University of St. Thomas Aquinas Student Paper | <1 % |
|----|--|------|
| 37 | ideas.repec.org | <1% |
| 38 | studenttheses.cbs.dk | <1% |

 von Wallis, Miriam, and Christian Klein.
"Ethical requirement and financial interest: a literature review on socially responsible investing", BuR - Business Research, 2015.



<1%

Publication

| Exclude quotes | Off | Exclude matches | Off |
|----------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| Exclude bibliography | On | | |