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STS : Sangat Tidak Setuju

TS : Tidak Setuju
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DAFTAR PERNYATAAN UNTUK VARIABEL AUDIT MANAJEMEN

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NO.** | **PERNYATAAN** | **NILAI** |
| **STS****1** | **TS****2** | **KS****3** | **S****4** | **ST****5** |
| Dimensi: Evaluasi Ekonomisasi |
| 1 | Biaya audit yang dikeluarkan sebanding dengan manfaat yang diperoleh dari hasil audit. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Sumber daya yang digunakan dalam audit sudah efisien dan efektif. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Teknologi yang digunakan dalam audit dan membantu mencapai tujuan audit sudah efisien. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Evaluasi Efisiensi |
| 1 | waktu yang digunakan dalam kegiatan audit sudah efisien.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Tenaga kerja yang digunakan dalam melaksanakan audit telah dikeluarkan secara efisien. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Hail audit dan kualitas audit sudah sesuai dengan waktu yang diberikan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Evaluasi Efektivitas |
| 1 | Risiko dalam audit telah dinilai secara tepat dan komprehensif. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Sudah efektif rencana audit dalam mengidentifikasi masalah dan risiko. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Manajemen ikut berpartisipasi dalam prosedur audit yang telah ditetapkan. |  |  |  |  |  |

DAFTAR PERNYATAAN UNTUK VARIABEL KUALITAS AUDIT

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NO.** | **PERNYATAAN** | **NILAI** |
| **STS****1** | **TS****2** | **KS****3** | **S****4** | **ST****5** |
| Dimensi: Kompetensi Audit |
| 1 | Kompetensi auditor telah memahami bisnis klien.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Kompetensi auditor telah berjalan dengan baik. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Ketepatan kompetensi auditor dalam menerapkan teknik audit. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Independensi Auditor |
| 1 | Independen auditor telah menjalankan tupoksi audit. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Kepentingan klien sering terpengaruhi oleh kepentingan auditor. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Objektivitas Auditor |
| 1 | Tugas audit telah dilaksanakan secara objektif oleh auditor. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Kepentingan pribadi atau perusahaan sering terpengaruhi oleh kepentingan auditor. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Kualitas Audit Laporan |
| 1 | Auditor menghasilkan laporan audit dengan kualitas yang baik. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Temuan audit dan saran perbaikan telah dijelaskan oleh auditor. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Auditor telah mengidentifikasi dan menjelaskan risiko dengan signifikan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Kepatuhan Auditor |
| 1 | Auditor telah mematuhi regulasi dan standar audit yang berlaku. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Auditor telah mematuhi kode etik profesi dengan baik. |  |  |  |  |  |

DAFTAR PERNYATAAN UNTUK VARIABEL PENGENDALIAN INTERNAL

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NO.** | **PERNYATAAN** | **NILAI** |
| **STS****1** | **TS****2** | **KS****3** | **S****4** | **SS****5** |
| Dimensi: Lingkungan Pengendalian |
| 1 | Komitmen manajemen telah mendukung dalam pengendalian internal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Struktur organisasi telah mendukung pengendalian interal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Nilai-nilai etika dan integritas telah diterapkan dalam organisasi. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Penafsiran Risiko |
| 1 | Risiko telah diindentifikasi dan evaluasi dengan tepat. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Sistem pengendalian telah dirancang untuk mengurangi risiko. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Sistem pemantauan dan penilaian risiko telah diterapkan dalam perusahaan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Aktivitas Pengendalian |
| 1 | Aktivitas pengendalian telah dilaksanakan sesuai dengan rencana. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Perbaikan dan tindakan korektif telah dilakukan terhadap ketidaksesuaian dalam pengendalian internal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Perbaikan dan tindakan korektif telah dilakukan terhadap ketidaksesuaian dalam pengendalian internal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensi: Informasi dan Komunikasi |
| 1 | Informasi keuangan dan non-keuangan telah diterima dan diproses dengan tepat. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Komunikasi antara berbagai level organisasi telah dilakukan secara efektif. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Komunikasi antara manajemen dan auditor internal telah dilakukan secara teratur dan terbuka. |  |  |  |  |  |

DAFTAR PERNYATAAN UNTUK VARIABEL *GOOD CORPORATE GOVERNANCE*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NO.** | **PERNYATAAN** | **NILAI** |
| **STS****1** | **TS****2** | **KS****3** | **S****4** | **SS****5** |
| Indikator : Transparansi |
| 1 | Perusahaan memberikan laporan keuangan secara teratur dan transparan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Perusahaan memberikan informasi yang cukup tentang kebijakan perusahaan dan pengambilan keputusan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indikator: Akuntanbilitas |
| 1 | Perusahaan mengikuti standar akuntansi yang berlaku |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Perusahaan memiliki mekanisme yang jelas untuk melaporkan kecurangan dan pelanggaran etika yang dilakukan oleh karyawan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indikator: Pertanggung jawaban |
| 1 | Perusahaan berkomitmen untuk menjaga lingkungan hidup. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Perusahaan mempertimbangkan kepentingan, seperti karyawan dan masyarakat sekitar dalam pengambilan keputusan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indikator:Kemandirian |
| 1 | Perusahaan selalu menyediakan informasi yang cukup tentang kinerja keunagan dan non–keuangan kepada pemegang saham. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Perusahaan menjaga independensi dari dewan direksi dan manajemen terhadap pemegang saham. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indikator: Kewajaran |
| 1 | Perusahaan selalu memberikan gaji dan tunjangan yang adil kepada karyawan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Perusahaan telah melakukan transaksi bisnis yang adil dan tidak merugikan para pemangku kepentingan. |  |  |  |  |  |

Lampiran 2 Tabulasi Jawaban Responden Audit Manajemen



Lampiran 3 Tabulasi Jawaban Responden Kualitas Audit



Lampiran 4 Tabulasi Jawaban Responden Pengendalian Internal



Lampiran 5 Tabulasi Jawaban Responden *Good Corporate Governance*



Lampiran 6 Uji Validitas Audit Manajemen

|  |
| --- |
| **Correlations** |
|  | X1.1 | X1.2 | X1.3 | X1.4 | X1.5 | X1.6 | X1.7 | X1.8 | X1.9 | X1\_Total |
| X1.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | ,342 | ,831\*\* | ,343 | ,534\*\* | ,131 | ,440\* | ,376\* | ,342 | ,700\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | ,060 | ,000 | ,059 | ,002 | ,481 | ,013 | ,037 | ,060 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.2 | Pearson Correlation | ,342 | 1 | ,554\*\* | ,326 | ,381\* | ,213 | ,832\*\* | ,617\*\* | ,207 | ,736\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,060 |  | ,001 | ,073 | ,034 | ,249 | ,000 | ,000 | ,263 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.3 | Pearson Correlation | ,831\*\* | ,554\*\* | 1 | ,442\* | ,671\*\* | ,099 | ,667\*\* | ,411\* | ,373\* | ,816\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,001 |  | ,013 | ,000 | ,596 | ,000 | ,022 | ,039 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.4 | Pearson Correlation | ,343 | ,326 | ,442\* | 1 | ,299 | ,095 | ,501\*\* | ,359\* | ,803\*\* | ,676\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,059 | ,073 | ,013 |  | ,103 | ,612 | ,004 | ,047 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.5 | Pearson Correlation | ,534\*\* | ,381\* | ,671\*\* | ,299 | 1 | ,220 | ,391\* | ,208 | ,189 | ,633\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,002 | ,034 | ,000 | ,103 |  | ,234 | ,030 | ,261 | ,309 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.6 | Pearson Correlation | ,131 | ,213 | ,099 | ,095 | ,220 | 1 | ,188 | ,082 | ,144 | ,402\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,481 | ,249 | ,596 | ,612 | ,234 |  | ,311 | ,663 | ,441 | ,025 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.7 | Pearson Correlation | ,440\* | ,832\*\* | ,667\*\* | ,501\*\* | ,391\* | ,188 | 1 | ,630\*\* | ,311 | ,812\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,013 | ,000 | ,000 | ,004 | ,030 | ,311 |  | ,000 | ,089 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.8 | Pearson Correlation | ,376\* | ,617\*\* | ,411\* | ,359\* | ,208 | ,082 | ,630\*\* | 1 | ,520\*\* | ,680\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,037 | ,000 | ,022 | ,047 | ,261 | ,663 | ,000 |  | ,003 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1.9 | Pearson Correlation | ,342 | ,207 | ,373\* | ,803\*\* | ,189 | ,144 | ,311 | ,520\*\* | 1 | ,632\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,060 | ,263 | ,039 | ,000 | ,309 | ,441 | ,089 | ,003 |  | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X1\_Total | Pearson Correlation | ,700\*\* | ,736\*\* | ,816\*\* | ,676\*\* | ,633\*\* | ,402\* | ,812\*\* | ,680\*\* | ,632\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,025 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 |  |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| \*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). |
| \*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). |

Lampiran 7 Uji Validitas Kualitas Audit

|  |
| --- |
| **Correlations** |
|  | X2.1 | X2.2 | X2.3 | X2.4 | X2.5 | X2.6 | X2.7 | X2.8 | X2.9 | X2.10 | X2.11 | X2.12 | X2\_Total |
| X2.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | ,280 | ,534\*\* | ,029 | ,427\* | -,175 | ,391\* | ,653\*\* | ,306 | ,492\*\* | ,536\*\* | ,190 | ,546\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | ,127 | ,002 | ,877 | ,017 | ,345 | ,030 | ,000 | ,094 | ,005 | ,002 | ,305 | ,001 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.2 | Pearson Correlation | ,280 | 1 | ,491\*\* | ,416\* | ,314 | ,373\* | ,374\* | ,327 | ,969\*\* | ,454\* | ,597\*\* | ,448\* | ,751\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,127 |  | ,005 | ,020 | ,085 | ,039 | ,038 | ,072 | ,000 | ,010 | ,000 | ,011 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.3 | Pearson Correlation | ,534\*\* | ,491\*\* | 1 | ,231 | ,177 | ,024 | ,356\* | ,501\*\* | ,573\*\* | ,448\* | ,876\*\* | ,057 | ,622\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,002 | ,005 |  | ,212 | ,340 | ,899 | ,050 | ,004 | ,001 | ,012 | ,000 | ,763 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.4 | Pearson Correlation | ,029 | ,416\* | ,231 | 1 | ,159 | ,897\*\* | ,421\* | ,420\* | ,403\* | ,289 | ,321 | ,364\* | ,591\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,877 | ,020 | ,212 |  | ,394 | ,000 | ,018 | ,019 | ,024 | ,115 | ,078 | ,044 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.5 | Pearson Correlation | ,427\* | ,314 | ,177 | ,159 | 1 | ,142 | ,651\*\* | ,617\*\* | ,343 | ,783\*\* | ,243 | ,790\*\* | ,691\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,017 | ,085 | ,340 | ,394 |  | ,445 | ,000 | ,000 | ,059 | ,000 | ,187 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.6 | Pearson Correlation | -,175 | ,373\* | ,024 | ,897\*\* | ,142 | 1 | ,285 | ,276 | ,362\* | ,159 | ,080 | ,452\* | ,475\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,345 | ,039 | ,899 | ,000 | ,445 |  | ,120 | ,133 | ,046 | ,394 | ,667 | ,011 | ,007 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.7 | Pearson Correlation | ,391\* | ,374\* | ,356\* | ,421\* | ,651\*\* | ,285 | 1 | ,745\*\* | ,392\* | ,782\*\* | ,428\* | ,565\*\* | ,767\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,030 | ,038 | ,050 | ,018 | ,000 | ,120 |  | ,000 | ,029 | ,000 | ,016 | ,001 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.8 | Pearson Correlation | ,653\*\* | ,327 | ,501\*\* | ,420\* | ,617\*\* | ,276 | ,745\*\* | 1 | ,342 | ,744\*\* | ,529\*\* | ,515\*\* | ,792\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,072 | ,004 | ,019 | ,000 | ,133 | ,000 |  | ,059 | ,000 | ,002 | ,003 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.9 | Pearson Correlation | ,306 | ,969\*\* | ,573\*\* | ,403\* | ,343 | ,362\* | ,392\* | ,342 | 1 | ,464\*\* | ,612\*\* | ,437\* | ,769\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,094 | ,000 | ,001 | ,024 | ,059 | ,046 | ,029 | ,059 |  | ,009 | ,000 | ,014 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.10 | Pearson Correlation | ,492\*\* | ,454\* | ,448\* | ,289 | ,783\*\* | ,159 | ,782\*\* | ,744\*\* | ,464\*\* | 1 | ,565\*\* | ,683\*\* | ,829\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,005 | ,010 | ,012 | ,115 | ,000 | ,394 | ,000 | ,000 | ,009 |  | ,001 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.11 | Pearson Correlation | ,536\*\* | ,597\*\* | ,876\*\* | ,321 | ,243 | ,080 | ,428\* | ,529\*\* | ,612\*\* | ,565\*\* | 1 | ,126 | ,700\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,002 | ,000 | ,000 | ,078 | ,187 | ,667 | ,016 | ,002 | ,000 | ,001 |  | ,500 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2.12 | Pearson Correlation | ,190 | ,448\* | ,057 | ,364\* | ,790\*\* | ,452\* | ,565\*\* | ,515\*\* | ,437\* | ,683\*\* | ,126 | 1 | ,708\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,305 | ,011 | ,763 | ,044 | ,000 | ,011 | ,001 | ,003 | ,014 | ,000 | ,500 |  | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X2\_Total | Pearson Correlation | ,546\*\* | ,751\*\* | ,622\*\* | ,591\*\* | ,691\*\* | ,475\*\* | ,767\*\* | ,792\*\* | ,769\*\* | ,829\*\* | ,700\*\* | ,708\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,001 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,007 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 |  |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| \*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). |
| \*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). |

Lampiran 8 Uji Validitas Pengendalian Internal

|  |
| --- |
| **Correlations** |
|  | X3.1 | X3.2 | X3.3 | X3.4 | X3.5 | X3.6 | X3.7 | X3.8 | X3.9 | X3.10 | X3.11 | X3.12 | X3\_Total |
| X3.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | ,591\*\* | ,702\*\* | ,664\*\* | ,517\*\* | ,264 | ,619\*\* | ,382\* | ,335 | ,611\*\* | ,288 | ,584\*\* | ,752\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,003 | ,151 | ,000 | ,034 | ,065 | ,000 | ,116 | ,001 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.2 | Pearson Correlation | ,591\*\* | 1 | ,534\*\* | ,519\*\* | ,560\*\* | ,559\*\* | ,519\*\* | ,612\*\* | ,725\*\* | ,530\*\* | ,561\*\* | ,481\*\* | ,833\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 |  | ,002 | ,003 | ,001 | ,001 | ,003 | ,000 | ,000 | ,002 | ,001 | ,006 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.3 | Pearson Correlation | ,702\*\* | ,534\*\* | 1 | ,798\*\* | ,693\*\* | ,287 | ,407\* | ,314 | ,353 | ,885\*\* | ,307 | ,816\*\* | ,810\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,002 |  | ,000 | ,000 | ,118 | ,023 | ,085 | ,051 | ,000 | ,093 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.4 | Pearson Correlation | ,664\*\* | ,519\*\* | ,798\*\* | 1 | ,710\*\* | ,221 | ,402\* | ,243 | ,237 | ,864\*\* | ,253 | ,783\*\* | ,760\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,003 | ,000 |  | ,000 | ,231 | ,025 | ,189 | ,200 | ,000 | ,170 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.5 | Pearson Correlation | ,517\*\* | ,560\*\* | ,693\*\* | ,710\*\* | 1 | ,331 | ,536\*\* | ,362\* | ,256 | ,704\*\* | ,373\* | ,761\*\* | ,765\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,003 | ,001 | ,000 | ,000 |  | ,069 | ,002 | ,045 | ,165 | ,000 | ,039 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.6 | Pearson Correlation | ,264 | ,559\*\* | ,287 | ,221 | ,331 | 1 | ,253 | ,545\*\* | ,514\*\* | ,287 | ,884\*\* | ,129 | ,618\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,151 | ,001 | ,118 | ,231 | ,069 |  | ,170 | ,002 | ,003 | ,118 | ,000 | ,489 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.7 | Pearson Correlation | ,619\*\* | ,519\*\* | ,407\* | ,402\* | ,536\*\* | ,253 | 1 | ,365\* | ,258 | ,479\*\* | ,265 | ,536\*\* | ,645\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,003 | ,023 | ,025 | ,002 | ,170 |  | ,044 | ,162 | ,006 | ,149 | ,002 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.8 | Pearson Correlation | ,382\* | ,612\*\* | ,314 | ,243 | ,362\* | ,545\*\* | ,365\* | 1 | ,493\*\* | ,228 | ,623\*\* | ,345 | ,635\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,034 | ,000 | ,085 | ,189 | ,045 | ,002 | ,044 |  | ,005 | ,217 | ,000 | ,057 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.9 | Pearson Correlation | ,335 | ,725\*\* | ,353 | ,237 | ,256 | ,514\*\* | ,258 | ,493\*\* | 1 | ,343 | ,501\*\* | ,244 | ,630\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,065 | ,000 | ,051 | ,200 | ,165 | ,003 | ,162 | ,005 |  | ,059 | ,004 | ,186 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.10 | Pearson Correlation | ,611\*\* | ,530\*\* | ,885\*\* | ,864\*\* | ,704\*\* | ,287 | ,479\*\* | ,228 | ,343 | 1 | ,301 | ,885\*\* | ,814\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,002 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,118 | ,006 | ,217 | ,059 |  | ,099 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.11 | Pearson Correlation | ,288 | ,561\*\* | ,307 | ,253 | ,373\* | ,884\*\* | ,265 | ,623\*\* | ,501\*\* | ,301 | 1 | ,249 | ,654\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,116 | ,001 | ,093 | ,170 | ,039 | ,000 | ,149 | ,000 | ,004 | ,099 |  | ,177 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3.12 | Pearson Correlation | ,584\*\* | ,481\*\* | ,816\*\* | ,783\*\* | ,761\*\* | ,129 | ,536\*\* | ,345 | ,244 | ,885\*\* | ,249 | 1 | ,771\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,001 | ,006 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,489 | ,002 | ,057 | ,186 | ,000 | ,177 |  | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| X3\_Total | Pearson Correlation | ,752\*\* | ,833\*\* | ,810\*\* | ,760\*\* | ,765\*\* | ,618\*\* | ,645\*\* | ,635\*\* | ,630\*\* | ,814\*\* | ,654\*\* | ,771\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 |  |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| \*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). |
| \*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). |

Lampiran 9 Uji Validitas *Good Corporate Governance*

|  |
| --- |
| **Correlations** |
|  | Y.1 | Y.2 | Y.3 | Y.4 | Y.5 | Y.6 | Y.7 | Y.8 | Y.9 | Y.10 | Y\_Total |
| Y.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | ,339 | ,193 | ,311 | -,162 | ,754\*\* | ,210 | ,151 | ,732\*\* | ,078 | ,673\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | ,062 | ,299 | ,088 | ,383 | ,000 | ,256 | ,418 | ,000 | ,677 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.2 | Pearson Correlation | ,339 | 1 | ,343 | ,316 | ,174 | ,510\*\* | ,824\*\* | ,374\* | ,254 | ,374\* | ,715\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,062 |  | ,059 | ,083 | ,350 | ,003 | ,000 | ,038 | ,168 | ,038 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.3 | Pearson Correlation | ,193 | ,343 | 1 | ,616\*\* | ,480\*\* | ,103 | ,205 | ,619\*\* | ,220 | ,749\*\* | ,659\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,299 | ,059 |  | ,000 | ,006 | ,581 | ,268 | ,000 | ,234 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.4 | Pearson Correlation | ,311 | ,316 | ,616\*\* | 1 | ,486\*\* | ,259 | ,194 | ,640\*\* | ,282 | ,640\*\* | ,713\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,088 | ,083 | ,000 |  | ,006 | ,159 | ,295 | ,000 | ,124 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.5 | Pearson Correlation | -,162 | ,174 | ,480\*\* | ,486\*\* | 1 | ,000 | ,233 | ,386\* | -,122 | ,482\*\* | ,410\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,383 | ,350 | ,006 | ,006 |  | 1,000 | ,208 | ,032 | ,512 | ,006 | ,022 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.6 | Pearson Correlation | ,754\*\* | ,510\*\* | ,103 | ,259 | ,000 | 1 | ,542\*\* | ,123 | ,425\* | ,036 | ,680\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,003 | ,581 | ,159 | 1,000 |  | ,002 | ,510 | ,017 | ,846 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.7 | Pearson Correlation | ,210 | ,824\*\* | ,205 | ,194 | ,233 | ,542\*\* | 1 | ,220 | ,114 | ,220 | ,603\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,256 | ,000 | ,268 | ,295 | ,208 | ,002 |  | ,235 | ,543 | ,235 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.8 | Pearson Correlation | ,151 | ,374\* | ,619\*\* | ,640\*\* | ,386\* | ,123 | ,220 | 1 | -,003 | ,739\*\* | ,600\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,418 | ,038 | ,000 | ,000 | ,032 | ,510 | ,235 |  | ,989 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.9 | Pearson Correlation | ,732\*\* | ,254 | ,220 | ,282 | -,122 | ,425\* | ,114 | -,003 | 1 | -,003 | ,551\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,168 | ,234 | ,124 | ,512 | ,017 | ,543 | ,989 |  | ,989 | ,001 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y.10 | Pearson Correlation | ,078 | ,374\* | ,749\*\* | ,640\*\* | ,482\*\* | ,036 | ,220 | ,739\*\* | -,003 | 1 | ,600\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,677 | ,038 | ,000 | ,000 | ,006 | ,846 | ,235 | ,000 | ,989 |  | ,000 |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Y\_Total | Pearson Correlation | ,673\*\* | ,715\*\* | ,659\*\* | ,713\*\* | ,410\* | ,680\*\* | ,603\*\* | ,600\*\* | ,551\*\* | ,600\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,022 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,001 | ,000 |  |
| N | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| \*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). |
| \*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). |

Lampiran 10 Uji Reabilitas Audit Manajemen

|  |
| --- |
| **Reliability Statistics** |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| ,842 | ,852 | 9 |

Lampiran 11 Uji Reabilitas Kualitas Audit

|  |
| --- |
| **Reliability Statistics** |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| ,895 | ,899 | 12 |

Lampiran 12 Uji Reabilitas Pengendalian Internal

|  |
| --- |
| **Reliability Statistics** |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| ,913 | ,918 | 12 |

Lampiran 13 Uji Reabilitas *Good Corporate Governance*

|  |
| --- |
| **Reliability Statistics** |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| ,806 | ,829 | 10 |

Lampiran 14 Uji Analisis Data Deskritif

|  |
| --- |
| **Descriptive Statistics** |
|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Y | 31 | 32 | 49 | 42,84 | 3,925 |
| X1 | 31 | 29 | 45 | 39,52 | 3,669 |
| X2 | 31 | 38 | 60 | 53,48 | 5,131 |
| X3 | 31 | 39 | 60 | 52,71 | 5,411 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 31 |  |  |  |  |

Lampiran 15 Uji Asumsi Klasik

1. **Uji Normalitas**

|  |
| --- |
| **One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test** |
|  | Unstandardized Residual |
| N | 31 |
| Normal Parametersa,b | Mean | ,0000000 |
| Std. Deviation | 2,13223066 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | ,126 |
| Positive | ,102 |
| Negative | -,126 |
| Test Statistic | ,126 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | ,200c,d |
| a. Test distribution is Normal. |
| b. Calculated from data. |
| c. Lilliefors Significance Correction. |
| d. This is a lower bound of the true significance. |

1. **Uji Multikolonieritas**

|  |
| --- |
| **Coefficientsa** |
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |
| B | Std. Error | Beta | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 7,341 | 4,793 |  | 1,531 | ,137 |  |  |
| X1 | -,080 | ,172 | -,075 | -,463 | ,647 | ,421 | 2,378 |
| X2 | ,482 | ,131 | ,630 | 3,668 | ,001 | ,371 | 2,696 |
| X3 | ,245 | ,107 | ,337 | 2,275 | ,031 | ,498 | 2,009 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Y |

1. **Uji Heteroskedastisitas**

|  |
| --- |
| **Coefficientsa** |
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| B | Std. Error | Beta |
| 1 | (Constant) | 1,870 | 3,016 |  | ,620 | ,540 |
| Audit Manajemen | ,037 | ,109 | ,102 | ,345 | ,733 |
| Kualitas Audit | -,038 | ,083 | -,145 | -,461 | ,649 |
|  Pengendalian Internal | ,006 | ,068 | ,024 | ,087 | ,931 |
| a. Dependent Variable: abs\_res |

Lampiran 16 Analisis Regresi Linier Berganda

|  |
| --- |
| **Coefficientsa** |
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |
| B | Std. Error | Beta | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 7,341 | 4,793 |  | 1,531 | ,137 |  |  |
| X1 | -,080 | ,172 | -,075 | -,463 | ,647 | ,421 | 2,378 |
| X2 | ,482 | ,131 | ,630 | 3,668 | ,001 | ,371 | 2,696 |
| X3 | ,245 | ,107 | ,337 | 2,275 | ,031 | ,498 | 2,009 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Y |

Lampiran 17 Dokumentasi Penelitian







