

Proof of Correspondence

Journal Name:

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

Publisher: SAGE Publications Inc.

Country: United States

Link: <https://journals.sagepub.com/home/IJO>

Scopus: <https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/20321>

Scimago: <https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=20321&tip=sid>

ISSN: 0306624X, 15526933

CiteScore: 3.2

SNIP: 1.101

H Index: 69

SJR: 0.570

Quartile: Q1

SCOPE:

The International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology aims to provide a forum for the exchange of ideas regarding the various factors associated with crime and delinquency. Its crosscultural aspects strive to inform criminologists, counselors, forensic psychologists and psychiatrists, policy makers and allied professions about the latest research from across the globe. Topics presented in the Journal included violent crime, sexual offending, domestic violence, juvenile delinquency, criminal profiling, and risk assessment. Studies on various criminological theories are offered, including research on the possible neurobiological factors that may be at the basis of criminal behavior. There is an emphasis on the treatment of the offender--both as it relates to theory and for clinical practice.

Author(s): Achmad Irwan Hamzani, Fajar Dian Aryani, Bambang Tri Bawono, Nur Khasanah, Nur Rohim Yunus

Article Title: Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

Volume: 09 April

Pages: 1-15 **Year:** 2023

Submission: 21 August 2021

Decisoned: 23 August 2021

In Review : 23 August 2021 - 10 October 2022

Peer Review Process: 10 October 2022 - 09 Feb 2023

Acceptance: 09 Feb 2023

Galley Proof: 02 March 2023

Published online: 09 April 2023

Link: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0306624X231165425>

1. Profile Journal

The screenshot shows the Sage Journals website for the International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology. The page features a blue header with a search bar and navigation options. A prominent banner at the top reads "How to Do Research and Get Published Webinar Series" with a "Sign up >>" button. Below the header, the journal title is displayed in large white text on a dark blue background. The main content area includes a "Journal description" section with a detailed paragraph about the journal's history and focus. To the right, there is a sidebar with "Browse journal" and "Journal information" sections. The "Journal information" section lists various links such as "Aims and scope", "Editorial board", and "Submission guidelines". At the bottom of the page, there is a "Keep up to date" section with social media icons for Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTube, and RSS feed, along with an "Email alerts" sign-up box. The browser's address bar shows the URL "https://journals.sagepub.com/description/IJO".

How to Do Research and Get Published Webinar Series

Sign up >>

Sage Journals

Search this journal Enter search terms... Advanced search

Access/Profile Cart

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

Impact Factor: 1.5 / 5-Year Impact Factor: 1.8

JOURNAL HOMEPAGE SUBMIT PAPER

Journal description

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology (IJO), peer-reviewed and published monthly, for more than five decades has provided therapists, counselors, researchers, forensic psychologists and psychiatrists, criminologists and policy makers with challenging research on topics including violent crime, sexual offending, domestic violence, juvenile delinquency, criminal profiling, and risk assessment. There is an emphasis on the treatment of the offender--both as it relates to theory and for clinical practice.

Call for Reviewers

SAGE is seeking reviewers for the *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*. If you are interested in reviewing for the journal, or if you know someone who may be interested, please email Dr. Mark T. Palermo, editor, at chiefeditor@IOTCC@gmail.com.

Putting Theory into Practice

Peer-reviewed and expertly edited, the *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* is a monthly journal dedicated to providing a forum for research, discussion and treatment of variables associated with crime and delinquency. It emphasizes treatment of the offender, both as it relates to theory and to clinical practice.

Providing a Comprehensive Forum

The *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* recognizes that many disciplines are involved in and inform this area of study and practice, and seeks to provide a comprehensive forum through which treatment practices can be improved. To that end, the journal contains submissions by experts in all fields that directly affect the treatment of prisoners and offenders. These fields include: Psychology · Psychiatry · Social Work · Law and Legal Studies · Medicine · Criminology · Criminal Justice · Corrections · Sociology · Health Sciences

Advancing Key Areas

Promoting a unique approach to the research and practice of prisoner and offender treatment, the *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* strives to grasp and advance the central aspects of the challenging phenomena of crime and delinquency.

Psychological...because some serious psychological disorders are strikingly common among prisoners and offenders, the journal works to shed new light on their derivations and treatments, providing researchers and practitioners with innovative avenues to explore.

Genetic/Biological...the profound effect that genetic and/or biological influences can have on prisoners and offenders is critical - so is the journal's mandate to provide works that help researchers and practitioners to recognize, treat and ultimately overcome these factors.

Environmental...the life history is central to understanding prisoners and offenders, and the International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology seeks to enhance the understanding of practitioners and researchers on how to address this aspect in their work.

Taking these three aspects of crime and delinquency, the *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* combines them into a focused treatment approach through pertinent and credible research that can be applied to practice.

Browse journal

- Current issue
- OnlineFirst
- All issues
- Free sample

Privacy

Journal information

- Journal description
- Aims and scope
- Editorial board
- Submission guidelines
- Journal indexing and metrics
- Reprints
- Journal permissions
- Subscribe
- Recommend to library
- Advertising and promotion

Privacy

How to Do Research and Get Published Webinar Series

Sign up >>

Keep up to date

Facebook Twitter LinkedIn YouTube RSS feed

Email alerts

Sign up to receive email alerts: Privacy

28°C Berawan 21:11 01/10/2023

Article-publi x International x Journal Desc x International x International x New tab x google.trans x Google Terje x

https://journals.sagepub.com/description/IJO

Sage Journals Search this journal Enter search terms... Advanced search

Access/Profile Cart

Browse by discipline Information for

An International Perspective

Although societies around the world differ greatly, the phenomenon of crime and delinquency does not. The *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* expands the knowledge base of researchers and practitioners by reporting on international development. The journal provides researchers and practitioners the opportunity to learn from the experiences, policies, programs and perspectives of their colleagues from around the world and to use that new information in their own work.

Sign up to receive email alerts.

- With the latest table of contents
- When new articles are published online

SIGN UP

[View all options](#)

Sage Discipline Hubs

Read the latest content

Privacy

Also from Sage

CQ Library Elevating debate	Sage Data Uncovering insight	Sage Business Cases Shaping futures	Sage Campus Unleashing potential
Sage Knowledge Multimedia learning resources	Sage Research Methods Supercharging research	Sage Video Streaming knowledge	Technology from Sage Library digital services

About

- About Sage Journals
- Accessibility guide
- Historical content
- Advertising disclaimer
- Permissions
- Terms of use
- Sage discipline hubs
- Sage microsites

Information for

- Authors
- Editors
- Librarians
- Promoters / Advertising
- Researchers
- Reviewers
- Societies
- Frequently asked questions

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

ISSN: 0306-624X
Online ISSN: 1552-6933

About Sage | Contact us | CCPA - Do not sell my personal information | CCPA | Privacy Policy

Copyright © 2023 by SAGE Publications

https://journals.sagepub.com/description/IJO#

28°C Berawan Search 21:14 01/10/2023

Article-publi x International x S Aims and Sc x International x International x New tab x google.trans x Google Terje x +

https://journals.sagepub.com/aims-scope/IJO

Sage Journals Search this journal Enter search terms... [Advanced search](#) [Access/Profile](#) [Cart](#)

Browse by discipline Information for

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

Impact Factor: **1.5** / 5-Year Impact Factor: **1.8** [JOURNAL HOMEPAGE](#) [SUBMIT PAPER](#)

Aims and scope

The *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* aims to provide a forum for the exchange of ideas regarding the various factors associated with crime and delinquency. Its crosscultural aspects strive to inform criminologists, counselors, forensic psychologists and psychiatrists, policy makers and allied professions about the latest research from across the globe. Topics presented in the Journal included violent crime, sexual offending, domestic violence, juvenile delinquency, criminal profiling, and risk assessment. Studies on various criminological theories are offered, including research on the possible neurobiological factors that may be at the basis of criminal behavior. There is an emphasis on the treatment of the offender--both as it relates to theory and for clinical practice.

Browse journal

- Current issue
- OnlineFirst
- All issues
- Free sample

Journal information

- Journal description [Privacy](#)
- Journal description
- Aims and scope**
- Editorial board
- Submission guidelines
- Journal indexing and metrics
- Reprints
- Journal permissions
- Subscribe
- Recommend to library
- Advertising and promotion

[Privacy](#)

Sage Journals
Journal Recommender
Explore the possibilities for your manuscript
[Search now >>](#)

Also from Sage

[CQ Library](#)
Elevating debate

[Sage Data](#)
Uncovering insight

[Sage Business Cases](#)
Shaping futures

[Sage Campus](#)
Unleashing potential

[Privacy](#)

27°C Berawan Search ENG 21:33 01/10/2023

Article-publi x International x S Editorial Bo x International x International x New tab x google.trans x Google Terje x

https://journals.sagepub.com/editorial-board/IJO

Sage Journals Search this journal Enter search terms... [Advanced search](#) [Sign in](#) [Access/Profile](#) [Cart](#)

Browse by discipline Information for

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

Impact Factor: 1.5 / 5-Year Impact Factor: 1.8 [JOURNAL HOMEPAGE](#) [SUBMIT PAPER](#)

Editorial board

[Hide all](#)

Editor

Mark T. Palermo, M.D., M.Sc.Crim. The Law, Art and Behavior Foundation, Italy

Associate and Section Editors

Lorana Bartels, PhD - Indigenous Studies, Crimes Against Humanity and Gender Criminology	The Australian National University, Australia
Erika Brooke, PhD - Military Criminology	Gainesville FL, USA
Julien Chopin, PhD - Sexual Offenders	Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada
Julien Chopin, PhD - Terrorism Studies	Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada
Eric Connolly, PhD - Biosocial Criminology	Huntsville, TX, USA
Ioan Durnescu, PhD - Qualitative and Ethnographic Social Science	University of Bucharest, Romania
Hedwig Eisenbarth, PhD - Forensic Neuroscience	Wellington, New Zealand
Carlo Garofalo, PhD - Forensic Psychopathology	University of Perugia, Italy
Michael Hallett, PhD - Religion and Desistance	University of North Florida, USA
Julia Ioane, PhD - Cross-cultural Criminology of Youth and Deviance	Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
Ryan Labrecque, PhD - Correctional Program Implementation and Policy Evaluation	RTI International, USA
Jonathan Lee, PhD - Rational Choice, Deterrence & Labeling Theory	Harrisburg, PA, USA
Lorenzo Natali, PhD - Critical Criminology	University of Milan-Bicocca, Italy
Chad Posick, PhD - Victimology	Statesboro, GA, USA
Yasser Rezapour-Mirsaleh, PhD - Religion and Offending	Ardakan University, Iran
Michael Rocque, PhD - Life Course & Developmental Criminology	Lewiston, ME, USA
Ariel Roddy, PhD - Feminist Criminology	University of Utah, USA
Matthew Shane, PhD - Substance Abuse and Antisociality	Ontario Tech University, Canada

Browse journal

- Current issue
- OnlineFirst
- All issues
- Free sample

Journal information

- Journal description [Privacy](#)
- Aims and scope
- Editorial board**
- Submission guidelines
- Journal indexing and metrics
- Reprints
- Journal permissions
- Subscribe
- Recommend to library
- Advertising and promotion

Sage Journals
Journal Recommender
Explore the possibilities for your manuscript [Show desktop](#)

Search now >>

Keep up to date

Facebook Twitter LinkedIn YouTube RSS feed

Email alerts
Sign up to receive email alerts:

- With the latest table of contents
- When new articles are published online

[SIGN UP](#)

[View all options](#)

[Privacy](#)

Matthew Shane, PhD - Substance Abuse and Antisociality	Ontario Tech University, Canada
Oludayo Tade, PhD - African Criminology	University of Ibadan, Nigeria
Matt Tidmarsh, PhD - Probation and Non-Custodial Measures	University of Leeds, UK
Matthew Tonkin, PhD - Ethics and Criminology	Leicester, UK
Marije Keulen-de Vos, PhD – Developmental Disabilities and Offending	de Rooyse Wissel in Venray, the Netherlands



Managing Editor

Simona Sapienza, JD, PhD	CDP - Rome, Italy
---------------------------------	-------------------

Associate Editors

Sarah Ben-David, PhD	Ramat-Gan, Israel
Ros Burnett, D.Phil.	Oxford, UK
Liquan Cao, PhD	Oshawa, Canada
Heng Choon (Oliver) Chan, PhD	The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
Xiaoming Chen, PhD	Xiamen, China
Leam Craig, PhD	Birmingham, UK
Willie J. Edwards, PhD	Commerce, TX, USA
Mary Ann Farkas, PhD	Milwaukee, WI, USA
Jorge Folino, MD	La Plata, Argentina
Theresa A. Gannon, PhD	University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

Tammy L. Hughes, PhD	Pittsburgh, USA
Toshinori Kitamura, MD	Tokyo, Japan
Richard Kocsis, PhD	Sydney, Australia
Ron Langevin, PhD	Toronto, Canada
Jianhong Liu, PhD	Taipa, Macau, China
Arthur J. Lurigio, PhD	Loyola University of Chicago, USA
Byongook Moon, PhD	San Antonio, TX, USA
Xin Ren, PhD	Sacramento, CA, USA
Lee E. Ross, PhD	Orlando, FL, USA
C. Gabrielle Salfati, PhD	John Jay College of Criminal Justice, City University of New York, USA



Marina Tolou-Shams, PhD	San Francisco, CA, USA
Avelardo Valdez, PhD	Los Angeles, CA, USA
Glenn D. Walters, PhD	Kutztown, PA, USA
Tony Ward, PhD	Wellington, New Zealand
Hongwei Zhang, PhD	Jinan University at Zhuhai, Zhuhai, Guangdong
Lena Y. Zhong, PhD	Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Founding Editor

Melitta Schmideberg, M.D., F.R.C. Psych.	1957-1982
---	-----------

Former Editor Emeritus

George B. Palermo, PhD, MD	
Edward M. Scott, Ph.D.	1983-1997

Consulting Editors

Bruce A. Arrigo, PhD	University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA
Stefan Bogaerts, PhD	Tilburg, The Netherlands
Terry Carney, PhD	Sydney, Australia
Robert Catanesi, MD	Bari, Italy

Wing Hong Chui, PhD	Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Matt DeLisi, PhD	Iowa State University, USA
Reinhard Eher, MD	Vienna, Austria
Jérôme Endrass, PhD	Zurich, Switzerland
David Gussak, PhD	Tallahassee, FL, USA
Kathleen Heide, PhD	Tampa, FL, USA
Thomas Noll, MD, JD	Fribourg, Switzerland
Alex R. Piquero, PhD	University of Miami, USA


Also from Sage

2. Journal Data at Scimagojr

The screenshot shows the Scimagojr website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the 'SJR' logo and 'Scimago Journal & Country Rank'. A search bar is present with the placeholder text 'Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name'. Below the navigation bar, the journal title 'International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology' is displayed prominently.

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER
<p>United States</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Universities and research institutions in United States Media Ranking in United States 	<p>Arts and Humanities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arts and Humanities (miscellaneous) <p>Medicine</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pathology and Forensic Medicine <p>Psychology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applied Psychology 	<p>SAGE Publications Inc.</p>
H-INDEX	PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN
<p>69</p>	<p>Journals</p>	<p>0306624X, 15526933</p>
COVERAGE	INFORMATION	
<p>1966-2022</p>	<p>Homepage</p> <p>How to publish in this journal</p>	
SCOPE		
<p>The International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology aims to provide a forum for the exchange of ideas regarding the various factors associated with crime and delinquency. Its crosscultural aspects strive to inform criminologists, counselors, forensic psychologists and psychiatrists, policy makers and allied professions about the latest research from across the globe. Topics presented in the Journal included violent crime, sexual offending, domestic violence, juvenile delinquency, criminal profiling, and risk assessment. Studies on various criminological theories are offered, including research on the possible neurobiological factors that may be at the basis of criminal behavior. There is an emphasis on the treatment of the offender—both as it relates to theory and for clinical practice.</p>		

The bottom of the screenshot shows a Windows taskbar with the date 01/10/2023 and time 19:57.



FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

options

1
Journal of Criminal Psychology
GBR






73%
similarity

2
Criminal Justice and Behavior
USA



70%
similarity

3
Journal of Offender Rehabilitation
USA

60%
similarity



- Cites / Doc. (4 years)
- Cites / Doc. (3 years)
- Cites / Doc. (2 years)



International Journal of Offender Therapy and...

Q1 Arts and Humanities (miscellaneous) best quartile

SJR 2022 0.57

powered by scimagojr.com


Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scima
```

G SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool.**



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2023

Leave a comment

Name

28°C
Berawan



Q Search



ENG



20:02

01/10/2023



3. Journal Data in Scopus



Source details

Feedback > Compare sources >

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

Scopus coverage years: from 1966 to Present

Publisher: SAGE

ISSN: 0306-624X E-ISSN: 1552-6933

Subject area: Arts and Humanities: Arts and Humanities (miscellaneous) Medicine: Pathology and Forensic Medicine Psychology: Applied Psychology

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#) [Set document alert](#) [Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

CiteScore 2022 📄
3.2

SJR 2022 📄
0.570

SNIP 2022 📄
1.101

CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage

i Improved CiteScore methodology ✕
CiteScore 2022 counts the citations received in 2019-2022 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2019-2022, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2019-2022. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2022 📄
3.2 = $\frac{1,260 \text{ Citations 2019 - 2022}}{389 \text{ Documents 2019 - 2022}}$
Calculated on 05 May, 2023

CiteScoreTracker 2023 📄
2.9 = $\frac{905 \text{ Citations to date}}{311 \text{ Documents to date}}$
Last updated on 05 September, 2023 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2022 📄

Category	Rank	Percentile
Arts and Humanities		
Arts and Humanities (miscellaneous)	#108/440	75th
Medicine		
Pathology and Forensic Medicine	#83/193	57th
Psychology		

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site >](#)

About Scopus

- [What is Scopus](#)
- [Content coverage](#)
- [Scopus blog](#)
- [Scopus API](#)
- [Privacy matters](#)

Language

- [日本語版を表示する](#)
- [查看简体中文版本](#)
- [查看繁體中文版本](#)
- [Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

- [Help](#)
- [Tutorials](#)
- [Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER


[Terms and conditions](#) [Privacy policy](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the [use of cookies](#).

RELX

4. Article Submission Process



Dear. Editor

Next I submit an article with the title “**Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society**” to be published in this Journal. This article is not plagiarized and is not being considered for publication in another journal. All authors contributed to the writing of this article and there are no conflicts of interest.

If it meets the criteria, I hope the article can be published.

Best regards,

Ttd.

Al Hamzani

Non-procedural Dispute Resolution:

Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

Achmad Irwan Hamzani^{1*}, Fajar Dian Aryani², Bambang Tri Bawono³, Nur Khasanah⁴, and Nur Rohim Yunus⁵

¹Universitas Pancasakti Tegal, Indonesia.

²Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, Indonesia.

³Institut Agama Islam Negeri Pekalongan, Indonesia.

⁴Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, Indonesia.

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id (AIH)

Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

Abstract: The Indonesian community is familiar with restorative justice. The substance of restorative justice is building joint participation between perpetrators and victims through third parties. The objectives of this study are: to describe restorative justice in the traditions of Indonesian society and to examine the relevance of restorative justice for the settlement of criminal cases in the future. This research uses a philosophical approach, namely legal construction at an ideal level in the future. The results show that the restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian society, namely deliberation to reach a consensus. Deliberative institutions are held to find solutions that can satisfy all parties. In the future, restoration justice needs to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against life. The restorative justice approach offers a different view from the approach applied in the current criminal system.

One-Sentence Summary: Restorative Justice has become a tradition in Indonesian society so it needs to be used as a model for future criminal case settlements.

Keywords: restorative justice, tradition, Pancasila, Indonesia, criminal

Introduction

The Indonesian community are familiar with restorative justice, an approach that is again planned in many countries to settle criminal cases. The restorative justice approach offers a different way of understanding and dealing with a crime. Restorative justice builds shared participation between perpetrators, victims, and community groups. Perpetrators, victims, and communities are placed as "stakeholders" who work together and strive to find a solution that is seen as fair to all parties (win-win solutions) (Manan, 2008: 4).

The emergence of restorative justice discourse as a model in resolving criminal cases as peacemaking criminology offers a choice about the form of funding that is non-violence, involving the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the public through a mediation mechanism. The basic idea of restorative justice is justice for all parties.

Restorative justice is seen as an alternative funding philosophy that spawns different forms of sanctions than conventional criminal ones that put perpetrators against the state (Braithwaite, 2002: 10). The mechanization of criminal justice through the current criminal justice system does not give attention and justice to the victim, because the center of attention is only on the perpetrator. The funding system, which is only oriented towards perpetrators if applied to crimes against the lives of others, does not provide justice to victims or their families. For example, the victim of the murder is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is not a concern of the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, the fund is required to recover the losses suffered by the victim so that the victim's condition returns as before the criminal event.

One way to think of restorative justice is simply as a particular method for dealing with a crime that brings together an offender, his or her victims, and their respective families and friends to discuss the aftermath of an incident and the steps that can be taken to repair the harm an offender has done. It was a handful of programs in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States using precisely this method, usually as an alternative to formal prosecution, that was the catalyst for the emergence of the restorative justice movement in the mid-1990s, and this way of thinking about restorative justice continues to dominate the restorative justice literature (Roche, 2006).

Muslim Indonesians are familiar with the consensus deliberation approach to resolving conflicts. The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia. An evaluation is needed to redesign the current funding model to be more effective and provide justice for all parties. It is time for the funding system to shift to providing attention and justice for all, not just for the perpetrators, but also the victims. The restorative justice approach is more likely to be obtained by all parties, especially victims who are sidelined in the current criminal justice system.

Research Methods

1. *Type and Approach*

This is a type of field research. Field research is research that is conducted directly on the subject of study. Because the data used in this study are primary data obtained directly by the researcher, field research is included. This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings.

2. *Data Collection*

This study relied on primary data as its source of information. Primary data is information gathered directly by researchers. In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews. This study is also aided by secondary data in the form of legal documents obtained both offline and online. An offline literature review is a task that is performed in order to locate library sources for data storage areas. While online writing is a method of locating library resources in cyberspace via the internet. Conventional literature searches are conducted by looking for library materials, book collections, and personal journals, purchasing books, and participating in scientific activities (seminars). While an online search is carried out by searching the internet.

3. *Data Analysis*

The method of data analysis used is qualitative, with an inductive flow of thought. The process of organizing and sorting data into patterns, categories, and basic units of description in order to find themes that can be presented in narrative form is known as qualitative data analysis. Because the data will be presented in a narrative-descriptive format rather than numbers or numeric, this study employs qualitative data analysis.

Discussion

1. *Restorative Justice in Indonesian Muslim Society Tradition*

Muslim Indonesians are familiar with restorative justice's restorative approach to resolving conflicts by consensus. The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia. In the event of a criminal offense, especially the loss of life due to a fatality such as a traffic accident, the settlement is done to prioritize family. The measure of justice is not based on retributive justice in the form of revenge (an eye for an eye) or imprisonment but based on insanity and forgiveness. Although common criminal acts handled by the community themselves are contrary to positive laws, this mechanism has managed to maintain harmony in the community. The involvement of state law enforcement officials often complicates and complicates matters (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 4).

Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice". The meaning of restorative justice is restored justice. Restoration includes the recovery of victim losses and the restoration of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The restoration of the relationship can be based on a mutual agreement between the victim and the perpetrator. The victim can tell about the losses he suffered and the perpetrator is allowed to redeem it through compensation mechanisms, peace, social work, and other agreements.

Terminologically, restorative justice means a fair solution involving the perpetrator, the victim, their family, and others involved in a crime, jointly seeking a solution to the crime and its implications, emphasizing the restoration back to its original state.

Restorative justice is a process where all the stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss how they have been affected by the injustice and to decide what should be done to repair the harm. With crime, restorative justice is about the idea that because crime hurts, justice should heal. It follows that conversations with those who have been hurt and with those who have afflicted the harm must be central to the process (Braithwaite, 2004).

Theoretical formulations for restorative justice or related theories in criminology have focused almost exclusively on effects on repeat offending by offenders (see, for example, Braithwaite, 1989, 2002; Sherman, 1993; Tyler, 1990), with no formal theories of victim consequences. While Braithwaite, for example, provides nuanced discussions of the benefits or costs of restorative justice as a whole, he does not suggest a detailed theoretical framework for why RJ should be expected to provide benefits to victims. Nor does Strang (2002), in the only book so far devoted entirely to empirical work on the victims' perspective on restorative justice. Nevertheless, two theories from beyond criminology offer plausible rationales for predicting positive outcomes from restorative justice for participating crime victims. In psychology, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) research suggests that victims can benefit from extended "deconditioning" discussions of their prior traumas held in safe and controlled environments. In sociology, the theory of "interaction ritual" predicts that the emotional energy arising from a successful restorative justice conference will have positive benefits for victims by restoring their identity and sense of self-worth (Heather Strang, 2006).

The characteristics of customary law in each region are generally in line with the application of restorative justice, although the terms are different. Long before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, there had been a pattern of resolving a dispute both civil and criminal by using a restorative justice-like approach. During the Majapahit Kingdom, there was already a criminal law book, the Book of Kutara Manawa. There is a decree in the book that takes into account the importance of the victim in the decision-making, and also recognizes compensation on the part of the victim (Mulyana, 1979: 182-188). The main value of restorative justice has been included here, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, the public in making improvements to a crime.

Some laws look like restorative justice, such as those contained in Qanun Mangkuta Alam (in The Kingdom of Samudera Pasai, Aceh), and Simbur Cahaya (in Palembang Sultanate), Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam (in Demak Sultanate), and other books that apply in the Sultanate in the Archipelago. The dispute resolution mechanism stipulated in the book is done through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a person or head of a custom in the field of law or the field of religion.

Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on consensus deliberations in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 5). Each individual is asked to relent and put the interests of society above personal interests to maintain harmony together. The concept of deliberation is effective in resolving disputes in society amid the failure of the role of the state and the courts in delivering justice (Barnes, 2007: 109).

The public thinks it will suffer greater harm if it takes the dispute to court. The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is vital to maintaining public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for

dispute resolution in the community, both private and public (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 6). Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by everyday people is an effective tool to maintain regularity and public order and effective in dealing with criminal justice issues.

Christopher Moore (2003: 20-23), a mediation expert, included deliberation as a form of traditional mediation. Deliberations among the traditional community are usually conducted by community leaders, religious leaders, and the elderly. When members of the public are in contention and unable to resolve themselves, they go to community leaders to facilitate that the fighting parties can seek to solve the problem. Until now, such habits still live among Indonesians. Religious leaders and community leaders perform voluntary service to the community to maintain harmonious and harmonious relationships.

Indonesians are very familiar with the functionalization of deliberation institutions as part of the mechanism for resolving cases. Deliberations either organized by the perpetrator and the victim himself, or by involving police institutions or prosecutors, or through traditional institutions show the mindset of the community in looking at a problem that arises. Settlement mechanisms through deliberation can work in the community.

Although Indonesia consists of many tribes, customs, languages, and ways of resolving disputes, it has basic similarities in resolving all kinds of disputes both public and private, namely consensus deliberation mechanisms. This mechanism is the same as the essence that is to be achieved in restorative justice mechanisms in which the parties compromise to reach a meeting point that benefits all parties until an agreement is reached.

Indonesians have a tradition of self-governing including resolving disputes. Although there are some vigilante actions, many more can be solved by the community. The tradition of deliberation is embraced by the Indonesian community, in addition to sensitivities that consider it inappropriate to be brought to justice, as well as atheism towards law enforcement.

Problem-solving through deliberation is a mindset that is summarized in restorative justice. Deliberation institutions are known as highly institutionalized mediation in the civil justice system (Kusumaatmadja, 2002: 14). The process of dialogue as a medium of communication becomes the main capital of the implementation of mediation institutions. The whole process can be found in the model of restorative justice.

The association of people's lives as a place for individuals to interact is certainly different, and there can even be conflicts of interest that can lead to conflict. To resolve the conflict there is a consensus, and they obey it as a form of loyalty to social solidarity. Social awareness makes them submit and accept. Because in a reciprocal relationship, always need each other as a form of embodiment of indigenous law society.

There is an expression of *Ubi societas ibi ius*, that where there is a society there is a law. Each society generally has a social institution as well as legal institutions in its own life which is unique and different as a peculiar form of social life. The social institutions and institutions laws formed in society generally go hand in hand with the walking of people's lives (Rahardjo, 1983: 144).

Pancasila as the value of national life aspired by the Indonesian nation is the source of all living legal resources and based on the values in society. Even Pancasila is excavated from the traditional values that are the viewpoint of the Indonesian nation in the state (Putrajaya, 2005: 266), so restorative justice can also be excavated from Pancasila values with the principle of:

- a. Recognition that Indonesians are creatures of the One True God. The existence of sanctions in restorative justice should not be determined by any religious beliefs embraced by the People of Indonesia. Sanctions against a person must be directed at the awareness of the perpetrator's faith so that he can repent and become a faithful and obedient human being, so that sanctioning must serve as mental coaching for the perpetrator and transform the perpetrator into a religious human being;

- b. Recognition of the nobility of human dignity and dignity as god's creation. The lifting of sanctions should not undermine his most basic human rights and not demean his dignity for any reason;
3. Foster national solidarity with others, as a sesame citizen. Perpetrators are directed at efforts to increase tolerance for others, foster sensitivity to the interests of the nation, and direct against repeating the crime;
4. Cultivate maturity as a citizen who serves, can control himself, be disciplined, and respect and obey the law as a form of people's decision; and
5. Raising awareness of each individual's obligations as a social being, which ends justice along with others as fellow citizens (Zulfa, 2012: 19).

Pancasila in the history of the Indonesian nation has been through a political consensus and philosophical consensus so that it is a philosophical system and is also a system of values embraced by the nation. Although influenced by other great world thoughts, Pancasila can be said to be a great work of Indonesians so it should be considered as a core philosophy that is a local genius and local wisdom of the Indonesian nation.

Harmonization and consistency between the development of national law and the values and socio-cultural that exist in society are indispensable. It is necessary to study and excavate national values that are sourced in Pancasila and that are sourced on the values that exist in society (religious values as well as cultural/indigenous values) (Arief, 2007: 8).

Pancasila is the core philosophy of the Indonesian nation. As a core philosophy Pancasila becomes a source of value for the legal system in Indonesia. Please 4 Pancasila mentions "The People Led by Wisdom of Wisdom in The Community / Representative". The philosophy of deliberation or deliberation means:

- a. Prioritize deliberation in making decisions for the common good;
- b. Respect every decision of deliberation;
- c. The decision taken must be morally accountable to the One God; and
- d. Upholding human dignity and dignity, the value of truth and justice puts unity and unity first for the common good.

The 4th precept of Pancasila can be a guide in determining an option through deliberation and prioritizing deliberation in making decisions for the common interest. Deliberation to reach consensus is filled with the spirit of kinship, so that if the philosophy of "deliberation" is broken down, it contains 5 (five) principles as follows. First, conferencing (meeting to hear each other and express desires). Second, search solutions (looking for a solution or meeting point for the problem at hand). Third, reconciliation (to make peace with each other's responsibilities). Fourth, repair (repairing all the consequences that arise). Fifth, circles (support each other). The principles are needed and are the keywords in restorative justice so that restorative justice finds its basis in the philosophy of the 4th principle of Pancasila (Prayitno, 2012: 414). These five principles have long been embedded and rooted in the culture of Indonesian society.

2. The Relevance of the Restorative Justice Approach to the Settlement of Criminal Cases in the Criminal Law System in Indonesia

The settlement of criminal cases in the future needs to lead to the application of restorative justice. Restorative justice can be seen as a new paradigm that can be used as a frame for a strategy for handling criminal acts aimed at addressing dissatisfaction with the functioning of the current criminal justice

system. With restorative justice, it is more likely that all parties can obtain it, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

The number of law cases in Indonesia that are not resolved or have ended with unsatisfactory ends has made the public disappointed with the legal process. This is because the existing legal mechanisms do not support the achievement of justice. It is exacerbated by the moral and social crisis that befell the law enforcement apparatus so that the law tends to be rigid on the one hand, and on the other hand very elastic on the other hand, according to whom the rule of law is enforced. Various things that appear in legal life are often not well explained (Raharjo, 2011: 3).

The downturn of law in Indonesia is dominantly caused by two factors, namely the corrupt behavior of law enforcers (professional Juris) and the mindset of law enforcers who are very confined in legalistic-positivistic thoughts (Mujahidin, 2007: 52). The deteriorating legal condition ultimately does not have a place in the hearts of the people because it does not provide answers to just legal needs. The phenomenon of taking the law into one's own hands in society can be used as an indication of the weakening public trust in legal mechanisms.

Law does not always take the position of balancing the interests of society because law tends to accommodate the interests of certain elites (Pekuweli, 2008: 359-370). The indication is when law enforcement places too much emphasis on the aspect of legal certainty by ignoring justice and legal benefits for the community. The adage of justice has changed along with the development of a century of modern nationalism which prioritizes the power of reason, rarely satisfies the human mind about the meaning and meaning of justice in the rhythm of legal movement in society (Atmasasmita, 2001: 30).

The law in its implementation must be fair, but what often happens is that it is injustice. Law enforcement officials are not yet fully aware of this (Friedrich, 2004: 239). The law enforcement process is still far from a sense of community justice. Even though the essence of law is justice itself.

Justice in law is the right of every citizen which must be guaranteed and protected by the state. Even the right to legal justice is affirmed in Article 3 of the Republic of Indonesia Law Number 39 the Year 1999 concerning Human Rights that; "Everyone has the right to recognition, guarantee, protection, and fair legal treatment as well as to legal certainty and equal treatment before the law". Legal justice that appears is more of a legal-formal nature, justice based on written text that is in law (rule-bound).

Law enforcers should be able to feel the moral message contained in statutory regulation. There is no eternal law, because the law is a definite formula, while it must deal with life that is always changing. Laws that are fixated on the formulation of words will be left behind from the changes that occur in society, which must be controlled or controlled (Raharjo, 2008: 11).

A restorative justice approach is needed to create justice that benefits all parties in conflict. The settlement of criminal acts using a restorative justice approach solves a criminal problem by trying to improve it to its original state through an agreement between the parties involved. This includes improving relations between the parties (victims, perpetrators, their families, and communities) related to the incident.

The importance of the restorative justice approach to be applied in the criminal law system is also in line with the responsive legal theory put forward by Philippe Nonet and Philip Selznick. According to responsive legal theory, the law is no longer seen as an independent entity but must be able to interact with other entities with the main objective of adopting existing interests in society. The law will be better able to understand or interpret the disobedience and disorder that occurs in society. Because in a responsive law, the space for dialogue is wide open to provide discourse and a pluralistic idea as a reality (Nonet and Selznick, 1978: 73-74).

Responsive law is no longer based on mere juridical considerations, but looks at a problem from various perspectives in the pursuit of "substantive justice". Law is only a means, and justice must be an end to be pursued, even though it does not always use a legal perspective. The flexibility of the law is very

responsive to other matters outside the law. The opportunity to participate is also more open. Legal action is a vehicle for groups, organizations, and tendencies to participate in determining public policy (Tanya et al., 2010: 204-211).

Legal work and its results are not only legal matters but part of a larger social process. Regulations can function effectively and are respected or obeyed when there is a psychological bond with those who bear the rules. Law does not exist in a vacuum but exists in society with the uniqueness of its respective cultural roots. Law must serve the community so that the legal system must be as distinctive as the cultural roots of the people it serves (Raharjido, 2003: 23). Whether a law is bad or not, depends on whether the law gives happiness to humans or not.

A criminal act is a violation against a person and is related to the state's obligation to defend these rights. The parties related to the criminal act should be involved in the process of determining the punishment. A criminal act has created an obligation to seek solutions for improvement, reconciliation, and creating peace. An action is considered a criminal act if it damages or harms the interests of others. To provide justice and restore it to its original state, it is necessary to punish the perpetrator. This is true in any society.

According to the development of modern Western law, it is the ruler who has the right to carry out the criminal process. The role of the ruler is absolute, and society, especially victims, is not involved at all. Theoretically, it is the role of the authorities or the state in protecting victims, therefore the perpetrator of the crime is dealing with the state so that a criminal act is an act that violates the state and its laws. As a result, both the community and the victim are not involved in determining the punishment imposed on the perpetrator, in the execution of the crime creates many problems, especially for the victim. Problems that arise and lead to victim dissatisfaction are:

- a. The victim feels that he does not receive protection from the state;
- b. Provide opportunities for perpetrators and law enforcers to collude;
- c. It often happens that the perpetrator has received a serious sentence but the victim is still not satisfied because the losses suffered by the victim are irreplaceable; and
- d. The parties are often dissatisfied with the resolution of the problem, thus requiring further legal proceedings ranging from the appeal, cassation to review.

For murder and injury/maltreatment, the legal process without involving the victim, of course, will not provide justice to the victim or his family. The justice that is aimed at is only justice that is created and according to the standards of the ruler, which of course is not the same as justice according to the victim. The completion of the murder case taken completely by the state will not encourage the improvement of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The settlement process pits the state party against the perpetrator's side. The result is that some win and some loss to the next level. This is not something strange if you see the purpose of punishment developed especially in Indonesia. The focus of the attention of the criminal is more on efforts to make the perpetrator become a good person, the perpetrator becomes a useful person again in society after serving a sentence, and as much as possible be punished as lightly as possible. Meanwhile, the victim or his family who was harmed and his harmony disturbed due to the actions of the perpetrator did not get attention and was not involved, even though the case happened to him.

This model of the imposition of crimes needs to be reexamined. Because for criminal acts against life and persecution, justice cannot be realized and harmony in society cannot be restored if the victim or his family does not involve them. It should be seen what causes the crime to occur. To find out and restore the original condition, the settlement process is by involving all people related to the criminal act. This process will be much more effective and more accepted by the community because the parties related to the crime collectively seek alternative solutions. This kind of model in Indonesia has been recognized and practiced by the community, namely deliberation.

The aim of the Indonesian criminal law in the future must lead to the protection of interests in a balanced manner. This balance can be achieved by involving the parties in the process of solving problems or criminal acts. The process of involving all parties related to a criminal act together and how to deal with future consequences or its implications is called the restorative justice approach.

The need for the concept of restorative justice to reform punishment is very important. The current criminal system for homicides presents further problems for the families of victims and perpetrators of crimes, such as:

- a. The conviction of the perpetrator of the crime does not satisfy the victim's family;
- b. The perpetrator's family still feels anxious about the threat of revenge from the victim's family;
- c. The formal process of criminal justice is time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain; and
- d. The family relationship between the victim and the perpetrator is severed (if they previously knew each other well).

The fundamental premise of the restorative justice paradigm is that crime is a violation of people and relationships rather than merely a violation of the law. The most appropriate response to criminal behavior, therefore, is to repair the harm caused by the wrongful act. As such, the criminal justice system should provide those most closely affected by the crime (the victim, the offender, and the community) an opportunity to come together to discuss the event and attempt to arrive at some type of understanding about what can be done to provide appropriate reparation (Latimer, et.al., 2005).

The application of restorative justice in several developed countries is also not just a discourse by criminal law academics and criminology. For example in North America, Australia, and several countries in Europe, restorative justice has been applied in the criminal justice process from the investigation stage to the execution stage (Wahid, 2009: 1).

If restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to the victims, perpetrators, society in general, and the country. The benefits for victims and perpetrators are as follows:

- a. Restorative justice focuses on justice for victims according to personal wishes and interests, not the state that determines it;
- b. Offer recovery for both the perpetrator and the victim so that there is no revenge; and
- c. Make the perpetrator responsible for the crime he has committed.

Meanwhile, the benefits for society in general and the state are as follows:

- a. The community is given space to handle their legal problems which are expected to be fairer. The simple and clear principle which is widely known and used in customary law in the handling of civil cases can also be applied in criminal law. Especially for countries whose legal systems do not recognize sharp criminal and civil differences such as countries in the Middle East that apply Islamic law widely;
- b. The burden on the State in some cases is reduced because the burden of dealing with criminal acts can be resolved independently by the community. Police, prosecutors, and courts can focus more on eradicating crimes with a more dangerous qualification and relating to broader security, such as narcotics, terrorism, human trafficking, or serious human rights violations. Administratively, the number of cases that enter the justice system can also decrease; and
- c. The burden of providing a budget for the administration of the criminal justice system, especially in terms of the administration of correctional institutions, is also reduced (Zulfa, 2012: 85).

Various principles and instruments in the restorative justice approach, the process of dialogue between perpetrator and victim is basic moral and the most important part. Direct dialogue between the

perpetrator and the victim makes the victim able to express what he feels, expressing the hope of fulfillment of the rights and desires of a settlement. Through the dialogue process, the perpetrator is expected to be moved to correct himself, realize his mistakes, and accept responsibility as a consequence of the crimes committed with full awareness. From this dialogue process, people can participate in realizing the results of the agreement and monitoring its implementation.

The settlement of criminal cases with restorative justice approaches offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts in a restorative justice approach is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that win-win solution and reconciliation can be done.

Restorative justice attempts to reconstitute the way people think about crime. Restorative justice advocates argue that crime is, more importantly, harm committed against individual victims and secondarily against a community than it is a breach of state laws. Repairing this harm is deemed more important than punishing the offender. By minimizing the governmental role in criminal justice and making the victim the central party, restorative justice recasts criminal justice more like civil law. In blurring the distinction between civil and criminal law, restorative justice is like other versions of informal justice, but its activist roots in North America lie in religiously based critiques of penal practices and its theoretical roots in a critique of dominant theories of criminology (Olson & Dzur, 2004).

Restorative justice is a movement within (and sometimes outside of) the criminal justice system, a victim-centered approach, with special relevance to marginalized populations, one of which is women. Unlike standard forms of criminal justice that are adversarial and hark back to primitive practices related to combat, restorative justice can be considered more humanistic (Van Ness, 2004). Instead of revenge, the emphasis is on a resolution. Typically, in this process, offenders take responsibility for their crimes and make restitution to the victim and community. Restorative justice approaches are promising in their ability to respond to relationship issues with special meaning for women (Wormer, 2009).

The application of restorative justice as a concept of thought that responds to the development of the criminal justice system by focusing on the needs of community engagement and victims who are sidelined with mechanisms that work in the criminal justice system that exists today. Through restorative justice approach try to empower victims and communities (Zulfa, 2006: 399). The meaning of criminal acts in the restorative justice approach is the same as the view of criminal law in general which is an attack on individuals and society as well as societal relations.

The main victim of a crime is not the state, as in the criminal justice system that now exists. The crime creates an obligation to correct the damage to the relationship as a result of a crime. Justice is interpreted as the process of finding problem-solving that occurs in a criminal case that the involvement of victims, communities, and perpetrators becomes important in the effort to improve, reconciliation, and guarantee the continuity of the improvement effort.

The implementation of restorative justice in several developed countries is not merely a debate by criminal law and criminology academicians. For example, in North America, Australia, and some countries in Europe restorative justice has been applied at all steps of the criminal justice process starting from investigation to execution. When restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to both the victim, the offender, the society in general, and the state (Hamzani, 2019).

The importance of the application of a restorative justice system for example for the crime of murder, the victim is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is no longer a concern for the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, sentencing is sought to make the fullest possible return of the victim's condition as before the crime struck the victim. Prison sentences are just an alternative criminal.

Conclusion

The restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian muslim society. The People of Indonesia have known the consensus deliberations. The institution of deliberation is held to find a solution that can satisfy all parties. Restorative justice values are also found in Pancasila as a philosophy in the state. Restorative justice in the future is considered necessary to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against lives. The restorative justice approach offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that a win-win solution and reconciliation can be done. The current criminal law is still oriented towards the entangled and rehabilitation of perpetrators of crimes only, while attention to the victim is not a concern.

Reference:

1. Arief, B.N. 2007. *Out of Court Case Settlement*, Semarang: Pustaka Magister.
2. Atmasasmita, R. 2001. *Legal Reform, Human Rights and Law Enforcement*, Bandung: Bandar Maju.
3. Barnes, B.E., 2007. *Culture, Conflict, and Mediation in the Asian Pacific*, Maryland: University of America Press.
4. Braithwaite, J. 2004. "Restorative Justice and De-Professionalization", *The Good Society*, 13 (1): 28-31.
5. Braithwaite, J. 2002. *Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
6. Dewi, D.S., & A.S. Fatahillah, 2010. *Penal Mediation: Application of Restorative Justice in Indonesian Juvenile Courts*, Depok: Indie-Publishing.
7. Friedrich, C.J. 2004. *Philosophy of Law: Historical Perspective*, Bandung: Nuansa dan Nusamedia.
8. Hamzani, A.I. et. al., 2019. "The Reorientation Of Criminal Justice System To Give Protection To Crime Victims", *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8, (08): 196-199.
9. Kusumaatmadja, M. 2002. *Legal Concepts in Development*, Bandung: Alumni.
10. Latimer, J., et.al. 2005. "The Effectiveness of Restorative Justice Practices: A Meta-Analysis", *The Prison Journal*, Vol. 85 (2): 127-144.
11. Manan, B. 2008. *Restorative Justice (An Introduction)*, in the Books *Reflections on the Dynamics of Legal Thought Series in the Last decade*, Jakarta: Perum Percetakan Negara Republik Indonesia.

12. Moore, C.W. 2003. *The Mediation Process: Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict*, San Fransisco: Jossey-bass.
13. Mujahidin, A. M. 2007. "Progressive Law: A Way Out of Legal Adversity in Indonesia", *Varia Peradilan*, 257 (XXII).
14. Mulyana, S. 1979. *Negara Kertagama dan Tafsir Sejarahnya*, Jakarta: Bhatara Karya Aksara.
15. Nonet, P. & P. Selznick, 1978. *Law and Society In Transition; Toward Responsive Law*, New York: Harper Colophon Books.
16. Olson, S. M. & A.W. Dzur, 2004. "Revisiting Informal Justice: Restorative Justice and Democratic Professionalism", *Law & Society Review*, 8 (1).
17. Pekuwali, U.L. 2008. "Positioning the Law as Balancing the Interests of the Community", *Jurnal Pro Justitia*, 26 (4).
18. Prayitno, K.P. 2012. "*Restorative Justice for Courts in Indonesia (Philosophical Juridical Perspective in Law Enforcement In Concreto)*", *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 12 (3).
19. Putrajaya, N. S. 2005. *Capita Selecta of Criminal Law*, Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
20. Rahardjo, S. & U. Sholehudin, 2011. *Law and Justice Society Perspective of Legal Sociology Studies*, Malang: Setara Press.
21. Rahardjo, S. 1983. *Law and Social Change*, Bandung: Alumni.
22. Rahardjo, S. 2003. *Other Faces of Law in Indonesia*, Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas.
23. Roche, D. 2006. "Dimensions of Restorative Justice", *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 217—238.
24. Strang, H. 2006. "Victim Evaluations of Face-to-Face Restorative Justice Conferences: A Quasi-Experimental Analysis", *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 281—306.
25. Tanya, BL., et.al., 2010. *Legal Theory; Human Order Strategy across Space and Generations*, Yogyakarta: Genta Publishing.
26. Wahid, E. 2009. *Restorative Justice and Conventional Justice in Criminal Law*, Jakarta: Penerbit Universitas Trisakti.
27. Wormer, K.v. 2009. "Restorative Justice as Social Justice for Victims of Gendered Violence: A Standpoint Feminist Perspective", *Social Work*, 54 (2).
28. Zulfa, E.A. 2006. "Shifting Criminal Paradigm in Indonesia", *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 36 (3).
29. Zulfa, E.A. 2012. *Restorative Justice*, Jakarta: Badan Penerbit Fakultas Hukum Universitas Indonesia..

5. Manuscripts Decisions

The screenshot displays the 'Manuscripts with Decisions' page on the Manuscript Central website. The page features a sidebar on the left with an 'Author Dashboard' and a main content area with a table of manuscript entries. The table has columns for ACTION, STATUS, ID, TITLE, SUBMITTED, and DECISIONED. Two entries are shown, each with a 'view decision letter' link. The system tray at the bottom shows the date as 24/09/2023 and the time as 21:17.

ACTION	STATUS	ID	TITLE	SUBMITTED	DECISIONED
Forms Completion submitted (10-Feb-2023) - view	Contact Journal ME: Palermo, Adrienne ME: Palermo, Mark <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accept (09-Feb-2023) view decision letter	IJOTCC-21-0150.R1	Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society View Submission	28-Oct-2022	09-Feb-2023
a revision has been submitted (IJOTCC-21-0150.R1)	Contact Journal ME: Palermo, Adrienne ME: Palermo, Mark <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major Revision (10-Oct-2022) a revision has been submitted view decision letter	IJOTCC-21-0150	Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society View Submission	21-Aug-2021	10-Oct-2022

6. Comments to Author from Reviewer

ScholarOne Manuscripts - Personal - Microsoft Edge
https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jtotcc?PARAMS=xiK_Aes1U9prK4NktcY6JxJgSJNlxH7MitkKXExicySRAf2hwrvvJgbnWxptkeX4BkUwEdPDQfuVRTDFAmHr9YoCrWw2Xf4PjyG2LtpjbiZNPw5qXS8UvGEJaN4t2...

Decision Letter (IJOTCC-21-0150)

From: chiefeditorjtotcc@gmail.com
To: al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id
CC:
Subject: International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology - Decision on Manuscript ID IJOTCC-21-0150
Body: 10-Oct-2022

Dear Dr. Hamzani,

Manuscript ID IJOTCC-21-0150 entitled "Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society" which you submitted to International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) and editor(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) suggest some substantial revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript accordingly. Your revision is due on 09-Nov-2022. For your convenience, there is a calendar reminder attached to this email.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jtotcc> and enter your Author Center, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You may also click the below link to start the revision process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jtotcc?URL_MASK=c55209d604b64efb9fd407e9b5b8dfa2

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using bold or colored text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Center.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. Please Note: Your response to reviewers and/or letter to the editor should NOT contain any author information, to preserve proper anonymizing. You should complete the Author Response to Reviewers form (available here: <https://sagepub.com/response-to-reviewers-template>), answering point-by-point the questions raised and referencing the page numbers where the changes are implemented. In summary, you will need to submit the following:

- a clean, revised main document in doc. or docx. (anonymized)
- a highlighted, revised main document in doc. or docx. (anonymized)
- a completed Author Response to Reviewers form that includes all the reviewer comments you received in full and answers the questions raised by reviewers in doc. or docx. (anonymized)

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
Dr. Mark Palermo
Editor in Chief, International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology
chiefeditorjtotcc@gmail.com

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author
The theme is very interesting and needs to be explored further. I suggest a general revision and restructuring of the text. The article has excerpts that make unnecessary repetitions. For example: "This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings." The methodology states that "In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews.". However, at no time was it described how these interviews were carried out.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author
The manuscript "Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society" is in need of substantial organization. As of now it is disjointed and lacking efficacy. There are a number of unclarified statements such as "Muslim Indonesians are familiar with restorative justice" - how so? And why? or "The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia". - how? relevant bibliography for consultation?
The introduction to the concept of Restorative Justice is missing.
The fundamental concept of Pancasila is not well introduced and explained for the average reader and this needs to be amended.
Furthermore statements such as "... before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia ..." need to be clarified. Similarly, "Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice", in other words the same. While immediately later the term restored is used, this needs to be amended.
Please clarify what is meant by the "funding system" for it is not clear.
There is no description of methodology.

Date Sent: 10-Oct-2022
File 1: [Author Due Date International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology.docx](#)

Close Window

28°C
Rekor tertinggi
21:26
24/09/2023

on the seine martin x gmail - Search x International Journal x youtube - Search x Full Album Gam x New tab x

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?hl=en&pli=1#inbox/FMfcgzGqQvtHNhLZKptdNXmTshKGdzDv

Sign in

Gmail Search in mail Active

501 of 1,010

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology - Decision on Manuscript ID IJOTCC-21-0150 External Inbox x

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology -onbehalfof@manus... Tue, Oct 11, 2022, 12:15AM to me

<p>Nov 9</p> <p>Wed</p>	<p>International Journal of Offender The...</p> <p>When Wed Nov 9, 2022 (UTC)</p> <p>Who Unknown Organizer*</p> <p>Add to calendar »</p>	<p>Agenda</p> <p>Wed Nov 9, 2022</p> <p>No earlier events</p> <p>All day International Journal of Offender The...</p> <p>No later events</p>
--------------------------------	---	---

10-Oct-2022

Dear Dr. Hamzani,

Manuscript ID IJOTCC-21-0150 entitled "Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society" which you submitted to International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) and editor(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) suggest some substantial revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript accordingly.

Your revision is due on 09-Nov-2022. For your convenience, there is a calendar reminder attached to this email.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijotcc> and enter your Author Center, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You may also click the below link to start the revision process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

*** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijotcc?URL_MASK=c55209d604b64efb9fd407e9b5b8dfa2

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using bold or colored text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Center.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. Please Note: Your response to reviewers and/or letter to the editor should NOT contain any author information, to preserve proper anonymizing. You should complete the Author Response to Reviewers form (available here: <https://sagepub.com/response-to-reviewers-template>), answering point-by-point the questions raised and referencing the page numbers where the changes are implemented.

In summary, you will need to submit the following:

- a clean, revised main document in doc. or docx. (anonymized)
- a highlighted, revised main document in doc. or docx. (anonymized)
- a completed Author Response to Reviewers form that includes all the reviewer comments you received in full and answers the questions raised by reviewers in doc. or docx. (anonymized)

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,
 Dr. Mark Palermo
 Editor in Chief, International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology
chiefeditorijotcc@gmail.com

Mail

- Inbox 1
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 30
- More

Labels +

Reviewer(s) Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The theme is very interesting and needs to be explored further. I suggest a general revision and restructuring of the text. The article has excerpts that make unnecessary repetitions. For example: "This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings."

The methodology states that "In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews.". However, at no time was it described how these interviews were carried out.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

The manuscript "Non-procedural Dispute Resolution:

Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society" is in need of substantial organization. As of now it is disjointed and lacking efficacy. There are a number of unclarified statements such as "Muslim Indonesians are familiar with restorative justice" – how so? And why? or "The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia", – how? relevant bibliography for consultation?

The introduction to the concept of Restorative Justice is missing.

The fundamental concept of Pancasila is not well introduced and explained for the average reader and this needs to be amended.

Furthermore statements such as "...before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia"... need to be clarified. Similarly, "Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice", in other words the same. While immediately later the term restored is used, this needs to be amended.

Please clarify what is meant by the "funding system" for it is not clear.

There is no description of methodology.

One attachment - Scanned by Gmail

Author Due Date International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology.ics [Download](#)

Reply Forward

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ui=2&ik=a6a7ddaf4f&attid=0.1&permmsgid=msg-f1746321701977299004&th=183c2e55e5e9983c&view=att&disp=safe

33°C Mendekati rekor Search ENG 14:38 29/09/2023

Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

5 **Abstract:** The Indonesian community is familiar with restorative justice. The substance of restorative justice is building joint participation between perpetrators and victims through third parties. The objectives of this study are: to describe restorative justice in the traditions of Indonesian society and to examine the relevance of restorative justice for the settlement of criminal cases in the future. This research uses a philosophical approach, namely legal construction at an ideal level in the future. The results show that the restorative justice approach has strong roots in 10 Indonesian society, namely deliberation to reach a consensus. Deliberative institutions are held to find solutions that can satisfy all parties. In the future, restoration justice needs to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against life. The restorative justice approach offers a different view from the approach applied in the current criminal system.

15 **One-Sentence Summary:** Restorative Justice has become a tradition in Indonesian society so it needs to be used as a model for future criminal case settlements.

Keywords: restorative justice, tradition, Pancasila, Indonesia, criminal

Introduction

Muslim Indonesians are familiar with restorative justice, an approach that is again planned in many countries to settle criminal cases. The restorative justice approach offers a different way of understanding and dealing with a crime. Restorative justice builds shared participation between perpetrators, victims, and community groups. Perpetrators, victims, and communities are placed as "stakeholders" who work together and strive to find a solution that is seen as fair to all parties (win-win solutions) (Manan, 2008: 4).

The emergence of restorative justice discourse as a model in resolving criminal cases as peacemaking criminology offers a choice about the form of funding that is non-violence, involving the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the public through a mediation mechanism. The basic idea of restorative justice is justice for all parties.

Restorative justice is seen as an alternative funding philosophy that spawns different forms of sanctions than conventional criminal ones that put perpetrators against the state (Braithwaite, 2002: 10). The mechanization of criminal justice through the current criminal justice system does not give attention and justice to the victim, because the center of attention is only on the perpetrator. The funding system, which is only oriented towards perpetrators if applied to crimes against the lives of others, does not provide justice to victims or their families. For example, the victim of the murder is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is not a concern of the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, the fund is required to recover the losses suffered by the victim so that the victim's condition returns as before the criminal event.

One way to think of restorative justice is simply as a particular method for dealing with a crime that brings together an offender, his or her victims, and their respective families and friends to discuss the aftermath of an incident and the steps that can be taken to repair the harm an offender has done. It was a handful of programs in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States using precisely this method, usually as an alternative to formal prosecution, that was the catalyst for the emergence of the restorative justice movement in the mid-1990s, and this way of thinking about restorative justice continues to dominate the restorative justice literature (Roche, 2006).

Muslim Indonesians are familiar with the consensus deliberation approach to resolving conflicts. The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia. An evaluation is needed to redesign the current funding model to be more effective and provide justice for all parties. It is time for the funding system to shift to providing attention and justice for all, not just for the perpetrators, but also the victims. The restorative justice approach is more likely to be obtained by all parties, especially victims who are sidelined in the current criminal justice system.

Research Methods

1. Type and Approach

This is a type of field research. Field research is research that is conducted directly on the subject of study. Because the data used in this study are primary data obtained directly by the researcher, field research is included. This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings.

2. *Data Collection*

This study relied on primary data as its source of information. Primary data is information gathered directly by researchers. In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews. This study is also aided by secondary data in the form of legal documents obtained both offline and online. An offline literature review is a task that is performed in order to locate library sources for data storage areas. While online writing is a method of locating library resources in cyberspace via the internet. Conventional literature searches are conducted by looking for library materials, book collections, and personal journals, purchasing books, and participating in scientific activities (seminars). While an online search is carried out by searching the internet.

3. *Data Analysis*

The method of data analysis used is qualitative, with an inductive flow of thought. The process of organizing and sorting data into patterns, categories, and basic units of description in order to find themes that can be presented in narrative form is known as qualitative data analysis. Because the data will be presented in a narrative-descriptive format rather than numbers or numeric, this study employs qualitative data analysis.

Discussion

1. *Restorative Justice in Indonesian Muslim Society Tradition*

Muslim Indonesians are familiar with restorative justice's restorative approach to resolving conflicts by consensus. The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia. In the event of a criminal offense, especially the loss of life due to a fatality such as a traffic accident, the settlement is done to prioritize family. The measure of justice is not based on retributive justice in the form of revenge (an eye for an eye) or imprisonment but based on insanity and forgiveness. Although common criminal acts handled by the community themselves are contrary to positive laws, this mechanism has managed to maintain harmony in the community. The involvement of state law enforcement officials often complicates and complicates matters (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 4).

Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice". The meaning of restorative justice is restored justice. Restoration includes the recovery of victim losses and the restoration of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The restoration of the relationship can be based on a mutual agreement between the victim and the perpetrator. The victim can tell about the losses he suffered and the perpetrator is allowed to redeem it through compensation mechanisms, peace, social work, and other agreements.

Terminologically, restorative justice means a fair solution involving the perpetrator, the victim, their family, and others involved in a crime, jointly seeking a solution to the crime and its implications, emphasizing the restoration back to its original state.

Restorative justice is a process where all the stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss how they have been affected by the injustice and to decide what should be done to repair the harm. With crime, restorative justice is about the idea that because crime hurts, justice should heal. It follows that conversations with those who have been hurt and with those who have afflicted the harm must be central to the process (Braithwaite, 2004).

Theoretical formulations for restorative justice or related theories in criminology have focused almost exclusively on effects on repeat offending by offenders (see, for example, Braithwaite, 1989, 2002; Sherman, 1993; Tyler, 1990), with no formal theories of victim consequences. While Braithwaite, for example, provides nuanced discussions of the benefits or costs of restorative justice as a whole, he does not suggest a detailed theoretical framework for why RJ should be

5 expected to provide benefits to victims. Nor does Strang (2002), in the only book so far devoted entirely to empirical work on the victims' perspective on restorative justice. Nevertheless, two theories from beyond criminology offer plausible rationales for predicting positive outcomes from restorative justice for participating crime victims. In psychology, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) research suggests that victims can benefit from extended "deconditioning" discussions of their prior traumas held in safe and controlled environments. In sociology, the theory of "interaction ritual" predicts that the emotional energy arising from a successful restorative justice conference will have positive benefits for victims by restoring their identity and sense of self-worth (Heather Strang, 2006).

10 The characteristics of customary law in each region are generally in line with the application of restorative justice, although the terms are different. Long before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, there had been a pattern of resolving a dispute both civil and criminal by using a restorative justice-like approach. During the Majapahit Kingdom, there was already a criminal law book, the *Book of Kutara Manawa*. There is a decree in the book that takes into account the importance of the victim in the decision-making, and also recognizes compensation on the part of the victim (Mulyana, 1979: 182-188). The main value of restorative justice has been included here, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, the public in making improvements to a crime.

15 Some laws look like restorative justice, such as those contained in *Qanun Mangkuta Alam* (in The Kingdom of Samudera Pasai, Aceh), and *Simbur Cahaya* (in Palembang Sultanate), *Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam* (in Demak Sultanate), and other books that apply in the Sultanate in the Archipelago. The dispute resolution mechanism stipulated in the book is done through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a person or head of a custom in the field of law or the field of religion.

20 Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on consensus deliberations in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 5). Each individual is asked to relent and put the interests of society above personal interests to maintain harmony together. The concept of deliberation is effective in resolving disputes in society amid the failure of the role of the state and the courts in delivering justice (Barnes, 2007: 109).

25 The public thinks it will suffer greater harm if it takes the dispute to court. The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is vital to maintaining public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for dispute resolution in the community, both private and public (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 6). Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by everyday people is an effective tool to maintain regularity and public order and effective in dealing with criminal justice issues.

30 Christopher Moore (2003: 20-23), a mediation expert, included deliberation as a form of traditional mediation. Deliberations among the traditional community are usually conducted by community leaders, religious leaders, and the elderly. When members of the public are in contention and unable to resolve themselves, they go to community leaders to facilitate that the fighting parties can seek to solve the problem. Until now, such habits still live among Indonesians. Religious leaders and community leaders perform voluntary service to the community to maintain harmonious and harmonious relationships.

35 Indonesians are very familiar with the functionalization of deliberation institutions as part of the mechanism for resolving cases. Deliberations either organized by the perpetrator and the victim himself, or by involving police institutions or prosecutors, or through traditional institutions show the mindset of the community in looking at a problem that arises. Settlement mechanisms through deliberation can work in the community.

Although Indonesia consists of many tribes, customs, languages, and ways of resolving disputes, it has basic similarities in resolving all kinds of disputes both public and private, namely consensus deliberation mechanisms. This mechanism is the same as the essence that is to be achieved in restorative justice mechanisms in which the parties compromise to reach a meeting point that benefits all parties until an agreement is reached.

Indonesians have a tradition of self-governing including resolving disputes. Although there are some vigilante actions, many more can be solved by the community. The tradition of deliberation is embraced by the Indonesian community, in addition to sensitivities that consider it inappropriate to be brought to justice, as well as atheism towards law enforcement.

Problem-solving through deliberation is a mindset that is summarized in restorative justice. Deliberation institutions are known as highly institutionalized mediation in the civil justice system (Kusumaatmadja, 2002: 14). The process of dialogue as a medium of communication becomes the main capital of the implementation of mediation institutions. The whole process can be found in the model of restorative justice.

The association of people's lives as a place for individuals to interact is certainly different, and there can even be conflicts of interest that can lead to conflict. To resolve the conflict there is a consensus, and they obey it as a form of loyalty to social solidarity. Social awareness makes them submit and accept. Because in a reciprocal relationship, always need each other as a form of embodiment of indigenous law society.

There is an expression of *Ubi societas ibi ius*, that where there is a society there is a law. Each society generally has a social institution as well as legal institutions in its own life which is unique and different as a peculiar form of social life. The social institutions and institutions laws formed in society generally go hand in hand with the walking of people's lives (Rahardjo, 1983: 144).

Pancasila as the value of national life aspired by the Indonesian nation is the source of all living legal resources and based on the values in society. Even Pancasila is excavated from the traditional values that are the viewpoint of the Indonesian nation in the state (Putrajaya, 2005: 266), so restorative justice can also be excavated from Pancasila values with the principle of:

- a. Recognition that Indonesians are creatures of the One True God. The existence of sanctions in restorative justice should not be determined by any religious beliefs embraced by the People of Indonesia. Sanctions against a person must be directed at the awareness of the perpetrator's faith so that he can repent and become a faithful and obedient human being, so that sanctioning must serve as mental coaching for the perpetrator and transform the perpetrator into a religious human being;
- b. Recognition of the nobility of human dignity and dignity as god's creation. The lifting of sanctions should not undermine his most basic human rights and not demean his dignity for any reason;
3. Foster national solidarity with others, as a sesame citizen. Perpetrators are directed at efforts to increase tolerance for others, foster sensitivity to the interests of the nation, and direct against repeating the crime;
4. Cultivate maturity as a citizen who serves, can control himself, be disciplined, and respect and obey the law as a form of people's decision; and
5. Raising awareness of each individual's obligations as a social being, which ends justice along with others as fellow citizens (Zulfa, 2012: 19).

Pancasila in the history of the Indonesian nation has been through a political consensus and philosophical consensus so that it is a philosophical system and is also a system of values embraced by the nation. Although influenced by other great world thoughts, Pancasila can be said to be a great work of Indonesians so it should be considered as a core philosophy that is a local genius and local wisdom of the Indonesian nation.

Harmonization and consistency between the development of national law and the values and socio-cultural that exist in society are indispensable. It is necessary to study and excavate national values that are sourced in Pancasila and that are sourced on the values that exist in society (religious values as well as cultural/indigenous values) (Arief, 2007: 8).

5 Pancasila is the core philosophy of the Indonesian nation. As a core philosophy Pancasila becomes a source of value for the legal system in Indonesia. Please 4 Pancasila mentions "The People Led by Wisdom of Wisdom in The Community / Representative". The philosophy of deliberation or deliberation means:

- a. Prioritize deliberation in making decisions for the common good;
- 10 b. Respect every decision of deliberation;
- c. The decision taken must be morally accountable to the One God; and
- d. Upholding human dignity and dignity, the value of truth and justice puts unity and unity first for the common good.

15 The 4th precept of Pancasila can be a guide in determining an option through deliberation and prioritizing deliberation in making decisions for the common interest. Deliberation to reach consensus is filled with the spirit of kinship, so that if the philosophy of "deliberation" is broken down, it contains 5 (five) principles as follows. First, conferencing (meeting to hear each other and express desires). Second, search solutions (looking for a solution or meeting point for the problem at hand). Third, reconciliation (to make peace with each other's responsibilities). Fourth, repair (repairing all the consequences that arise). Fifth, circles (support each other). The principles are
20 needed and are the keywords in restorative justice so that restorative justice finds its basis in the philosophy of the 4th principle of Pancasila (Prayitno, 2012: 414). These five principles have long been embedded and rooted in the culture of Indonesian society.

25 ***2. The Relevance of the Restorative Justice Approach to the Settlement of Criminal Cases in the Criminal Law System in Indonesia***

The settlement of criminal cases in the future needs to lead to the application of restorative justice. Restorative justice can be seen as a new paradigm that can be used as a frame for a strategy for
30 handling criminal acts aimed at addressing dissatisfaction with the functioning of the current criminal justice system. With restorative justice, it is more likely that all parties can obtain it, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

The number of lawl cases in Indonesia that are not resolved or have ended with unsatisfactory ends has made the public disappointed with the legal process. This is because the existing legal mechanisms do not support the achievement of justice. It is exacerbated by the moral and social
35 crisis that befell the law enforcement apparatus so that the law tends to be rigid on the one hand, and on the other hand very elastic on the other hand, according to whom the rule of law is enforced. Various things that appear in legal life are often not well explained (Raharjdo, 2011: 3).

The downturn of law in Indonesia is dominantly caused by two factors, namely the corrupt behavior of law enforcers (professional Juris) and the mindset of law enforcers who are very
40 confined in legalistic-positivistic thoughts (Mujahidin, 2007: 52). The deteriorating legal condition ultimately does not have a place in the hearts of the people because it does not provide answers to just legal needs. The phenomenon of taking the law into one's own hands in society can be used as an indication of the weakening public trust in legal mechanisms.

45 Law does not always take the position of balancing the interests of society because law tends to accommodate the interests of certain elites (Pekuweli, 2008: 359-370). The indication is when law enforcement places too much emphasis on the aspect of legal certainty by ignoring justice and legal benefits for the community. The adage of justice has changed along with the development of a century of modern nationalism which prioritizes the power of reason, rarely satisfies the human

mind about the meaning and meaning of justice in the rhythm of legal movement in society (Atmasasmita, 2001: 30).

The law in its implementation must be fair, but what often happens is that it is injustice. Law enforcement officials are not yet fully aware of this (Friedrich, 2004: 239). The law enforcement process is still far from a sense of community justice. Even though the essence of law is justice itself.

Justice in law is the right of every citizen which must be guaranteed and protected by the state. Even the right to legal justice is affirmed in Article 3 of the Republic of Indonesia Law Number 39 the Year 1999 concerning Human Rights that; "Everyone has the right to recognition, guarantee, protection, and fair legal treatment as well as to legal certainty and equal treatment before the law". Legal justice that appears is more of a legal-formal nature, justice based on written text that is in law (rule-bound).

Law enforcers should be able to feel the moral message contained in statutory regulation. There is no eternal law, because the law is a definite formula, while it must deal with life that is always changing. Laws that are fixated on the formulation of words will be left behind from the changes that occur in society, which must be controlled or controlled (Raharjdo, 2008: 11).

A restorative justice approach is needed to create justice that benefits all parties in conflict. The settlement of criminal acts using a restorative justice approach solves a criminal problem by trying to improve it to its original state through an agreement between the parties involved. This includes improving relations between the parties (victims, perpetrators, their families, and communities) related to the incident.

The importance of the restorative justice approach to be applied in the criminal law system is also in line with the responsive legal theory put forward by Philippe Nonet and Philip Selznick. According to responsive legal theory, the law is no longer seen as an independent entity but must be able to interact with other entities with the main objective of adopting existing interests in society. The law will be better able to understand or interpret the disobedience and disorder that occurs in society. Because in a responsive law, the space for dialogue is wide open to provide discourse and a pluralistic idea as a reality (Nonet and Selznick, 1978: 73-74).

Responsive law is no longer based on mere juridical considerations, but looks at a problem from various perspectives in the pursuit of "substantive justice". Law is only a means, and justice must be an end to be pursued, even though it does not always use a legal perspective. The flexibility of the law is very responsive to other matters outside the law. The opportunity to participate is also more open. Legal action is a vehicle for groups, organizations, and tendencies to participate in determining public policy (Tanya et al., 2010: 204-211).

Legal work and its results are not only legal matters but part of a larger social process. Regulations can function effectively and are respected or obeyed when there is a psychological bond with those who bear the rules. Law does not exist in a vacuum but exists in society with the uniqueness of its respective cultural roots. Law must serve the community so that the legal system must be as distinctive as the cultural roots of the people it serves (Raharjdo, 2003: 23). Whether a law is bad or not, depends on whether the law gives happiness to humans or not.

A criminal act is a violation against a person and is related to the state's obligation to defend these rights. The parties related to the criminal act should be involved in the process of determining the punishment. A criminal act has created an obligation to seek solutions for improvement, reconciliation, and creating peace. An action is considered a criminal act if it damages or harms the interests of others. To provide justice and restore it to its original state, it is necessary to punish the perpetrator. This is true in any society.

According to the development of modern Western law, it is the ruler who has the right to carry out the criminal process. The role of the ruler is absolute, and society, especially victims, is not

involved at all. Theoretically, it is the role of the authorities or the state in protecting victims, therefore the perpetrator of the crime is dealing with the state so that a criminal act is an act that violates the state and its laws. As a result, both the community and the victim are not involved in determining the punishment imposed on the perpetrator, in the execution of the crime creates many problems, especially for the victim. Problems that arise and lead to victim dissatisfaction are:

- a. The victim feels that he does not receive protection from the state;
- b. Provide opportunities for perpetrators and law enforcers to collude;
- c. It often happens that the perpetrator has received a serious sentence but the victim is still not satisfied because the losses suffered by the victim are irreplaceable; and
- d. The parties are often dissatisfied with the resolution of the problem, thus requiring further legal proceedings ranging from the appeal, cassation to review.

For murder and injury/maltreatment, the legal process without involving the victim, of course, will not provide justice to the victim or his family. The justice that is aimed at is only justice that is created and according to the standards of the ruler, which of course is not the same as justice according to the victim. The completion of the murder case taken completely by the state will not encourage the improvement of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The settlement process pits the state party against the perpetrator's side. The result is that some win and some loss to the next level. This is not something strange if you see the purpose of punishment developed especially in Indonesia. The focus of the attention of the criminal is more on efforts to make the perpetrator become a good person, the perpetrator becomes a useful person again in society after serving a sentence, and as much as possible be punished as lightly as possible. Meanwhile, the victim or his family who was harmed and his harmony disturbed due to the actions of the perpetrator did not get attention and was not involved, even though the case happened to him.

This model of the imposition of crimes needs to be reexamined. Because for criminal acts against life and persecution, justice cannot be realized and harmony in society cannot be restored if the victim or his family does not involve them. It should be seen what causes the crime to occur. To find out and restore the original condition, the settlement process is by involving all people related to the criminal act. This process will be much more effective and more accepted by the community because the parties related to the crime collectively seek alternative solutions. This kind of model in Indonesia has been recognized and practiced by the community, namely deliberation.

The aim of the Indonesian criminal law in the future must lead to the protection of interests in a balanced manner. This balance can be achieved by involving the parties in the process of solving problems or criminal acts. The process of involving all parties related to a criminal act together and how to deal with future consequences or its implications is called the restorative justice approach.

The need for the concept of restorative justice to reform punishment is very important. The current criminal system for homicides presents further problems for the families of victims and perpetrators of crimes, such as:

- a. The conviction of the perpetrator of the crime does not satisfy the victim's family;
- b. The perpetrator's family still feels anxious about the threat of revenge from the victim's family;
- c. The formal process of criminal justice is time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain; and
- d. The family relationship between the victim and the perpetrator is severed (if they previously knew each other well).

The fundamental premise of the restorative justice paradigm is that crime is a violation of people and relationships rather than merely a violation of the law. The most appropriate response to criminal behavior, therefore, is to repair the harm caused by the wrongful act. As such, the criminal justice system should provide those most closely affected by the crime (the victim, the offender,

and the community) an opportunity to come together to discuss the event and attempt to arrive at some type of understanding about what can be done to provide appropriate reparation (Latimer, et.al., 2005).

The application of restorative justice in several developed countries is also not just a discourse by criminal law academics and criminology. For example in North America, Australia, and several countries in Europe, restorative justice has been applied in the criminal justice process from the investigation stage to the execution stage (Wahid, 2009: 1).

If restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to the victims, perpetrators, society in general, and the country. The benefits for victims and perpetrators are as follows:

- a. Restorative justice focuses on justice for victims according to personal wishes and interests, not the state that determines it;
- b. Offer recovery for both the perpetrator and the victim so that there is no revenge; and
- c. Make the perpetrator responsible for the crime he has committed.

Meanwhile, the benefits for society in general and the state are as follows:

- a. The community is given space to handle their legal problems which are expected to be fairer. The simple and clear principle which is widely known and used in customary law in the handling of civil cases can also be applied in criminal law. Especially for countries whose legal systems do not recognize sharp criminal and civil differences such as countries in the Middle East that apply Islamic law widely;
- b. The burden on the State in some cases is reduced because the burden of dealing with criminal acts can be resolved independently by the community. Police, prosecutors, and courts can focus more on eradicating crimes with a more dangerous qualification and relating to broader security, such as narcotics, terrorism, human trafficking, or serious human rights violations. Administratively, the number of cases that enter the justice system can also decrease; and
- c. The burden of providing a budget for the administration of the criminal justice system, especially in terms of the administration of correctional institutions, is also reduced (Zulfa, 2012: 85).

Various principles and instruments in the restorative justice approach, the process of dialogue between perpetrator and victim is basic moral and the most important part. Direct dialogue between the perpetrator and the victim makes the victim able to express what he feels, expressing the hope of fulfillment of the rights and desires of a settlement. Through the dialogue process, the perpetrator is expected to be moved to correct himself, realize his mistakes, and accept responsibility as a consequence of the crimes committed with full awareness. From this dialogue process, people can participate in realizing the results of the agreement and monitoring its implementation.

The settlement of criminal cases with restorative justice approaches offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts in a restorative justice approach is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that win-win solution and reconciliation can be done.

Restorative justice attempts to reconstitute the way people think about crime. Restorative justice advocates argue that crime is, more importantly, harm committed against individual victims and secondarily against a community than it is a breach of state laws. Repairing this harm is deemed more important than punishing the offender. By minimizing the governmental role in criminal justice and making the victim the central party, restorative justice recasts criminal justice more like civil law. In blurring the distinction between civil and criminal law, restorative justice is like other versions of informal justice, but its activist roots in North America lie in religiously based

critiques of penal practices and its theoretical roots in a critique of dominant theories of criminology (Olson & Dzur, 2004).

Restorative justice is a movement within (and sometimes outside of) the criminal justice system, a victim-centered approach, with special relevance to marginalized populations, one of which is women. Unlike standard forms of criminal justice that are adversarial and hark back to primitive practices related to combat, restorative justice can be considered more humanistic (Van Ness, 2004). Instead of revenge, the emphasis is on a resolution. Typically, in this process, offenders take responsibility for their crimes and make restitution to the victim and community. Restorative justice approaches are promising in their ability to respond to relationship issues with special meaning for women (Wormer, 2009).

The application of restorative justice as a concept of thought that responds to the development of the criminal justice system by focusing on the needs of community engagement and victims who are sidelined with mechanisms that work in the criminal justice system that exists today. Through restorative justice approach try to empower victims and communities (Zulfa, 2006: 399). The meaning of criminal acts in the restorative justice approach is the same as the view of criminal law in general which is an attack on individuals and society as well as societal relations.

The main victim of a crime is not the state, as in the criminal justice system that now exists. The crime creates an obligation to correct the damage to the relationship as a result of a crime. Justice is interpreted as the process of finding problem-solving that occurs in a criminal case that the involvement of victims, communities, and perpetrators becomes important in the effort to improve, reconciliation, and guarantee the continuity of the improvement effort.

The implementation of restorative justice in several developed countries is not merely a debate by criminal law and criminology academicians. For example, in North America, Australia, and some countries in Europe restorative justice has been applied at all steps of the criminal justice process starting from investigation to execution. When restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to both the victim, the offender, the society in general, and the state (Hamzani, 2019).

The importance of the application of a restorative justice system for example for the crime of murder, the victim is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is no longer a concern for the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, sentencing is sought to make the fullest possible return of the victim's condition as before the crime struck the victim. Prison sentences are just an alternative criminal.

Conclusion

The restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian muslim society. The People of Indonesia have known the consensus deliberations. The institution of deliberation is held to find a solution that can satisfy all parties. Restorative justice values are also found in Pancasila as a philosophy in the state. Restorative justice in the future is considered necessary to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against lives. The restorative justice approach offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that a win-win solution and reconciliation can be done. The current criminal law is still oriented towards the entangled and rehabilitation of perpetrators of crimes only, while attention to the victim is not a concern.

References and Notes

1. Arief, B.N. 2007. *Out of Court Case Settlement*, Semarang: Pustaka Magister.
2. Atmasasmita, R. 2001. *Legal Reform, Human Rights and Law Enforcement*, Bandung: Bandar Maju.
- 5 3. Barnes, B.E., 2007. *Culture, Conflict, and Mediation in the Asian Pacific*, Maryland: University of America Press.
4. Braithwaite, J. 2004. "Restorative Justice and De-Professionalization", *The Good Society*, 13 (1): 28-31.
- 10 5. Braithwaite, J. 2002. *Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
6. Dewi, D.S., & A.S. Fatahillah, 2010. *Penal Mediation: Application of Restorative Justice in Indonesian Juvenile Courts*, Depok: Indie-Publishing.
7. Friedrich, C.J. 2004. *Philosophy of Law: Historical Perspective*, Bandung: Nuansa dan Nusamedia.
- 15 8. Hamzani, A.I. et. al., 2019. "The Reorientation Of Criminal Justice System To Give Protection To Crime Victims", *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8, (08): 196-199.
9. Kusumaatmadja, M. 2002. *Legal Concepts in Development*, Bandung: Alumni.
- 20 10. Latimer, J., et.al. 2005. "The Effectiveness of Restorative Justice Practices: A Meta-Analysis", *The Prison Journal*, Vol. 85 (2): 127-144.
11. Manan, B. 2008. *Restorative Justice (An Introduction)*, in the Books *Reflections on the Dynamics of Legal Thought Series in the Last decade*, Jakarta: Perum Percetakan Negara Republik Indonesia.
- 25 12. Moore, C.W. 2003. *The Mediation Process: Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict*, San Fransisco: Jossey-bass.
13. Mujahidin, A. M. 2007. "Progressive Law: A Way Out of Legal Adversity in Indonesia", *Varia Peradilan*, 257 (XXII).
14. Mulyana, S. 1979. *Negara Kertagama dan Tafsir Sejarahnya*, Jakarta: Bhatara Karya Aksara.
- 30 15. Nonet, P. & P. Selznick, 1978. *Law and Society In Transition; Toward Responsive Law*, New York: Harper Colophon Books.

16. Olson, S. M. & A.W. Dzur, 2004. "Revisiting Informal Justice: Restorative Justice and Democratic Professionalism", *Law & Society Review*, 8 (1).
17. Pekuwali, U.L. 2008. "Positioning the Law as Balancing the Interests of the Community", *Jurnal Pro Justitia*, 26 (4).
- 5 18. Prayitno, K.P. 2012. "Restorative Justice for Courts in Indonesia (Philosophical Juridical Perspective in Law Enforcement In Concreto)", *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 12 (3).
19. Putrajaya, N. S. 2005. *Capita Selecta of Criminal Law*, Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- 10 20. Rahardjo, S. & U. Sholehudin, 2011. *Law and Justice Society Perspective of Legal Sociology Studies*, Malang: Setara Press.
21. Rahardjo, S. 1983. *Law and Social Change*, Bandung: Alumni.
22. Rahardjo, S. 2003. *Other Faces of Law in Indonesia*, Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas.
23. Roche, D. 2006. "Dimensions of Restorative Justice", *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 217—238.
- 15 24. Strang, H. 2006. "Victim Evaluations of Face-to-Face Restorative Justice Conferences: A Quasi-Experimental Analysis", *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 281—306.
25. Tanya, BL., et.al., 2010. *Legal Theory; Human Order Strategy across Space and Generations*, Yogyakarta: Genta Publishing.
- 20 26. Wahid, E. 2009. *Restorative Justice and Conventional Justice in Criminal Law*, Jakarta: Penerbit Universitas Trisakti.
27. Wormer, K.v. 2009. "Restorative Justice as Social Justice for Victims of Gendered Violence: A Standpoint Feminist Perspective", *Social Work*, 54 (2).
28. Zulfa, E.A. 2006. "Shifting Criminal Paradigm in Indonesia", *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 36 (3).
- 25 29. Zulfa, E.A. 2012. *Restorative Justice*, Jakarta: Badan Penerbit Fakultas Hukum Universitas Indonesia.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to express many thanks to the Faculty of Law Universitas Pancasakti Tegal, which has provided financing in this research.

30 **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: AIH, NRY

Methodology: AIH, FDA, NK

Investigation: AIH, NRY, BTW

Project administration: NK, BTB

Supervision: AIH, FDA

Writing – original draft: AIH, NRY, NK

Writing – review & editing: AIH, NRY.

5 **Competing interests:** All authors in this article have no conflict of interest and this article is free of conflict.

Data and materials availability: All data used in this study are available at the Pancasakti University Library Universitas Pancasakti Tegal.

7. Author response to reviewers

Read the following instructions carefully and complete the below tables with your responses to the reviewer and editor comments.

Instructions for authors

- Add each individual comment from reviewers and editors to the first column
- Add more rows to each table where needed
- If you are responding to more than two reviewers, copy and paste one of the tables and edit the first column to read 'Reviewer 3 Comment', for example
- **Highlight the changes** made in a copy of your revised manuscript
- Add page numbers to the highlighted of version your revised manuscript
- Describe what you have changed in the Author Response column
- State the page number where each change can be found
- If you feel unable to address a comment or implement a suggestion, state why
- **IMPORTANT** for journals with **double-anonymized** peer review: Use **Document Inspector** to remove all personal information
- When submitting your revised manuscript, upload this form under the View and Respond to Decision Letter step
- Upload **two** copies of your revised manuscript under the File Upload step:
 - One clean copy, using the file designation 'Main Document'
 - One copy with changes highlighted, using the file designation 'Main Document'

Top tips

- Consider reviewer and editor comments carefully - don't rush!
- Submit your revision on time
- Be positive and constructive
- Keep your comments clear and concise
- Comprehensive updates and responses to reviewer and editor comments will minimize the need for future revisions

MANUSCRIPT ID: IJOTCC-21-0150

MANUSCRIPT TITLE: Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesia Society

EDITOR COMMENT	AUTHOR RESPONSE	PAGE NUMBER

REVIEWER 1 COMMENT	AUTHOR RESPONSE	PAGE NUMBER
<p>The theme is very interesting and needs to be explored further. I suggest a general revision and restructuring of the text. The article has excerpts that make unnecessary repetitions. For example: "This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena.</p>	<p>The article has been re-edited and certain parts have been emphasized and further explored. This study uses a phenomenological approach because the researcher observes that the practice of restorative justice has been carried out by the Indonesian people, especially the Muslim community, namely resolving family disputes by consensus deliberation. Dispute resolution through consensus deliberation is the core of the restorative approach which is currently being popularized to resolve cases non-litigation.</p>	<p>1, 3</p>
<p>This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings." The methodology states that "In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews.". However, at no time was it described how these interviews were carried out.</p>	<p>This study also uses data from observations and interviews. Observations are made in the settlement of criminal cases that are resolved through deliberation and consensus. Interviews were conducted with the parties, and why they chose to resolve the dispute through non-litigation channels.</p>	<p>5</p>

REVIEWER 2 COMMENT	AUTHOR RESPONSE	PAGE NUMBER
<p>The manuscript "Non-procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society" is in need of substantial organization. As of now it is disjointed and lacking efficacy.</p>	<p>This article has added data on taking examples of out-of-court dispute resolution. This is also an argument that restorative justice has become a tradition in Indonesian society. Many cases have been resolved through deliberation and consensus.</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>There are a number of unclarified statements such as "Muslim Indonesians are familiar with restorative justice" – how so? And why? or "The approach of deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the People of Indonesia". – how? relevant bibliography for consultation?</p>	<p>The purpose of the statement of the Indonesian Muslim community is that they are familiar with the restorative justice approach in resolving cases that the Muslim community prioritizes resolving cases with a consensus approach. Historically, there have been several legal rules similar to restorative justice, which were applied in the Islamic Sultanate long before Indonesia's independence, even long before Indonesia was colonized by the Dutch as contained in the <i>Qanun Mangkuta Alam</i> (in the Samudera Pasai Sultanate, Aceh), and the Book of <i>Simbur Cahaya</i> (in the Palembang Sultanate), <i>Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam</i> (in the Demak Sultanate), and other books applicable to the Sultanate in the Nusantara. The dispute resolution mechanism in the provisions of the book is carried out through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a traditional figure or head in the field of law or the field of religion. The bibliography has been improved by adding relevant ones.</p>	<p>1, 7</p>
<p>The introduction to the concept of Restorative Justice is missing. The fundamental concept of Pancasila is not well introduced and explained for the average reader and this needs to be amended.</p>	<p>The elaboration of restorative justice as a tradition widely practiced by the community has been improved. The rule of restorative justice is a value that has been widely practiced, namely prioritizing deliberation and consensus in resolving cases. Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on deliberation to reach a consensus in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement. Each individual puts the interests of the community above personal interests to maintain mutual harmony. The concept of deliberation is effective for resolving disputes in society amidst the failure of the role of the state and courts in providing justice. These values are crystallized in Pancasila as the basis of the Indonesian state. The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is very vital to maintain public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for dispute resolution in the community, both private and</p>	<p>2, 7, 8</p>

	<p>public. Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by the community daily is an effective tool to maintain order and public order and will be effective in dealing with criminal justice problems.</p> <p>Those are the values that are accumulated in Pancasila as the grand norm.</p>	
<p>Furthermore statements such as ..."before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia"... need to be clarified. Similarly, "Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice", in other words the same. While immediatley later the term restored is used, this needs to be amended. Please clarify what is meant by the "funding system" for it is not clear. There is no description of methodology.</p>	<p>The statement before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, Indonesia, there has been a pattern of resolving disputes, both civil and criminal, using an approach similar to restorative justice, which has been corrected. It means that the Indonesian people have been accustomed to resolving disputes with a restorative justice approach, for example, contained in the Book of Kutara Manawa during the Majapahit Kingdom. There is a provision in the book that pays attention to the interests of the victim in making a decision and also recognizes compensation for the victim. The main value of restorative justice has been contained, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the community in making improvements to a crime.</p> <p>The use of the term restorative justice has been improved by using consistent terms.</p> <p>The term punishment system is a "typo" and has been fixed. The truth is the criminal system.</p> <p>The methodology has been described each sub-discussion.</p>	<p>2, 7</p>

Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

Abstract

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice. The substance of restorative justice is building joint participation between perpetrators and victims through third parties. The objectives of this study are: to describe restorative justice in the traditions of Indonesian Muslim society and to examine the relevance of restorative justice for the settlement of criminal cases in the future. This research uses a philosophical approach, namely legal construction at an ideal level in the future. The results show that the restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society, namely deliberation to reach a consensus. Deliberative institutions are held to find solutions that can satisfy all parties. In the future, restoration justice needs to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against life. The restorative justice approach offers a different view from the approach applied in the current criminal system.

Keywords: restorative justice, tradition, Pancasila, Indonesia, criminal.

Introduction

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice, an approach that has been re-discussed in many countries to resolve criminal cases. The restorative justice approach offers a different way of looking at understanding and dealing with a crime. The substance of restorative justice builds joint participation between perpetrators, victims, and community groups.

Perpetrators, victims, and the community are placed as "stakeholders" who work together and try to find solutions that are considered fair for all parties (win-win solutions) (Manan, 2008: 4).

The emergence of restorative justice discourse as a model in resolving criminal cases as peacemaking criminology offers a choice about the form of funding that is non-violence, involving the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the public through a mediation mechanism. The basic idea of restorative justice is justice for all parties.

Restorative justice is seen as an alternative funding philosophy that spawns different forms of sanctions than conventional criminal ones that put perpetrators against the state (Braithwaite, 2002: 10). The mechanization of criminal justice through the current criminal justice system does not give attention and justice to the victim, because the center of attention is only on the perpetrator. The criminal system which is only oriented towards perpetrators if applied to crimes against the lives of others, does not provide justice to victims or their families. For example, the victim of the murder is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is not a concern of the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, the fund is required to recover the losses suffered by the victim so that the victim's condition returns as before the criminal event.

One way to think of restorative justice is simply as a particular method for dealing with a crime that brings together an offender, his or her victims, and their respective families and friends to

discuss the aftermath of an incident and the steps that can be taken to repair the harm an offender has done. It was a handful of programs in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States using precisely this method, usually as an alternative to formal prosecution, that was the catalyst for the emergence of the restorative justice movement in the mid-1990s, and this way of thinking about restorative justice continues to dominate the restorative justice literature (Roche, 2006).

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with the deliberation and consensus approach to resolving conflicts. The deliberation approach has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community. Deliberation for consensus is taught by Islam as the religion adopted by the majority of the Indonesian population. An evaluation is needed to redesign the current criminal model to be more effective and provide justice for all parties. It is time for the criminal system to shift to give attention and justice to all, not only the perpetrators of the crime, but also the victims. With a restorative justice approach, justice is more likely to be obtained by all parties, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

Research Methods

1. Type and Approach

This is a type of field research. Field research is research that is conducted directly on the subject of study. Because the data used in this study are primary data obtained directly by the researcher, field research is included. This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings.

2. *Data Collection*

This study relied on primary data as its source of information. Primary data is information gathered directly by researchers. In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews. This study is also aided by secondary data in the form of legal documents obtained both offline and online. An offline literature review is a task that is performed in order to locate library sources for data storage areas. While online writing is a method of locating library resources in cyberspace via the internet. Conventional literature searches are conducted by looking for library materials, book collections, and personal journals, purchasing books, and participating in scientific activities (seminars). While an online search is carried out by searching the internet.

3. *Data Analysis*

The method of data analysis used is qualitative, with an inductive flow of thought. The process of organizing and sorting data into patterns, categories, and basic units of description in order to find themes that can be presented in narrative form is known as qualitative data analysis. Because the data will be presented in a narrative-descriptive format rather than numbers or numeric, this study employs qualitative data analysis.

Discussion

1. *Restorative Justice in the Tradition of Indonesian Muslim Society*

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with an approach similar to restorative justice in resolving conflicts by deliberation to reach consensus. The practice of deliberation and consensus

is taught by Islam. It is natural that consensus deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community.

Culturally, the practice of deliberation and consensus actually always wants to be put forward in solving cases, including criminal cases. The measure of justice is not based on retributive justice in the form of revenge (an eye for an eye) or imprisonment, but based on conviction and forgiveness. Although general criminal acts handled by the community themselves are contrary to positive law, this mechanism has succeeded in maintaining harmony in the community. The involvement of state law enforcement officers often complicates and exacerbates the problem (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 4).

For example, many criminal cases, especially the crime of killing people due to negligence, can be resolved through deliberation and consensus by prioritizing kinship. Organizing consensus deliberation involving the families of victims, perpetrators and third parties. Third parties sometimes involve community leaders or religious leaders, and are also facilitated by police law enforcement (Interview with HRW, 2022).

The peace process is through deliberation for consensus, through a fairly long dialogue process, and the role of a third party as a mediator is necessary and dominant. This is understandable because humanly the victim's family will feel as the right party and must benefit because the sufferer. Likewise, the perpetrator, humanly will also try not to be blamed and free from responsibility. It is the third party as a mediator whose role is to precipitate each other's egoism, how to make the perpetrator admit his mistake and take responsibility, and the victim's family willingly forgives and accepts it (Interview with AW, 2022).

Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice". The meaning of restorative justice is restored justice. Restoration includes the recovery of victim losses and the restoration of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The restoration of the

relationship can be based on a mutual agreement between the victim and the perpetrator. The victim can tell about the losses he suffered and the perpetrator is allowed to redeem it through compensation mechanisms, peace, social work, and other agreements.

Terminologically, restorative justice means a fair solution involving the perpetrator, the victim, their family, and others involved in a crime, jointly seeking a solution to the crime and its implications, emphasizing the restoration back to its original state. Restorative justice is a process where all the stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss how they have been affected by the injustice and to decide what should be done to repair the harm. With crime, restorative justice is about the idea that because crime hurts, justice should heal. It follows that conversations with those who have been hurt and with those who have afflicted the harm must be central to the process (Braithwaite, 2004).

Theoretical formulations for restorative justice or related theories in criminology have focused almost exclusively on effects on repeat offending by offenders (see, for example, Braithwaite, 1989, 2002; Sherman, 1993; Tyler, 1990), with no formal theories of victim consequences. While Braithwaite, for example, provides nuanced discussions of the benefits or costs of restorative justice as a whole, he does not suggest a detailed theoretical framework for why RJ should be expected to provide benefits to victims. Nor does Strang (2002), in the only book so far devoted entirely to empirical work on the victims' perspective on restorative justice. Nevertheless, two theories from beyond criminology offer plausible rationales for predicting positive outcomes from restorative justice for participating crime victims. In psychology, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) research suggests that victims can benefit from extended "deconditioning" discussions of their prior traumas held in safe and controlled environments. In sociology, the theory of "interaction ritual" predicts that the emotional energy arising from a successful

restorative justice conference will have positive benefits for victims by restoring their identity and sense of self-worth (Heather Strang, 2006).

The characteristics of customary law in each region are generally in line with the application of restorative justice, although the terms are different. Long before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, there had been a pattern of resolving a dispute both civil and criminal by using a restorative justice-like approach. During the Majapahit Kingdom, there was already a criminal law book, the Book of Kutara Manawa. There is a decree in the book that takes into account the importance of the victim in the decision-making, and also recognizes compensation on the part of the victim (Mulyana, 1979: 182-188). The main value of restorative justice has been included here, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, the public in making improvements to a crime.

Some laws look like restorative justice, such as those contained in *Qanun Mangkuta Alam* (in Samudera Pasai Sultanate, Aceh), *Simbur Cahaya* (in Palembang Sultanate), *Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam* (in Demak Sultanate), and other books that apply in the Sultanate in the Nusantara. The dispute resolution mechanism stipulated in the book is done through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a person or head of a custom in the field of law or the field of religion (Hamzani, 2016).

Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on consensus deliberations in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 5). Each individual is asked to relent and put the interests of society above personal interests to maintain harmony together. The concept of deliberation is effective in resolving disputes in society amid the failure of the role of the state and the courts in delivering justice (Barnes, 2007: 109).

Until now, people think that they will experience greater losses if they take the dispute to court. There is even an adage in the community that "if you lose a goat, then report it to law

enforcement officials, instead of returning the goat, you will lose the cow". The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is very vital to maintain public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for dispute resolution in the community, both private and public (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 6). Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by the community daily is an effective tool to maintain order and public order and is effective in dealing with criminal justice problems.

Christopher Moore (2003: 20-23), a mediation expert, included deliberation as a form of traditional mediation. Deliberations among the traditional community are usually conducted by community leaders, religious leaders, and the elderly. When members of the public are in contention and unable to resolve themselves, they go to community leaders to facilitate that the fighting parties can seek to solve the problem. Until now, such habits still live among Indonesians. Religious leaders and community leaders perform voluntary service to the community to maintain harmonious and harmonious relationships.

Indonesians are very familiar with the functionalization of deliberation institutions as part of the mechanism for resolving cases. Deliberations either organized by the perpetrator and the victim himself, or by involving police institutions or prosecutors, or through traditional institutions show the mindset of the community in looking at a problem that arises. Settlement mechanisms through deliberation can work in the community.

Although Indonesia consists of many tribes, customs, languages, and methods of dispute resolution, it has basic similarities in resolving all types of public and private disputes, namely the mechanism of deliberation and consensus. The only difference is the history of acculturation, such as the Muslim community because of the influence of Islamic teachings. This mechanism is the same as the essence to be achieved in a restorative justice mechanism where the parties compromise to reach a common ground that benefits all parties until an agreement is reached.

Indonesians have a tradition of self-governing including resolving disputes. Although there are some vigilante actions, many more can be solved by the community. The tradition of deliberation is embraced by the Indonesian community, in addition to sensitivities that consider it inappropriate to be brought to justice, as well as atheism towards law enforcement.

Problem-solving through deliberation is a mindset that is summarized in restorative justice.

Deliberation institutions are known as highly institutionalized mediation in the civil justice system (Kusumaatmadja, 2006: 14). The process of dialogue as a medium of communication becomes the main capital of the implementation of mediation institutions. The whole process can be found in the model of restorative justice.

The association of people's lives as a place for individuals to interact is certainly different, and there can even be conflicts of interest that can lead to conflict. To resolve the conflict there is a consensus, and they obey it as a form of loyalty to social solidarity. Social awareness makes them submit and accept. Because in a reciprocal relationship, always need each other as a form of embodiment of indigenous law society.

There is an expression of *Ubi societas ibi ius*, that where there is a society there is a law. Each society generally has a social institution as well as legal institutions in its own life which is unique and different as a peculiar form of social life. The social institutions and institutions laws formed in society generally go hand in hand with the walking of people's lives (Rahardjo, 1983: 144).

Pancasila as the value of national life aspired by the Indonesian nation is the source of all living legal resources and based on the values in society. Even Pancasila is extracted from religious and customary values which are the perspective of the Indonesian nation in the state (Putrajaya, 2005: 266), so restorative justice can also be excavated from Pancasila values with the principle of:

- a. Recognition that Indonesians are creatures of the One True God. The existence of sanctions in restorative justice should not be determined by any religious beliefs embraced by the People of Indonesia. Sanctions against a person must be directed at the awareness of the perpetrator's faith so that he can repent and become a faithful and obedient human being, so that sanctioning must serve as mental coaching for the perpetrator and transform the perpetrator into a religious human being;
- b. Recognition of the nobility of human dignity and dignity as god's creation. The lifting of sanctions should not undermine his most basic human rights and not demean his dignity for any reason;
3. Foster national solidarity with others, as a sesame citizen. Perpetrators are directed at efforts to increase tolerance for others, foster sensitivity to the interests of the nation, and direct against repeating the crime;
4. Cultivate maturity as a citizen who serves, can control himself, be disciplined, and respect and obey the law as a form of people's decision; and
5. Raising awareness of each individual's obligations as a social being, which ends justice along with others as fellow citizens (Zulfa, 2012: 19).

Pancasila in the history of the Indonesian nation has been through a political consensus and philosophical consensus so that it is a philosophical system and is also a system of values embraced by the nation. Although influenced by other great world thoughts, Pancasila can be said to be a great work of Indonesians so it should be considered as a core philosophy that is a local genius and local wisdom of the Indonesian nation.

Harmonization and consistency between the development of national law and the values and socio-cultural that exist in society are indispensable. It is necessary to study and excavate national

values that are sourced in Pancasila and that are sourced on the values that exist in society (religious values as well as cultural/indigenous values) (Arief, 2007: 8).

Pancasila is the core philosophy of the Indonesian nation. As a core philosophy Pancasila becomes a source of value for the legal system in Indonesia. Please 4 Pancasila mentions "The People Led by Wisdom of Wisdom in The Community / Representative". The philosophy of deliberation or deliberation means:

- a. Prioritize deliberation in making decisions for the common good;
- b. Respect every decision of deliberation;
- c. The decision taken must be morally accountable to the One God; and
- d. Upholding human dignity and dignity, the value of truth and justice puts unity and unity first for the common good.

The 4th precept of Pancasila can be a guide in determining an option through deliberation and prioritizing deliberation in making decisions for the common interest. Deliberation to reach consensus is filled with the spirit of kinship, so that if the philosophy of "deliberation" is broken down, it contains 5 (five) principles as follows. First, conferencing (meeting to hear each other and express desires). Second, search solutions (looking for a solution or meeting point for the problem at hand). Third, reconciliation (to make peace with each other's responsibilities). Fourth, repair (repairing all the consequences that arise). Fifth, circles (support each other). The principles are needed and are the keywords in restorative justice so that restorative justice finds its basis in the philosophy of the 4th principle of Pancasila (Prayitno, 2012: 414). These five principles have long been embedded and rooted in the culture of Indonesian society.

2. The Relevance of the Restorative Justice Approach to the Settlement of Criminal Cases in the Criminal Law System in Indonesia

The settlement of criminal cases in the future needs to lead to the application of restorative justice. Restorative justice can be seen as a new paradigm that can be used as a frame for a strategy for handling criminal acts aimed at addressing dissatisfaction with the functioning of the current criminal justice system. With restorative justice, it is more likely that all parties can obtain it, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

The number of lawl cases in Indonesia that are not resolved or have ended with unsatisfactory ends has made the public disappointed with the legal process. This is because the existing legal mechanisms do not support the achievement of justice. It is exacerbated by the moral and social crisis that befell the law enforcement apparatus so that the law tends to be rigid on the one hand, and on the other hand very elastic on the other hand, according to whom the rule of law is enforced. Various things that appear in legal life are often not well explained (Raharjdo, 2011: 3).

The downturn of law in Indonesia is dominantly caused by two factors, namely the corrupt behavior of law enforcers (professional Juris) and the mindset of law enforcers who are very confined in legalistic-positivistic thoughts (Mujahidin, 2007: 52). The deteriorating legal condition ultimately does not have a place in the hearts of the people because it does not provide answers to just legal needs. The phenomenon of taking the law into one's own hands in society can be used as an indication of the weakening public trust in legal mechanisms.

Law does not always take the position of balancing the interests of society because law tends to accommodate the interests of certain elites (Pekuweli, 2008: 359-370). The indication is when law enforcement places too much emphasis on the aspect of legal certainty by ignoring justice and legal benefits for the community. The adage of justice has changed along with the development of a century of modern nationalism which prioritizes the power of reason, rarely

satisfies the human mind about the meaning and meaning of justice in the rhythm of legal movement in society (Atmasasmita, 2001: 30).

The law in its implementation must be fair, but what often happens is that it is injustice. Law enforcement officials are not yet fully aware of this (Friedrich, 2004: 239). The law enforcement process is still far from a sense of community justice. Even though the essence of law is justice itself.

Justice in law is the right of every citizen which must be guaranteed and protected by the state. Even the right to legal justice is affirmed in Article 3 of the Republic of Indonesia Law Number 39 the Year 1999 concerning Human Rights that; "Everyone has the right to recognition, guarantee, protection, and fair legal treatment as well as to legal certainty and equal treatment before the law". Legal justice that appears is more of a legal-formal nature, justice based on written text that is in law (rule-bound).

Law enforcers should be able to feel the moral message contained in statutory regulation. There is no eternal law, because the law is a definite formula, while it must deal with life that is always changing. Laws that are fixated on the formulation of words will be left behind from the changes that occur in society, which must be controlled or controlled (Raharjdo, 2008: 11).

A restorative justice approach is needed to create justice that benefits all parties in conflict. The settlement of criminal acts using a restorative justice approach solves a criminal problem by trying to improve it to its original state through an agreement between the parties involved. This includes improving relations between the parties (victims, perpetrators, their families, and communities) related to the incident.

The importance of the restorative justice approach to be applied in the criminal law system is also in line with the responsive legal theory put forward by Philippe Nonet and Philip Selznick (2009). According to responsive legal theory, the law is no longer seen as an independent entity

but must be able to interact with other entities with the main objective of adopting existing interests in society. The law will be better able to understand or interpret the disobedience and disorder that occurs in society. Because in a responsive law, the space for dialogue is wide open to provide discourse and a pluralistic idea as a reality (Nonet and Selznick, 2009).

Responsive law is no longer based on mere juridical considerations, but looks at a problem from various perspectives in the pursuit of "substantive justice". Law is only a means, and justice must be an end to be pursued, even though it does not always use a legal perspective. The flexibility of the law is very responsive to other matters outside the law. The opportunity to participate is also more open. Legal action is a vehicle for groups, organizations, and tendencies to participate in determining public policy (Tanya et al., 2010: 204-211).

Legal work and its results are not only legal matters but part of a larger social process.

Regulations can function effectively and are respected or obeyed when there is a psychological bond with those who bear the rules. Law does not exist in a vacuum but exists in society with the uniqueness of its respective cultural roots. Law must serve the community so that the legal system must be as distinctive as the cultural roots of the people it serves (Raharjdo, 2003: 23).

Whether a law is bad or not, depends on whether the law gives happiness to humans or not.

A criminal act is a violation against a person and is related to the state's obligation to defend these rights. The parties related to the criminal act should be involved in the process of determining the punishment. A criminal act has created an obligation to seek solutions for improvement, reconciliation, and creating peace. An action is considered a criminal act if it damages or harms the interests of others. To provide justice and restore it to its original state, it is necessary to punish the perpetrator. This is true in any society.

According to the development of modern Western law, it is the ruler who has the right to carry out the criminal process. The role of the ruler is absolute, and society, especially victims, is not

involved at all. Theoretically, it is the role of the authorities or the state in protecting victims, therefore the perpetrator of the crime is dealing with the state so that a criminal act is an act that violates the state and its laws. As a result, both the community and the victim are not involved in determining the punishment imposed on the perpetrator, in the execution of the crime creates many problems, especially for the victim. Problems that arise and lead to victim dissatisfaction are:

- a. The victim feels that he does not receive protection from the state;
- b. Provide opportunities for perpetrators and law enforcers to collude;
- c. It often happens that the perpetrator has received a serious sentence but the victim is still not satisfied because the losses suffered by the victim are irreplaceable; and
- d. The parties are often dissatisfied with the resolution of the problem, thus requiring further legal proceedings ranging from the appeal, cassation to review.

For murder and injury/maltreatment, the legal process without involving the victim, of course, will not provide justice to the victim or his family. The justice that is aimed at is only justice that is created and according to the standards of the ruler, which of course is not the same as justice according to the victim. The completion of the murder case taken completely by the state will not encourage the improvement of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The settlement process pits the state party against the perpetrator's side. The result is that some win and some loss to the next level. This is not something strange if you see the purpose of punishment developed especially in Indonesia. The focus of the attention of the criminal is more on efforts to make the perpetrator become a good person, the perpetrator becomes a useful person again in society after serving a sentence, and as much as possible be punished as lightly as possible. Meanwhile, the victim or his family who was harmed and his harmony disturbed due to

the actions of the perpetrator did not get attention and was not involved, even though the case happened to him.

This model of the imposition of crimes needs to be reexamined. Because for criminal acts against life and persecution, justice cannot be realized and harmony in society cannot be restored if the victim or his family does not involve them. It should be seen what causes the crime to occur. To find out and restore the original condition, the settlement process is by involving all people related to the criminal act. This process will be much more effective and more accepted by the community because the parties related to the crime collectively seek alternative solutions. This kind of model in Indonesia has been recognized and practiced by the community, namely deliberation.

The aim of the Indonesian criminal law in the future must lead to the protection of interests in a balanced manner. This balance can be achieved by involving the parties in the process of solving problems or criminal acts. The process of involving all parties related to a criminal act together and how to deal with future consequences or its implications is called the restorative justice approach.

The need for the concept of restorative justice to reform punishment is very important. The current criminal system for homicides presents further problems for the families of victims and perpetrators of crimes, such as:

- a. The conviction of the perpetrator of the crime does not satisfy the victim's family;
- b. The perpetrator's family still feels anxious about the threat of revenge from the victim's family;
- c. The formal process of criminal justice is time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain; and
- d. The family relationship between the victim and the perpetrator is severed (if they previously knew each other well).

The fundamental premise of the restorative justice paradigm is that crime is a violation of people and relationships rather than merely a violation of the law. The most appropriate response to criminal behavior, therefore, is to repair the harm caused by the wrongful act. As such, the criminal justice system should provide those most closely affected by the crime (the victim, the offender, and the community) an opportunity to come together to discuss the event and attempt to arrive at some type of understanding about what can be done to provide appropriate reparation (Latimer, et.al., 2005).

The application of restorative justice in several developed countries is also not just a discourse by criminal law academics and criminology. For example in North America, Australia, and several countries in Europe, restorative justice has been applied in the criminal justice process from the investigation stage to the execution stage (Wahid, 2009: 1).

If restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to the victims, perpetrators, society in general, and the country. The benefits for victims and perpetrators are as follows:

- a. Restorative justice focuses on justice for victims according to personal wishes and interests, not the state that determines it;
- b. Offer recovery for both the perpetrator and the victim so that there is no revenge; and
- c. Make the perpetrator responsible for the crime he has committed.

Meanwhile, the benefits for society in general and the state are as follows:

- a. The community is given space to handle their legal problems which are expected to be fairer. The simple and clear principle which is widely known and used in customary law in the handling of civil cases can also be applied in criminal law. Especially for countries whose legal systems do not recognize sharp criminal and civil differences such as countries in the Middle East that apply Islamic law widely;

- b. The burden on the State in some cases is reduced because the burden of dealing with criminal acts can be resolved independently by the community. Police, prosecutors, and courts can focus more on eradicating crimes with a more dangerous qualification and relating to broader security, such as narcotics, terrorism, human trafficking, or serious human rights violations. Administratively, the number of cases that enter the justice system can also decrease; and
- c. The burden of providing a budget for the administration of the criminal justice system, especially in terms of the administration of correctional institutions, is also reduced (Zulfa, 2012: 85).

Various principles and instruments in the restorative justice approach, the process of dialogue between perpetrator and victim is basic moral and the most important part. Direct dialogue between the perpetrator and the victim makes the victim able to express what he feels, expressing the hope of fulfillment of the rights and desires of a settlement. Through the dialogue process, the perpetrator is expected to be moved to correct himself, realize his mistakes, and accept responsibility as a consequence of the crimes committed with full awareness. From this dialogue process, people can participate in realizing the results of the agreement and monitoring its implementation.

The settlement of criminal cases with restorative justice approaches offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts in a restorative justice approach is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that win-win solution and reconciliation can be done.

Restorative justice attempts to reconstitute the way people think about crime. Restorative justice advocates argue that crime is, more importantly, harm committed against individual victims and secondarily against a community than it is a breach of state laws. Repairing this harm is deemed more important than punishing the offender. By minimizing the governmental role in criminal justice and making the victim the central party, restorative justice recasts criminal justice more like civil law. In blurring the distinction between civil and criminal law, restorative justice is like other versions of informal justice, but its activist roots in North America lie in religiously based critiques of penal practices and its theoretical roots in a critique of dominant theories of criminology (Olson & Dzur, 2004).

Restorative justice is a movement within (and sometimes outside of) the criminal justice system, a victim-centered approach, with special relevance to marginalized populations, one of which is women. Unlike standard forms of criminal justice that are adversarial and hark back to primitive practices related to combat, restorative justice can be considered more humanistic (Van Ness, 2004). Instead of revenge, the emphasis is on a resolution. Typically, in this process, offenders take responsibility for their crimes and make restitution to the victim and community. Restorative justice approaches are promising in their ability to respond to relationship issues with special meaning for women (Wormer, 2009).

The application of restorative justice as a concept of thought that responds to the development of the criminal justice system by focusing on the needs of community engagement and victims who are sidelined with mechanisms that work in the criminal justice system that exists today. Through restorative justice approach try to empower victims and communities (Zulfa, 2006: 399). The meaning of criminal acts in the restorative justice approach is the same as the view of criminal law in general which is an attack on individuals and society as well as societal relations.

The main victim of a crime is not the state, as in the criminal justice system that now exists. The crime creates an obligation to correct the damage to the relationship as a result of a crime. Justice is interpreted as the process of finding problem-solving that occurs in a criminal case that the involvement of victims, communities, and perpetrators becomes important in the effort to improve, reconciliation, and guarantee the continuity of the improvement effort.

The implementation of restorative justice in several developed countries is not merely a debate by criminal law and criminology academicians. For example, in North America, Australia, and some countries in Europe restorative justice has been applied at all steps of the criminal justice process starting from investigation to execution. When restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to both the victim, the offender, the society in general, and the state (Hamzani, 2019).

The importance of the application of a restorative justice system for example for the crime of murder, the victim is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is no longer a concern for the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, sentencing is sought to make the fullest possible return of the victim's condition as before the crime struck the victim. Prison sentences are just an alternative criminal.

Conclusion

The restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society. The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with deliberation and consensus. The institution of deliberation is held to find a solution that can satisfy all parties. Restorative justice values are also found in Pancasila as a philosophy in the state. Restorative justice in the future is considered necessary to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against lives. The

restorative justice approach offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that a win-win solution and reconciliation can be done. The current criminal law is still oriented towards the entangled and rehabilitation of perpetrators of crimes only, while attention to the victim is not a concern.

Reference

- Arief, B.N. 2007. *Penyelesaian Perkara di Luar Pengadilan* (Out of Court Case Settlement), Semarang: Pustaka Magister.
- Atmasasmita, R. 2001. *Reformasi Hukum, Hak Asasi Manusia dan Penegakan Hukum* (Legal Reform, Human Rights and Law Enforcement), Bandung: Bandar Maju.
<https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20252829>
- Barnes, B.E., 2007. *Culture, Conflict, and Mediation in the Asian Pacific*, Maryland: University of America Press. <https://www.amazon.com/Culture-Conflict-Mediation-Asian-Pacific/dp/0761834451>
- Braithwaite, J. 2004. “Restorative Justice and De-Professionalization”, *The Good Society*, 13 (1): 28-31. http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/RJ_De-Professionalization_2004.pdf
- Braithwaite, J. 2002. *Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press. <http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Restorative-Justice-and-Responsive-regulation-book.pdf>
- Dewi, D.S., & A.S. Fatahillah, 2010. *Mediasi Penal: Penerapan Restorative Justice di Pengadilan Anak Indonesia* (Penal Mediation: Application of Restorative Justice in Indonesian Juvenile Courts), Depok: Indie-Publishing.
<https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=984198>
- Friedrich, C.J. 2004. *Filsafat Hukum: Perspektif Historis* (Philosophy of Law: Historical Perspective), Bandung: Nuansa dan Nusamedia.
<https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=1155879>
- Hamzani, A.I., 2016. Sejarah Berlakunya Hukum Pidana Islam di Nusantara (History of the Application of Islamic Criminal Law in the Nusantara), *Hikmatuna: Journal for Integrative Islamic Studies*, 2 (2): 261-284. <https://e-journal.iainpekalongan.ac.id/index.php/hikmatuna/article/view/962>
- Hamzani, A.I. et. al., 2019. “The Reorientation Of Criminal Justice System To Give Protection To Crime Victims”, *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8, (08): 196-199. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/aug2019/The-Reorientation-Of-Criminal-Justice-System-To-Give-Protection-To-Crime-Victims.pdf>
- Kusumaatmadja, M. 2006. *Konsep-konsep Hukum dalam Pembangunan* (Legal Concepts in Development), Bandung: Alumni. <https://simpus.mkri.id/opac/detail-opac?id=5139>

- Latimer, J., et.al. 2005. The Effectiveness of Restorative Justice Practices: A Meta-Analysis, *The Prison Journal*, Vol. 85 (2): 127-144.
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0032885505276969>
- Manan, B. 2008. *Retorative Justice (Suatu Perkenalan)*, dalam *Refleksi Dinamika Hukum Rangkaian Pemikiran dalam Dekade Terakhir*, (Restorative Justice [An Introduction], in the Books Reflections on the Dynamics of Legal Thought Series in the Last Decade), Jakarta: Perum Percetakan Negara Republik Indonesia.
- Moore, C.W. 2003. *The Mediation Process: Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict*, San Fransisco: Jossey-bass. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/The+Mediation+Process:+Practical+Strategies+for+Resolving+Conflict,+4th+Edition-p-9781118304303>
- Mujahidin, A. M. 2007. Hukum Progresif: Jalan Keluar dari Keterpurukan Hukum di Indonesia (Progressive Law: A Way Out of Legal Adversity in Indonesia), *Varia Peradilan*, 257 (XXII). https://perpustakaan.mahkamahagung.go.id/slims/pa-boyolali/index.php?p=show_detail&id=544
- Mulyana, S. 1979. *Negara Kertagama dan Tafsir Sejarahnya* (Kertagama State and its Historical Interpretation), Jakarta: Bhatara Karya Aksara.
- Nonet, P. & P. Selznick, 2009. *Law and Society In Transition; Toward Responsive Law*, New York: Harper Colophon Books.
https://books.google.co.id/books?id=P5xrwZ8QyVwC&printsec=frontcover&hl=id&source=gbs_atb#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Olson, S. M. & A.W. Dzur, 2004. "Revisiting Informal Justice: Restorative Justice and Democratic Professionalism", *Law & Society Review*, 8 (1): 139-176.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1555115>
- Pekuwali, U.L. 2008. Memosisikan Hukum Sebagai Penyeimbang Kepentingan Masyarakat (Positioning the Law as Balancing the Interests of the Community), *Jurnal Pro Justitia*, 26 (4): 359-370. <https://journal.unpar.ac.id/index.php/projustitia/article/view/1093>
- Prayitno, K.P. 2012. *Restorative Justice* untuk Peradilan di Indonesia (Perspektif Yuridis Filosofis dalam Penegakan Hukum *In Concreto* (Restorative Justice for Courts in Indonesia (Philosophical Juridical Perspective in Law Enforcement In Concreto), *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 12 (3): 407-420.
<http://dinamikahukum.fh.unsoed.ac.id/index.php/JDH/article/view/116>
- Putrajaya, N. S. 2005. *Kapita Selekta Hukum Pidana* (Capita Selecta of Criminal Law), Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Rahardjo, S. & U. Sholehudin, 2011. *Hukum dan Keadilan Masyarakat Perspektif Kajian Sosiologi Hukum* (Law and Justice Society Perspective of Legal Sociology Studies), Malang: Setara Press.

- Rahardjo, S. 1983. *Hukum dan Perubahan Sosial* (Law and Social Change), Bandung: Alumni.
- Rahardjo, S. 2003. *Sisi-sisi Lain dari Hukum di Indonesia* (Other Faces of Law in Indonesia), Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas.
- Roche, D. 2006. Dimensions of Restorative Justice, *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 217—238. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00448.x>
- Strang, H., et.al. 2006. “Victim Evaluations of Face-to-Face Restorative Justice Conferences: A Quasi-Experimental Analysis”, *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 281—306. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00451.x>
- Tanya, BL., et.al., 2010. *Teori Hukum; Strategi Tertib Manusia Lintas Ruang dan Generasi* (Legal Theory; Human Order Strategy Across Space and Generations), Yogyakarta: Genta Publishing.
- Wahid, E. 2009. *Keadilan Restorative Justice dan Peradilan Konvensional dalam Hukum Pidana* (Restorative Justice and Conventional Justice in Criminal Law), Jakarta: Penerbit Universitas Trisakti.
- Wormer, K.v. 2009. “Restorative Justice as Social Justice for Victims of Gendered Violence: A Standpoint Feminist Perspective”, *Social Work*, 54 (2): 107-116. <https://refugeereseach.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Van-Wormer-K.-2009.-Restorative-justice-as-social-justice-for-victims-of-gendered-violence-A-standpoint-feminist-perspective.pdf>
- Zulfa, E.A. 2006. Pergeseran Paradigma Pemidanaan di Indonesia (Shifting Criminal Paradigm in Indonesia), *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 36 (3): 389-403. <http://digilib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20298221>
- Zulfa, E.A. 2010. Keadilan Restoratif dan Revitalisasi Lembaga Adat di Indonesia (Restorative Justice and Revitalization of Traditional Institutions in Indonesia), *Jurnal Kriminologi Indonesia*, 6 (II): 182-203. <http://journal.ui.ac.id/index.php/jki/article/viewFile/1114/1022>

**Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution:
Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society**

Abstract

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice. The substance of restorative justice is building joint participation between perpetrators and victims through third parties. The objectives of this study are: to describe restorative justice in the traditions of Indonesian Muslim society and to examine the relevance of restorative justice for the settlement of criminal cases in the future. This research uses a philosophical approach, namely legal construction at an ideal level in the future. The results show that the restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society, namely deliberation to reach a consensus. Deliberative institutions are held to find solutions that can satisfy all parties. In the future, restoration justice needs to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against life. The restorative justice approach offers a different view from the approach applied in the current criminal system.

Keywords: restorative justice, tradition, Pancasila, Indonesia, criminal.

Introduction

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice, an approach that has been re-discussed in many countries to resolve criminal cases. The ⁶ restorative justice approach offers a different way of looking at understanding and dealing with a crime. The substance of restorative justice builds joint participation between perpetrators, victims, and community groups.

Perpetrators, victims, and the community are placed as "stakeholders" who work together and try to find solutions that are considered fair for all parties (win-win solutions) (Manan, 2008: 4).

The emergence of restorative justice discourse as a model in resolving criminal cases as peacemaking criminology offers a choice about the form of funding that is non-violence, involving the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the public through a mediation mechanism. The basic idea of restorative justice is justice for all parties.

Restorative justice is seen as an alternative funding philosophy that spawns different forms of sanctions than conventional criminal ones that put perpetrators against the state (Braithwaite, 2002: 10). The mechanization of criminal justice through the current criminal justice system does not give attention and justice to the victim, because the center of attention is only on the perpetrator. The criminal system, which is only oriented towards perpetrators if applied to crimes against the lives of others, does not provide justice to victims or their families. For example, the victim of the murder is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is not a concern of the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, the fund is required to recover the losses suffered by the victim so that the victim's condition returns as before the criminal event.

One way to think of restorative justice is simply as a particular method for dealing with a crime that brings together an offender, his or her victims, and their respective families and friends to

discuss the aftermath of an incident and the steps that can be taken to repair the harm an offender has done. It was a handful of programs in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States using precisely this method, usually as an alternative to formal prosecution, that was the catalyst for the emergence of the restorative justice movement in the mid-1990s, and this way of thinking about restorative justice continues to dominate the restorative justice literature (Roche, 2006).

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with the deliberation and consensus approach to resolving conflicts. The deliberation approach has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community. Deliberation for consensus is taught by Islam as the religion adopted by the majority of the Indonesian population. An evaluation is needed to redesign the current criminal model to be more effective and provide justice for all parties. It is time for the criminal system to shift to give attention and justice to all, not only the perpetrators of the crime, but also the victims. With a restorative justice approach, justice is more likely to be obtained by all parties, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

Research Methods

1. Type and Approach

This is a type of field research. Field research is research that is conducted directly on the subject of study. Because ⁴ the data used in this study are primary data obtained directly by the researcher, field research is included. This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings.

2. Data Collection

This study relied on primary data as its source of information. Primary data is information gathered directly by researchers. ⁸ In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews. This study is also aided by secondary data in the form of legal documents obtained both offline and online. An offline literature review is a task that is performed in order to locate library sources for data storage areas. While online writing is a method of locating library resources in cyberspace via the internet. Conventional literature searches are conducted by looking for library materials, book collections, and personal journals, purchasing books, and participating in scientific activities (seminars). While an online search is carried out by searching the internet.

3. Data Analysis

The method of data analysis used is qualitative, with an inductive flow of thought. The process of organizing and sorting data into patterns, categories, and basic units of description in order to find themes that can be presented in narrative form is known as qualitative data analysis. Because the data will be presented in a narrative-descriptive format rather than numbers or numeric, this study employs qualitative data analysis.

Discussion

1. Restorative Justice in the Tradition of Indonesian Muslim Society

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with an approach similar to restorative justice in resolving conflicts by deliberation to reach consensus. The practice of deliberation and consensus

is taught by Islam. It is natural that consensus deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community.

Culturally, the practice of deliberation and consensus actually always wants to be put forward in solving cases, including criminal cases. The measure of justice is not based on retributive justice in the form of revenge (an eye for an eye) or imprisonment, but based on conviction and forgiveness. Although general criminal acts handled by the community themselves are contrary to positive law, this mechanism has succeeded in maintaining harmony in the community. The involvement of state law enforcement officers often complicates and exacerbates the problem (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 4).

For example, many criminal cases, especially the crime of killing people due to negligence, can be resolved through deliberation and consensus by prioritizing kinship. Organizing consensus deliberation involving the families of victims, perpetrators and third parties. Third parties sometimes involve community leaders or religious leaders, and are also facilitated by police law enforcement (Interview with HRW, 2022).

The peace process is through deliberation for consensus, through a fairly long dialogue process, and the role of a third party as a mediator is necessary and dominant. This is understandable because humanly the victim's family will feel as the right party and must benefit because the sufferer. Likewise, the perpetrator, humanly will also try not to be blamed and free from responsibility. It is the third party as a mediator whose role is to precipitate each other's egoism, how to make the perpetrator admit his mistake and take responsibility, and the victim's family willingly forgives and accepts it (Interview with AW, 2022).

Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice". The meaning of restorative justice is restored justice. Restoration includes the recovery of victim losses and the restoration of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The restoration of the

relationship can be based on a mutual agreement between the victim and the perpetrator. The victim can tell about the losses he suffered and the perpetrator is allowed to redeem it through compensation mechanisms, peace, social work, and other agreements.

Terminologically, restorative justice means a fair solution involving the perpetrator, the victim, their family, and others involved in a crime, jointly seeking a solution to the crime and its implications, emphasizing the restoration back to its original state. Restorative justice is a process where all the stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss how they have been affected by the injustice and to decide what should be done to repair the harm. With crime, restorative justice is about the idea that because crime hurts, justice should heal. It follows that conversations with those who have been hurt and with those who have afflicted the harm must be central to the process (Braithwaite, 2004).

Theoretical formulations for restorative justice or related theories in criminology have focused almost exclusively on effects on repeat offending by offenders (see, for example, Braithwaite, 1989, 2002; Sherman, 1993; Tyler, 1990), with no formal theories of victim consequences. While Braithwaite, for example, provides nuanced discussions of the benefits or costs of restorative justice as a whole, he does not suggest a detailed theoretical framework for why RJ should be expected to provide benefits to victims. Nor does Strang (2002), in the only book so far devoted entirely to empirical work on the victims' perspective on restorative justice. Nevertheless, two theories from beyond criminology offer plausible rationales for predicting positive outcomes from restorative justice for participating crime victims. In psychology, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) research suggests that victims can benefit from extended "deconditioning" discussions of their prior traumas held in safe and controlled environments. In sociology, the theory of "interaction ritual" predicts that the emotional energy arising from a successful

restorative justice conference will have positive benefits for victims by restoring their identity and sense of self-worth (Heather Strang, 2006).

The characteristics of customary law in each region are generally in line with the application of restorative justice, although the terms are different. Long before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, there had been a pattern of resolving a dispute both civil and criminal by using a restorative justice-like approach. During the Majapahit Kingdom, there was already a criminal law book, the Book of Kutara Manawa. There is a decree in the book that takes into account the importance of the victim in the decision-making, and also recognizes compensation on the part of the victim (Mulyana, 1979: 182-188). The main value of restorative justice has been included here, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, the public in making improvements to a crime.

Some laws look like restorative justice, such as those contained in *Qanun Mangkuta Alam* (in Samudera Pasai Sultanate, Aceh), *Simbur Cahaya* (in Palembang Sultanate), *Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam* (in Demak Sultanate), and other books that apply in the Sultanate in the Nusantara. The dispute resolution mechanism stipulated in the book is done through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a person or head of a custom ¹¹ in the field of law or the field of religion (Hamzani, 2016).

Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on consensus deliberations in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 5). Each individual is asked to relent and put the interests of society above personal interests to maintain harmony together. The concept of deliberation is effective in resolving disputes in society amid the failure of the role of the state and the courts in delivering justice (Barnes, 2007: 109).

Until now, people think that they will experience greater losses if they take the dispute to court. There is even an adage in the community that "if you lose a goat, then report it to law

enforcement officials, instead of returning the goat, you will lose the cow". The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is very vital to maintain public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for dispute resolution in the community, both private and public (Dewi and Fatahillah, 2010: 6). Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by the community daily is an effective tool to maintain order and public order and is effective in dealing with criminal justice problems.

Christopher Moore (2003: 20-23), a mediation expert, included deliberation as a form of traditional mediation. Deliberations among the traditional community are usually conducted by community leaders, religious leaders, and the elderly. When members of the public are in contention and unable to resolve themselves, they go to community leaders to facilitate that the fighting parties can seek to solve the problem. Until now, such habits still live among Indonesians. Religious leaders and community leaders perform voluntary service to the community to maintain harmonious and harmonious relationships.

Indonesians are very familiar with the functionalization of deliberation institutions as part of the mechanism for resolving cases. Deliberations either organized by the perpetrator and the victim himself, or by involving police institutions or prosecutors, or through traditional institutions show the mindset of the community in looking at a problem that arises. Settlement mechanisms through deliberation can work in the community.

Although Indonesia consists of many tribes, customs, languages, and methods of dispute resolution, it has basic similarities in resolving all types of public and private disputes, namely the mechanism of deliberation and consensus. The only difference is the history of acculturation, such as the Muslim community because of the influence of Islamic teachings. This mechanism is the same as the essence to be achieved in a restorative justice mechanism where the parties compromise to reach a common ground that benefits all parties until an agreement is reached.

Indonesians have a tradition of self-governing including resolving disputes. Although there are some vigilante actions, many more can be solved by the community. The tradition of deliberation is embraced by the Indonesian community, in addition to sensitivities that consider it inappropriate to be brought to justice, as well as atheism towards law enforcement.

Problem-solving through deliberation is a mindset that is summarized in restorative justice.

Deliberation institutions are known as highly institutionalized mediation in the civil justice system (Kusumaatmadja, 2006: 14). The process of dialogue as a medium of communication becomes ³ the main capital of the implementation of mediation institutions. The whole process can be found in the model of restorative justice.

The association of people's lives as a place for individuals to interact is certainly different, and there can even be conflicts of interest that can lead to conflict. To resolve the conflict there is a consensus, and they obey it as a form of loyalty to social solidarity. Social awareness makes them submit and accept. Because in a reciprocal relationship, always need each other as a form of embodiment of indigenous law society.

There is an expression of Ubi societas ibi ius, that where there is a society there is a law. Each society generally has a social institution as well as legal institutions in its own life which is unique and different as a peculiar form of social life. The social institutions and institutions laws formed in society generally go hand in hand with the walking of people's lives (Rahardjo, 1983: 144).

Pancasila as the value of national life aspired by the Indonesian nation is the source of all living legal resources and based on the values in society. Even Pancasila is extracted from religious and customary values which are the perspective of the Indonesian nation in the state (Putrajaya, 2005: 266), so restorative justice can also be excavated from Pancasila values with the principle of:

- a. Recognition that Indonesians are creatures of the One True God. The existence of sanctions in restorative justice should not be determined by any religious beliefs embraced by the People of Indonesia. Sanctions against a person must be directed at the awareness of the perpetrator's faith so that he can repent and become a faithful and obedient human being, so that sanctioning must serve as mental coaching for the perpetrator and transform the perpetrator into a religious human being;
- b. Recognition of the nobility of human dignity and dignity as god's creation. The lifting of sanctions should not undermine his most basic human rights and not demean his dignity for any reason;
3. Foster national solidarity with others, as a sesame citizen. Perpetrators are directed at efforts to increase tolerance for others, foster sensitivity to the interests of the nation, and direct against repeating the crime;
4. Cultivate maturity as a citizen who serves, can control himself, be disciplined, and respect and obey the law as a form of people's decision; and
5. Raising awareness of each individual's obligations as a social being, which ends justice along with others as fellow citizens (Zulfa, 2012: 19).

Pancasila in the history of the Indonesian nation has been through a political consensus and philosophical consensus so that it is a philosophical system and is also a system of values embraced by the nation. Although influenced by other great world thoughts, Pancasila can be said to be a great work of Indonesians so it should be considered as a core philosophy that is a local genius and local wisdom of the Indonesian nation.

Harmonization and consistency between the development of national law and the values and socio-cultural that exist in society are indispensable. It is necessary to study and excavate national

values that are sourced in Pancasila and that are sourced on the values that exist in society (religious values as well as cultural/indigenous values) (Arief, 2007: 8).

Pancasila is the core philosophy of the Indonesian nation. As a core philosophy Pancasila becomes a source of value for the legal system in Indonesia. Please 4 Pancasila mentions "The People Led by Wisdom of Wisdom in The Community / Representative". The philosophy of deliberation or deliberation means:

- a. Prioritize deliberation in making decisions for the common good;
- b. Respect every decision of deliberation;
- c. The decision taken must be morally accountable to the One God; and
- d. Upholding human dignity and dignity, the value of truth and justice puts unity and unity first for the common good.

The 4th precept of Pancasila can be a guide in determining an option through deliberation and prioritizing deliberation in making decisions for the common interest. Deliberation to reach consensus is filled with the spirit of kinship, so that if the philosophy of "deliberation" is broken down, it contains 5 (five) principles as follows. First, conferencing (meeting to hear each other and express desires). Second, search solutions (looking for a solution or meeting point for the problem at hand). Third, reconciliation (to make peace with each other's responsibilities). Fourth, repair (repairing all the consequences that arise). Fifth, circles (support each other). The principles are needed and are the keywords in restorative justice so that restorative justice finds its basis in the philosophy of the 4th principle of Pancasila (Prayitno, 2012: 414). These five principles have long been embedded and rooted in the culture of Indonesian society.

2. The Relevance of the Restorative Justice Approach to the Settlement of Criminal Cases in the Criminal Law System in Indonesia

The settlement of criminal cases in the future needs to lead to the application of restorative justice. ¹³ Restorative justice can be seen as a new paradigm that can be used as a frame for a strategy for handling criminal acts aimed at addressing dissatisfaction with the functioning of the current criminal justice system. With restorative justice, it is more likely that all parties can obtain it, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

The number of law cases in Indonesia that are not resolved or have ended with unsatisfactory ends has made the public disappointed with the legal process. This is because the existing legal mechanisms do not support the achievement of justice. It is exacerbated by the moral and social crisis that befell the law enforcement apparatus so that the law tends to be rigid on the one hand, and on the other hand very elastic on the other hand, according to whom the rule of law is enforced. Various things that appear in legal life are often not well explained (Raharjdo, 2011: 3).

The downturn of law in Indonesia is dominantly caused by two factors, namely the corrupt behavior of law enforcers (professional Juris) and the mindset of law enforcers who are very confined in legalistic-positivistic thoughts (Mujahidin, 2007: 52). The deteriorating legal condition ultimately does not have ⁷ a place in the hearts of the people because it does not provide answers to just legal needs. The phenomenon of taking the law into one's own hands in society can be used as an indication of the weakening public trust in legal mechanisms.

Law does not always take the position of balancing the interests of society because law tends to accommodate the interests of certain elites (Pekuweli, 2008: 359-370). The indication is when law enforcement places too much emphasis on the aspect of legal certainty by ignoring justice and legal benefits for the community. The adage of justice has changed along with the development of a century of modern nationalism which prioritizes the power of reason, rarely

satisfies the human mind about the meaning and meaning of justice in the rhythm of legal movement in society (Atmasasmita, 2001: 30).

The law in its implementation must be fair, but what often happens is that it is injustice. Law enforcement officials are not yet fully aware of this (Friedrich, 2004: 239). The law enforcement process is still far from a sense of community justice. Even though the essence of law is justice itself.

Justice in law is the right of every citizen which must be guaranteed and protected by the state. Even the right to legal justice is affirmed in Article 3 of the Republic of Indonesia Law Number 39 the Year 1999 concerning Human Rights that; "Everyone has the right to recognition, guarantee, protection, and fair legal treatment as well as to legal certainty and equal treatment before the law". Legal justice that appears is more of a legal-formal nature, justice based on written text that is in law (rule-bound).

Law enforcers should be able to feel the moral message contained in statutory regulation. There is no eternal law, because the law is a definite formula, while it must deal with life that is always changing. Laws that are fixated on the formulation of words will be left behind from the changes that occur in society, which must be controlled or controlled (Raharjdo, 2008: 11).

A restorative justice approach is needed to create justice that benefits all parties in conflict. The settlement of criminal acts using a restorative justice approach solves a criminal problem by trying to improve it to its original state through an agreement between the parties involved. This includes improving relations between the parties (victims, perpetrators, their families, and communities) related to the incident.

The importance of the restorative justice approach to be applied in the criminal law system is also in line with the responsive legal theory put forward by Philippe Nonet and Philip Selznick (2009). According to responsive legal theory, the law is no longer seen as an independent entity

but must be able to interact with other entities with the main objective of adopting existing interests in society. The law will be better able to understand or interpret the disobedience and disorder that occurs in society. Because in a responsive law, the space for dialogue is wide open to provide discourse and a pluralistic idea as a reality (Nonet and Selznick, 2009).

Responsive law is no longer based on mere juridical considerations, but looks at a problem from various perspectives in the pursuit of "substantive justice". Law is only a means, and justice must be an end to be pursued, even though it does not always use a legal perspective. The flexibility of the law is very responsive to other matters outside the law. The opportunity to participate is also more open. Legal action is a vehicle for groups, organizations, and tendencies to participate in determining public policy (Tanya et al., 2010: 204-211).

Legal work and its results are not only legal matters but part of a larger social process.

Regulations can function effectively and are respected or obeyed when there is a psychological bond with those who bear the rules. ¹² Law does not exist in a vacuum but exists in society with the uniqueness of its respective cultural roots. Law must serve the community so that the legal system must be as distinctive as the cultural roots of the people it serves (Raharjdo, 2003: 23).

Whether a law is bad or not, depends on whether the law gives happiness to humans or not.

A criminal act is a violation against a person and is related to the state's obligation to defend these rights. The parties related to the criminal act should be involved in the process of determining the punishment. A criminal act has created an obligation to seek solutions for improvement, reconciliation, and creating peace. An action is considered a criminal act if it damages or harms the interests of others. To provide justice and restore it to its original state, it is necessary to punish the perpetrator. This is true in any society.

According to the development of modern Western law, it is the ruler who has the right to carry out the criminal process. The role of the ruler is absolute, and society, especially victims, is not

involved at all. Theoretically, it is the role of the authorities or the state in protecting victims, therefore the perpetrator of the crime is dealing with the state so that a criminal act is an act that violates the state and its laws. As a result, both the community and the victim are not involved in determining the punishment imposed on the perpetrator, in the execution of the crime creates many problems, especially for the victim. Problems that arise and lead to victim dissatisfaction are:

- a. The victim feels that he does not receive protection from the state;
- b. Provide opportunities for perpetrators and law enforcers to collude;
- c. It often happens that the perpetrator has received a serious sentence but the victim is still not satisfied because the losses suffered by the victim are irreplaceable; and
- d. The parties are often dissatisfied with the resolution of the problem, thus requiring further legal proceedings ranging from the appeal, cassation to review.

For murder and injury/maltreatment, the legal process without involving the victim, of course, will not provide justice to the victim or his family. The justice that is aimed at is only justice that is created and according to the standards of the ruler, which of course is not the same as justice according to the victim. The completion of the murder case taken completely by the state will not encourage the improvement of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The settlement process pits the state party against the perpetrator's side. The result is that some win and some loss to the next level. This is not something strange if you see the purpose of punishment developed especially in Indonesia. The focus of the attention of the criminal is more on efforts to make the perpetrator become a good person, the perpetrator becomes a useful person again in society after serving a sentence, and as much as possible be punished as lightly as possible. Meanwhile, the victim or his family who was harmed and his harmony disturbed due to

the actions of the perpetrator did not get attention and was not involved, even though the case happened to him.

This model of the imposition of crimes needs to be reexamined. Because for criminal acts against life and persecution, justice cannot be realized and harmony in society cannot be restored if the victim or his family does not involve them. It should be seen what causes the crime to occur. To find out and restore the original condition, the settlement process is by involving all people related to the criminal act. This process will be much more effective and more accepted by the community because the parties related to the crime collectively seek alternative solutions. This kind of model in Indonesia has been recognized and practiced by the community, namely deliberation.

The aim of the Indonesian criminal law in the future must lead to the protection of interests in a balanced manner. This balance can be achieved by involving the parties in the process of solving problems or criminal acts. The process of involving all parties related to a criminal act together and how to deal with future consequences or its implications is called the restorative justice approach.

The need for the concept of restorative justice to reform punishment is very important. The current criminal system for homicides presents further problems for the families of victims and perpetrators of crimes, such as:

- a. The conviction of the perpetrator of the crime does not satisfy the victim's family;
- b. The perpetrator's family still feels anxious about the threat of revenge from the victim's family;
- c. The formal process of criminal justice is time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain; and
- d. The family relationship between the victim and the perpetrator is severed (if they previously knew each other well).

The fundamental premise of the restorative justice paradigm is that crime is a violation of people and relationships rather than merely a violation of the law. The most appropriate response to criminal behavior, therefore, is to repair the harm caused by the wrongful act. As such, the criminal justice system should provide those most closely affected by the crime (the victim, the offender, and the community) an opportunity to come together to discuss the event and attempt to arrive at some type of understanding about what can be done to provide appropriate reparation (Latimer, et.al., 2005).

The application of restorative justice in several developed countries is also not just a discourse by criminal law academics and criminology. For example in North America, Australia, and several countries in Europe, restorative justice has been applied in the criminal justice process from the investigation stage to the execution stage (Wahid, 2009: 1).

If restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to the victims, perpetrators, society in general, and the country. The benefits for victims and perpetrators are as follows:

- a. Restorative justice focuses on justice for victims according to personal wishes and interests, not the state that determines it;
- b. Offer recovery for both the perpetrator and the victim so that there is no revenge; and
- c. Make the perpetrator responsible for the crime he has committed.

Meanwhile, the benefits for society in general and the state are as follows:

- a. The community is given space to handle their legal problems which are expected to be fairer. The simple and clear principle which is widely known and used in customary law in the handling of civil cases can also be applied in criminal law. Especially for countries whose legal systems do not recognize sharp criminal and civil differences such as countries in the Middle East that apply Islamic law widely;

- b. The burden on the State in some cases is reduced because the burden of dealing with criminal acts can be resolved independently by the community. Police, prosecutors, and courts can focus more on eradicating crimes with a more dangerous qualification and relating to broader security, such as narcotics, terrorism, human trafficking, or serious human rights violations. Administratively, the number of cases that enter the justice system can also decrease; and
- c. The burden of providing a budget for the administration of the criminal justice system, especially in terms of the administration of correctional institutions, is also reduced (Zulfa, 2012: 85).

Various principles and instruments in the restorative justice approach, the process of dialogue between perpetrator and victim is basic moral and the most important part. Direct dialogue between the perpetrator and the victim makes the victim able to express what he feels, expressing the hope of fulfillment of the rights and desires of a settlement. Through the dialogue process, the perpetrator is expected to be moved to correct himself, realize his mistakes, and accept responsibility as a consequence of the crimes committed with full awareness. From this dialogue process, people can participate in realizing the results of the agreement and monitoring its implementation.

The settlement of criminal cases with restorative justice approaches offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts in a restorative justice approach is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that win-win solution and reconciliation can be done.

1 Restorative justice attempts to reconstitute the way people think about crime. Restorative justice advocates argue that crime is, more importantly, harm committed against individual victims and secondarily against a community than it is a breach of state laws. Repairing this harm is deemed more important than punishing the offender. By minimizing the governmental role in criminal justice and making the victim the central party, restorative justice recasts criminal justice more like civil law. In blurring the distinction between civil and criminal law, restorative justice is like other versions of informal justice, but its activist roots in North America lie in religiously based critiques of penal practices and its theoretical roots in a critique of dominant theories of criminology (Olson & Dzur, 2004).

2 Restorative justice is a movement within (and sometimes outside of) the criminal justice system, a victim-centered approach, with special relevance to marginalized populations, one of which is women. Unlike standard forms of criminal justice that are adversarial and hark back to primitive practices related to combat, restorative justice can be considered more humanistic (Van Ness, 2004). Instead of revenge, the emphasis is on a resolution. Typically, in this process, offenders take responsibility for their crimes and make restitution to the victim and community. Restorative justice approaches are promising in their ability to respond to relationship issues with special meaning for women (Wormer, 2009).

The application of restorative justice as a concept of thought that responds to the development of the criminal justice system by focusing on the needs of community engagement and victims who are sidelined with mechanisms that work in the criminal justice system that exists today. Through restorative justice approach try to empower victims and communities (Zulfa, 2006: 399). The meaning of criminal acts in the restorative justice approach is the same as the view of criminal law in general which is an attack on individuals and society as well as societal relations.

The main victim of a crime is not the state, as in the criminal justice system that now exists. The crime creates an obligation to correct the damage to the relationship as a result of a crime. Justice is interpreted as the process of finding problem-solving that occurs in a criminal case that the involvement of victims, communities, and perpetrators becomes important in the effort to improve, reconciliation, and guarantee the continuity of the improvement effort.

The implementation of restorative justice in several developed countries is not merely a debate by criminal law and criminology academicians. For example, in North America, Australia, and some countries in Europe restorative justice has been applied at all steps of the criminal justice process starting from investigation to execution. When restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to both ¹⁴ the victim, the offender, the society in general, and the state (Hamzani, 2019).

The importance of the application of a restorative justice system for example for the crime of murder, the victim is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is no longer a concern for the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, sentencing is sought to make the fullest possible return of the victim's condition as before the crime struck the victim. Prison sentences are just an alternative criminal.

Conclusion

The restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society. The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with deliberation and consensus. The institution of deliberation is held to find a solution that can satisfy all parties. Restorative justice values are also found in Pancasila as a philosophy in the state. Restorative justice in the future is considered necessary to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against lives. The

restorative justice approach offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that a win-win solution and reconciliation can be done. The current criminal law is still oriented towards the entangled and rehabilitation of perpetrators of crimes only, while attention to the victim is not a concern.

Reference

- Arief, B.N. 2007. *Penyelesaian Perkara di Luar Pengadilan (Out of Court Case Settlement)*, Semarang: Pustaka Magister.
- Atmasasmita, R. 2001. *Reformasi Hukum, Hak Asasi Manusia dan Penegakan Hukum (Legal Reform, Human Rights and Law Enforcement)*, Bandung: Bandar Maju.
<https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20252829>
- Barnes, B.E., 2007. *Culture, Conflict, and Mediation in the Asian Pacific*, Maryland: University of America Press. <https://www.amazon.com/Culture-Conflict-Mediation-Asian-Pacific/dp/0761834451>
- Braithwaite, J. 2004. "Restorative Justice and De-Professionalization", *The Good Society*, 13 (1): 28-31. http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/RJ_De-Professionalization_2004.pdf
- Braithwaite, J. 2002. *Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation*, Oxford: Oxford University Press. <http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Restorative-Justice-and-Responsive-regulation-book.pdf>
- Dewi, D.S., & A.S. Fatahillah, 2010. *Mediasi Penal: Penerapan Restorative Justice di Pengadilan Anak Indonesia (Penal Mediation: Application of Restorative Justice in Indonesian Juvenile Courts)*, Depok: Indie-Publishing.
<https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=984198>
- Friedrich, C.J. 2004. *Filsafat Hukum: Perspektif Historis (Philosophy of Law: Historical Perspective)*, Bandung: Nuansa dan Nusamedia.
<https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=1155879>
- Hamzani, A.I., 2016. Sejarah Berlakunya Hukum Pidana Islam di Nusantara (History of the Application of Islamic Criminal Law in the Nusantara), *Hikmatuna: Journal for Integrative Islamic Studies*, 2 (2): 261-284. <https://ejournal.iainpekalongan.ac.id/index.php/hikmatuna/article/view/962>
- Hamzani, A.I. et. al., 2019. "The Reorientation Of Criminal Justice System To Give Protection To Crime Victims", *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8, (08): 196-199. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/aug2019/The-Reorientation-Of-Criminal-Justice-System-To-Give-Protection-To-Crime-Victims.pdf>
- Kusumaatmadja, M. 2006. *Konsep-konsep Hukum dalam Pembangunan (Legal Concepts in Development)*, Bandung: Alumni. <https://simpus.mkri.id/opac/detail-opac?id=5139>

- Latimer, J., et.al. 2005. The Effectiveness of Restorative Justice Practices: A Meta-Analysis, *The Prison Journal*, Vol. 85 (2): 127-144.
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0032885505276969>
- Manan, B. 2008. *Retorative Justice (Suatu Perkenalan)*, dalam *Refleksi Dinamika Hukum Rangkaian Pemikiran dalam Dekade Terakhir*, (Restorative Justice [An Introduction], in the Books Reflections on the Dynamics of Legal Thought Series in the Last Decade), Jakarta: Perum Percetakan Negara Republik Indonesia.
- Moore, C.W. 2003. *The Mediation Process: Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict*, San Fransisco: Jossey-bass. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/The+Mediation+Process:+Practical+Strategies+for+Resolving+Conflict,+4th+Edition-p-9781118304303>
- Mujahidin, A. M. 2007. Hukum Progresif: Jalan Keluar dari Keterpurukan Hukum di Indonesia (Progressive Law: A Way Out of Legal Adversity in Indonesia), *Varia Peradilan*, 257 (XXII). https://perpustakaan.mahkamahagung.go.id/slims/pa-boyolali/index.php?p=show_detail&id=544
- Mulyana, S. 1979. *Negara Kertagama dan Tafsir Sejarahnya* (Kertagama State and its Historical Interpretation), Jakarta: Bhatara Karya Aksara.
- Nonet, P. & P. Selznick, 2009. *Law and Society In Transition; Toward Responsive Law*, New York: Harper Colophon Books.
https://books.google.co.id/books?id=P5xrwZ8QyVwC&printsec=frontcover&hl=id&source=gbs_atb#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Olson, S. M. & A.W. Dzur, 2004. "Revisiting Informal Justice: Restorative Justice and Democratic Professionalism", *Law & Society Review*, 8 (1): 139-176.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1555115>
- Pekuwali, U.L. 2008. Memposisikan Hukum Sebagai Penyeimbang Kepentingan Masyarakat (Positioning the Law as Balancing the Interests of the Community), *Jurnal Pro Justitia*, 26 (4): 359-370. <https://journal.unpar.ac.id/index.php/projustitia/article/view/1093>
- Prayitno, K.P. 2012. *Restorative Justice* untuk Peradilan di Indonesia (Perspektif Yuridis Filosofis dalam Penegakan Hukum *In Concreto* (Restorative Justice for Courts in Indonesia (Philosophical Juridical Perspective in Law Enforcement In Concreto)), *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 12 (3): 407-420. <http://dinamikahukum.fh.unsoed.ac.id/index.php/JDH/article/view/116>
- Putrajaya, N. S. 2005. *Kapita Selektta Hukum Pidana* (Capita Selecta of Criminal Law), Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Rahardjo, S. & U. Sholehudin, 2011. *Hukum dan Keadilan Masyarakat Perspektif Kajian Sosiologi Hukum* (Law and Justice Society Perspective of Legal Sociology Studies), Malang: Setara Press.

- Rahardjo, S. 1983. *Hukum dan Perubahan Sosial* (Law and Social Change), Bandung: Alumni.
- Rahardjo, S. 2003. *Sisi-sisi Lain dari Hukum di Indonesia* (Other Faces of Law in Indonesia), Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas.
- Roche, D. 2006. Dimensions of Restorative Justice, *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 217—238. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00448.x>
- Strang, H., et.al. 2006. “Victim Evaluations of Face-to-Face Restorative Justice Conferences: A Quasi-Experimental Analysis”, *Journal of Social Issues*, 62 (2): 281—306. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00451.x>
- Tanya, BL., et.al., 2010. *Teori Hukum; Strategi Tertib Manusia Lintas Ruang dan Generasi* (Legal Theory; Human Order Strategy Across Space and Generations), Yogyakarta: Genta Publishing.
- Wahid, E. 2009. *Keadilan Restorative Justice dan Peradilan Konvensional dalam Hukum Pidana* (Restorative Justice and Conventional Justice in Criminal Law), Jakarta: Penerbit Universitas Trisakti.
- Wormer, K.v. 2009. “Restorative Justice as Social Justice for Victims of Gendered Violence: A Standpoint Feminist Perspective”, *Social Work*, 54 (2): 107-116. <https://refugeereseach.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Van-Wormer-K.-2009.-Restorative-justice-as-social-justice-for-victims-of-gendered-violence-A-standpoint-feminist-perspective.pdf>
- Zulfa, E.A. 2006. Pergeseran Paradigma Pidanaan di Indonesia (Shifting Criminal Paradigm in Indonesia), *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 36 (3): 389-403. <http://digilib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20298221>
- Zulfa, E.A. 2010. Keadilan Restoratif dan Revitalisasi Lembaga Adat di Indonesia (Restorative Justice and Revitalization of Traditional Institutions in Indonesia), *Jurnal Kriminologi Indonesia*, 6 (II): 182-203. <http://journal.ui.ac.id/index.php/jki/article/viewFile/1114/1022>

NON PROCEDURAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION STUDY OF THE RESTIRATIVE JUSTICE APPROACH TRADITION IN INDONESIA SOCIETY

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	collections.lib.utah.edu Internet Source	2%
2	Submitted to Rutgers University, New Brunswick Student Paper	2%
3	Submitted to University of Lancaster Student Paper	<1%
4	jurnaliainpontianak.or.id Internet Source	<1%
5	referensi-ptk-tesis-skripsi.blogspot.com Internet Source	<1%
6	Lieve Bradt, Maria Bouverne-De Bie, Sven De Visscher. "Victim-offender mediation and social work: Focus groups with mediators in Flanders", International Social Work, 2012 Publication	<1%
7	Hasbullah Hasbullah, Wilaela Wilaela, Masduki Masduki, Jamaluddin Jamaluddin,	<1%

Imron Rosidi. "Acceptance of the existence of salafi in the development of da'wah in Riau Islamic Malay society", Cogent Social Sciences, 2022

Publication

8	ijble.com Internet Source	<1 %
9	portalgaruda.org Internet Source	<1 %
10	publishing-widyagama.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
11	discovery.researcher.life Internet Source	<1 %
12	ebin.pub Internet Source	<1 %
13	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1 %
14	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

8. Article Accepted

ScholarOne Manuscripts - Personal - Microsoft Edge
https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijtcc?PARAMS=xik_2HUucXgFyMeq3VhBHyNdqTmmk7ZhU8GjUuDnyEpQwzqAnLhh3AubFDRZTpLSLeTnYxkBJ8gHtqKvwyBVZRCgcYicHHciwfr3hy1RUXKmzKigKF1QcCg...

International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology

Decision Letter (IJOTCC-21-0150.R1)

From: chiefeditorijtcc@gmail.com
To: al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id
CC:
Subject: International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology - Decision on Manuscript ID IJOTCC-21-0150.R1
Body: 09-Feb-2023

Dear Dr. Hamzani,

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript entitled "Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society" in its current form for publication in International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Sincerely,
Dr. Mark Palermo
Editor in Chief, International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology
chiefeditorijtcc@gmail.com

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author
Congratulations on the research that deserves to be published and shared.

Associate Editor(s)' Comments to Author:

Associate Editor: Palermo, Mark
Comments to the Author:
(There are no comments.)

Date Sent: 09-Feb-2023

28°C Berawan Search 21:20 24/09/2023

9. Galley Proofs

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a browser window open to a specific email. The browser tabs include 'on the seine martin', 'gmail - Search', 'SAGE Author Services', 'youtube - Search', and 'Full Album Gam'. The email is from 'SAGE Proofs' to the user, dated Thursday, March 30, 12:20 PM. The email content reads: 'Dear Author, Thank you for reviewing your proof. You will receive a notification as soon as your article is published. Finally, we would be grateful for your feedback on the new SAGE cloud tool. This will take no more than 1 minute. Thanks! SAGE cloud tool feedback survey'. Below the main text, it says 'Yours sincerely, SAGE Production Team'. At the bottom, it lists the journal title 'International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology' and the article title 'Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society'. A second email from 'achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani' is partially visible below, dated Thursday, March 30, 12:44 PM, with the text 'Noted with thanks.'

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a browser window open to a specific email. The browser tabs include 'on the seine martin', 'gmail - Search', 'Author survey for Int...', 'youtube - Search', and 'Full Album Gam'. The email is from 'SAGE Author Services' to the user, dated Wednesday, April 12, 12:51 PM. The email subject is 'Author survey for International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology'. The email content reads: 'Dear Author, Thank you for choosing to publish Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society in International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology. To help ensure SAGE and International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology provide the best possible service to their authors, we would greatly value your completion of a short questionnaire about your publishing experience for this article. Simply click here to access the questionnaire. We really appreciate you taking the time to give us your feedback and hope you will choose to publish with SAGE again. With thanks and best wishes, C&M PM US www.sagepub.com'.

on the seine martin rico y o | gmail - Search | Page proofs for "Internation | youtube - Search | Full Album Gambus B | +

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?hl=en&pli=1#inbox/FMfcgzGslkklkfdQzfqMNCRgnWDLSD

Search in mail

303 of 1,013

Compose

Inbox

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 30

More

Labels +

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Labels +

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Labels +

Page proofs for "International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology" - IJO 1165425 External Inbox x

SAGE Proofs <sageedit.proofs@candmdigitals.com> to me, ijotcc_sagepub Mar 27, 2023, 12:05 PM

Dear Achmad Irwan Hamzani,

We have prepared your article for publication in *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, and it is ready for your final review in SAGE Edit:

<https://emxpert.net/sageedit/journals/?token=38E9AB85-914B-4DF5-B>

Please review your proof carefully and submit your corrections or approval via SAGE Edit within the next **2 business days**. Only changes consistent with the journal's style and peer review policies will be implemented; extensive changes after peer review are generally not accepted, and may incur a fee if accepted.

Please note that this is your final opportunity to ensure your article's accuracy, and post-publication changes may not be possible, as explained in our [Corrections Policy](#). Please let me know if you need any further assistance.

IJOTCC Journals Production
Sage Publishing
Email: ijotcc_sagepub@candmdigitals.com

achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani Mar 27, 2023, 7:34 PM

I have checked the article and will not make any more changes. Please publish Thank You.

IJOTCC Production <ijotcc_sagepub@candmdigitals.com> to me Mar 29, 2023, 4:51 PM

Dear Achmad Irwan Hamzani,

Thanks for your email.

I would like to let you know that, it seems all the "AQ's" needs to be answered. Please see below screenshot for your references. Hence, could you please provide the response on online portal so that we can proceed further with production.

Thank you.

Inbox

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 30

More

Labels +

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Labels +

GO 1: Please confirm that all author information, including names, affiliations, sequence, and contact details, is correct.

Accept

Answered within text

Need further review, add comment

GO 2: Please confirm that the Funding

GO 3: Please note that we cannot

AQ 1: Please confirm that the affiliation

AQ 2: reference "Brathwaite, 1980"

AQ 3: reference "Strang (2002)" has

AQ 4: The citation year for "Zulfu, 2012"

AQ 5: The citation "Rahardjo, 2011" has

AQ 6: reference "van Ness, 2004" has

Best Wishes,
Anitha

Mail

- Inbox
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 30
- More

Labels +

SAGE Publications
www.sagepublishing.com

achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani <al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id>
 to IJOTCC

Mar 29, 2023, 5:02 PM

Thank you, I will do that.

Mail

- Inbox
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 30
- More

Labels +

Dear Production Editor

I have made the repairs as requested. I have sent it via the online portal, but it has been tried several times with an error system. Here are the fixes:

Q1: All authors, including affiliates, are correct and no corrections are made.
 Q2: The Statement of Funding and Conflicts of Interest is accurate, and there is no further improvement.
 Q3: The ID ORCID ID is correct, and has been validated.
 AQ1: Correspondence author affiliation and details are correct, no further correction.

Q2: The Statement of Funding and Conflicts of Interest is accurate, and there is no further improvement.
 Q3: The ID ORCID ID is correct, and has been validated.
 AQ1: Correspondence author affiliation and details are correct, no further correction.

AQ2: References "Braithwaite, 1989, 2002; Sherman, 1993; Tyler, 1990" which is correct and listed is: Braithwaite, J. 2002. Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation, Oxford: Oxford University Press, and already listed.
 The fix is (Braithwaite, 2002). Writing 1989, Sherman, 1993, Tyler, 1990, just delete it.
 Replaced (Braithwaite, 2002).

AQ3: Reference "Strang (2002)" has been listed in the list of references, namely:
 Strang, H., Sherman, L., Angel, C. M., Woods, D. J., Bennett, S., Newbury-Birch, D., & Inkpen, N. (2006). Victim evaluations of face-to-face restorative justice conferences: A quasi-experimental analysis. *Journal of Social Issues*, 62(2), 281–306. <https://sps.sci.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00451.x>
 Strang's writing (2002) was improved to become Strang, et al., (2002).

AQ4: The citation year for "Zulfa, 2012" according to the reference becomes (Zulfa 2020, p. 19).
 AQ5: The citation "Rahardjo, 2011" is "Rahardjo & Sholehudin, 2011" according to the list of references.
 AQ6: The text "Van Ness, 2004" was simply deleted, due to a citation error. The truth is the citation of (Wormer, 2009).
 AQ7: Reference "Mujahidin (2007)", in 2007 did not have url/, there was a printed version.
 AQ8: Reference is sufficient.


Best regard,

Mail

- Inbox
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 30
- More

Labels +

Thank You.



IJOTCC Production <ijotcc_sagepub@candmdigitals.com>
 to me

Mar 30, 2023, 12:24 PM

Dear Achmad Irwan Hamzani,
 Many thanks for reviewing the proof and sharing your comments. We will check this and get back to you if there are any further queries.

10. Final Correction

The screenshot shows a Gmail inbox on a desktop browser. The browser's address bar displays the URL: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?hl=en&pli=1#inbox/FMfcgzGslkklkfdQzfqQxMNCrGnWDLSD>. The Gmail interface includes a left sidebar with navigation options: Mail, Compose, Inbox, Starred, Snoozed, Sent, Drafts (30), More, and Labels (+). The main inbox area shows a list of emails. The top email is from 'achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani' (mailto:al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id) to 'IJOTCC', dated 'Mar 30, 2023, 12:34 PM', with the subject 'Thank you for your response.' Below it is an email from 'IJOTCC Production' dated 'Apr 4, 2023, 7:42 PM', with the subject 'Dear Achmad Irwan Hamzani, Sorry to disturb you again! While we working on your article, we saw that the city name was deleted. I'd like to let you know th...'. The next email is from 'achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani' to 'IJOTCC', dated 'Apr 4, 2023, 7:45 PM', with the subject 'sorry, that's my wrong tool.' The final visible email is from 'achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani' to 'IJOTCC', dated 'Apr 4, 2023, 7:46 PM', with the subject 'Yes, please.' A notification badge in the bottom right corner indicates '2 new notifications'.

Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

International Journal of
Offender Therapy and
Comparative Criminology
1–15

© The Author(s) 2023



Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/0306624X231165425

journals.sagepub.com/home/ijo



Achmad Irwan Hamzani¹ , Fajar Dian Aryani¹,
Bambang Tri Bawono², Nur Khasanah³,
and Nur Rohim Yunus⁴ 

Abstract

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice. The substance of restorative justice is building joint participation between perpetrators and victims through third parties. The objectives of this study are: to describe restorative justice in the traditions of Indonesian Muslim society and to examine the relevance of restorative justice for the settlement of criminal cases in the future. This research uses a philosophical approach, namely legal construction at an ideal level in the future. The results show that the restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society, namely deliberation to reach a consensus. Deliberative institutions are held to find solutions that can satisfy all parties. In the future, restoration justice needs to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against life. The restorative justice approach offers a different view from the approach applied in the current criminal system.

Keywords

restorative justice, tradition, Pancasila, Indonesia, criminal

¹Universitas Pancasila Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia

²Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

³Universitas Islam Negeri K.H. Abdurrahman Wahid Pekalongan, Central Java, Indonesia

⁴Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, South Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia

Corresponding Author:

Achmad Irwan Hamzani, Universitas Pancasila Tegal, Street Halmahera Km. 1, Tegal, Central Java 52121, Indonesia.

Email: al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id 

Introduction

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice, an approach that has been re-discussed in many countries to resolve criminal cases. The restorative justice approach offers a different way of looking at understanding and dealing with a crime. The substance of restorative justice builds joint participation between perpetrators, victims, and community groups. Perpetrators, victims, and the community are placed as “stakeholders” who work together and try to find solutions that are considered fair for all parties (win-win solutions) (Manan, 2008, p. 4).

The emergence of restorative justice discourse as a model in resolving criminal cases as peacemaking criminology offers a choice about the form of funding that is non-violence, involving the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the public through a mediation mechanism. The basic idea of restorative justice is justice for all parties.

Restorative justice is seen as an alternative funding philosophy that spawns different forms of sanctions than conventional criminal ones that put perpetrators against the state (Braithwaite, 2002, p. 10). The mechanization of criminal justice through the current criminal justice system does not give attention and justice to the victim, because the center of attention is only on the perpetrator. The criminal system, which is only oriented towards perpetrators if applied to crimes against the lives of others, does not provide justice to victims or their families. For example, the victim of the murder is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim’s wife after the case is over is not a concern of the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, the fund is required to recover the losses suffered by the victim so that the victim’s condition returns as before the criminal event.

One way to think of restorative justice is simply as a particular method for dealing with a crime that brings together an offender, his or her victims, and their respective families and friends to discuss the aftermath of an incident and the steps that can be taken to repair the harm an offender has done. It was a handful of programs in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States using precisely this method, usually as an alternative to formal prosecution, that was the catalyst for the emergence of the restorative justice movement in the mid-1990s, and this way of thinking about restorative justice continues to dominate the restorative justice literature (Roche, 2006).

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with the deliberation and consensus approach to resolving conflicts. The deliberation approach has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community. Deliberation for consensus is taught by Islam as the religion adopted by the majority of the Indonesian population. An evaluation is needed to redesign the current criminal model to be more effective and provide justice for all parties. It is time for the criminal system to shift to give attention and justice to all, not only the perpetrators of the crime, but also the victims. With a restorative justice approach, justice is more likely to be obtained by all parties, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

Research Methods

Type and Approach

This is a type of field research. Field research is research that is conducted directly on the subject of study. Because the data used in this study are primary data obtained directly by the researcher, field research is included. This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings.

Data Collection

This study relied on primary data as its source of information. Primary data is information gathered directly by researchers. In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews. This study is also aided by secondary data in the form of legal documents obtained both offline and online. An offline literature review is a task that is performed in order to locate library sources for data storage areas. While online writing is a method of locating library resources in cyberspace via the internet. Conventional literature searches are conducted by looking for library materials, book collections, and personal journals, purchasing books, and participating in scientific activities (seminars). While an online search is carried out by searching the internet.

Data Analysis

The method of data analysis used is qualitative, with an inductive flow of thought. The process of organizing and sorting data into patterns, categories, and basic units of description in order to find themes that can be presented in narrative form is known as qualitative data analysis. Because the data will be presented in a narrative-descriptive format rather than numbers or numeric, this study employs qualitative data analysis.

Discussion

Restorative Justice in the Tradition of Indonesian Muslim Society

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with an approach similar to restorative justice in resolving conflicts by deliberation to reach consensus. The practice of deliberation and consensus is taught by Islam. It is natural that consensus deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community.

Culturally, the practice of deliberation and consensus actually always wants to be put forward in solving cases, including criminal cases. The measure of justice is not based on retributive justice in the form of revenge (an eye for an eye) or imprisonment, but based on conviction and forgiveness. Although general criminal acts handled by the community themselves are contrary to positive law, this mechanism has succeeded in

maintaining harmony in the community. The involvement of state law enforcement officers often complicates and exacerbates the problem (Dewi & Fatahillah, 2010, p. 4).

For example, many criminal cases, especially the crime of killing people due to negligence, can be resolved through deliberation and consensus by prioritizing kinship. Organizing consensus deliberation involving the families of victims, perpetrators and third parties. Third parties sometimes involve community leaders or religious leaders, and are also facilitated by police law enforcement (Interview with HRW, 2022).

The peace process is through deliberation for consensus, through a fairly long dialogue process, and the role of a third party as a mediator is necessary and dominant. This is understandable because humanly the victim's family will feel as the right party and must benefit because the sufferer. Likewise, the perpetrator, humanly will also try not to be blamed and free from responsibility. It is the third party as a mediator whose role is to precipitate each other's egoism, how to make the perpetrator admit his mistake and take responsibility, and the victim's family willingly forgives and accepts it (Interview with AW, 2022).

Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice." The meaning of restorative justice is restored justice. Restoration includes the recovery of victim losses and the restoration of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The restoration of the relationship can be based on a mutual agreement between the victim and the perpetrator. The victim can tell about the losses he suffered and the perpetrator is allowed to redeem it through compensation mechanisms, peace, social work, and other agreements.

Terminologically, restorative justice means a fair solution involving the perpetrator, the victim, their family, and others involved in a crime, jointly seeking a solution to the crime and its implications, emphasizing the restoration back to its original state. Restorative justice is a process where all the stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss how they have been affected by the injustice and to decide what should be done to repair the harm. With crime, restorative justice is about the idea that because crime hurts, justice should heal. It follows that conversations with those who have been hurt and with those who have afflicted the harm must be central to the process (Braithwaite, 2004).

Theoretical formulations for restorative justice or related theories in criminology have focused almost exclusively on effects on repeat offending by offenders (see, e.g., Braithwaite, 1989, 2002; Sherman, 1993; Tyler, 1990) [AQ: 2], with no formal theories of victim consequences. While Braithwaite, for example, provides nuanced discussions of the benefits or costs of restorative justice as a whole, he does not suggest a detailed theoretical framework for why RJ should be expected to provide benefits to victims. Nor does Strang (2002) [AQ: 3], in the only book so far devoted entirely to empirical work on the victims' perspective on restorative justice. Nevertheless, two theories from beyond criminology offer plausible rationales for predicting positive outcomes from restorative justice for participating crime victims. In psychology, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) research suggests that victims can benefit from extended "deconditioning" discussions of their prior traumas held in safe and controlled environments. In sociology, the theory of "interaction ritual" predicts that the

emotional energy arising from a successful restorative justice conference will have positive benefits for victims by restoring their identity and sense of self-worth (Strang, 2006).

The characteristics of customary law in each region are generally in line with the application of restorative justice, although the terms are different. Long before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, there had been a pattern of resolving a dispute both civil and criminal by using a restorative justice-like approach. During the Majapahit Kingdom, there was already a criminal law book, the Book of Kutara Manawa. There is a decree in the book that takes into account the importance of the victim in the decision-making, and also recognizes compensation on the part of the victim (Mulyana, 1979, pp. 182–188). The main value of restorative justice has been included here, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, the public in making improvements to a crime.

Some laws look like restorative justice, such as those contained in *Qanun Mangkuta Alam* (in Samudera Pasai Sultanate, Aceh), *Simbur Cahaya* (in Palembang Sultanate), *Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam* (in Demak Sultanate), and other books that apply in the Sultanate in the Nusantara. The dispute resolution mechanism stipulated in the book is done through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a person or head of a custom in the field of law or the field of religion (Hamzani, 2016).

Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on consensus deliberations in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement (Dewi & Fatahillah, 2010, p. 5). Each individual is asked to relent and put the interests of society above personal interests to maintain harmony together. The concept of deliberation is effective in resolving disputes in society amid the failure of the role of the state and the courts in delivering justice (Barnes, 2007, p. 109).

Until now, people think that they will experience greater losses if they take the dispute to court. There is even an adage in the community that “if you lose a goat, then report it to law enforcement officials, instead of returning the goat, you will lose the cow.” The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is very vital to maintain public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for dispute resolution in the community, both private and public (Dewi & Fatahillah, 2010, p. 6). Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by the community daily is an effective tool to maintain order and public order and is effective in dealing with criminal justice problems.

Moore (2003, pp. 20–23), a mediation expert, included deliberation as a form of traditional mediation. Deliberations among the traditional community are usually conducted by community leaders, religious leaders, and the elderly. When members of the public are in contention and unable to resolve themselves, they go to community leaders to facilitate that the fighting parties can seek to solve the problem. Until now, such habits still live among Indonesians. Religious leaders and community leaders perform voluntary service to the community to maintain harmonious and harmonious relationships.

Indonesians are very familiar with the functionalization of deliberation institutions as part of the mechanism for resolving cases. Deliberations either organized by the

perpetrator and the victim himself, or by involving police institutions or prosecutors, or through traditional institutions show the mindset of the community in looking at a problem that arises. Settlement mechanisms through deliberation can work in the community.

Although Indonesia consists of many tribes, customs, languages, and methods of dispute resolution, it has basic similarities in resolving all types of public and private disputes, namely the mechanism of deliberation and consensus. The only difference is the history of acculturation, such as the Muslim community because of the influence of Islamic teachings. This mechanism is the same as the essence to be achieved in a restorative justice mechanism where the parties compromise to reach a common ground that benefits all parties until an agreement is reached.

Indonesians have a tradition of self-governing including resolving disputes. Although there are some vigilante actions, many more can be solved by the community. The tradition of deliberation is embraced by the Indonesian community, in addition to sensitivities that consider it inappropriate to be brought to justice, as well as atheism towards law enforcement.

Problem-solving through deliberation is a mindset that is summarized in restorative justice. Deliberation institutions are known as highly institutionalized mediation in the civil justice system (Kusumaatmadja, 2006, p. 14). The process of dialogue as a medium of communication becomes the main capital of the implementation of mediation institutions. The whole process can be found in the model of restorative justice.

The association of people's lives as a place for individuals to interact is certainly different, and there can even be conflicts of interest that can lead to conflict. To resolve the conflict there is a consensus, and they obey it as a form of loyalty to social solidarity. Social awareness makes them submit and accept. Because in a reciprocal relationship, always need each other as a form of embodiment of indigenous law society.

There is an expression of *Ubi societas ibi ius*, that where there is a society there is a law. Each society generally has a social institution as well as legal institutions in its own life which is unique and different as a peculiar form of social life. The social institutions and institutions laws formed in society generally go hand in hand with the walking of people's lives (Rahardjo, 1983, p. 144).

Pancasila as the value of national life aspired by the Indonesian nation is the source of all living legal resources and based on the values in society. Even Pancasila is extracted from religious and customary values which are the perspective of the Indonesian nation in the state (Putrajaya, 2005, p. 266), so restorative justice can also be excavated from Pancasila values with the principle of:

- a. Recognition that Indonesians are creatures of the One True God. The existence of sanctions in restorative justice should not be determined by any religious beliefs embraced by the People of Indonesia. Sanctions against a person must be directed at the awareness of the perpetrator's faith so that he can repent and become a faithful and obedient human being, so that sanctioning must serve as mental coaching for the perpetrator and transform the perpetrator into a religious human being;

- b. Recognition of the nobility of human dignity and dignity as god's creation. The lifting of sanctions should not undermine his most basic human rights and not demean his dignity for any reason;
- c. Foster national solidarity with others, as a sesame citizen. Perpetrators are directed at efforts to increase tolerance for others, foster sensitivity to the interests of the nation, and direct against repeating the crime;
- d. Cultivate maturity as a citizen who serves, can control himself, be disciplined, and respect and obey the law as a form of people's decision; and
- e. Raising awareness of each individual's obligations as a social being, which ends justice along with others as fellow citizens (Zulfa, 2010, p. 19). **[AQ: 4]**

Pancasila in the history of the Indonesian nation has been through a political consensus and philosophical consensus so that it is a philosophical system and is also a system of values embraced by the nation. Although influenced by other great world thoughts, Pancasila can be said to be a great work of Indonesians so it should be considered as a core philosophy that is a local genius and local wisdom of the Indonesian nation.

Harmonization and consistency between the development of national law and the values and socio-cultural that exist in society are indispensable. It is necessary to study and excavate national values that are sourced in Pancasila and that are sourced on the values that exist in society (religious values as well as cultural/indigenous values) (Arief, 2007, p. 8).

Pancasila is the core philosophy of the Indonesian nation. As a core philosophy Pancasila becomes a source of value for the legal system in Indonesia. Please four Pancasila mentions "The People Led by Wisdom of Wisdom in The Community/ Representative." The philosophy of deliberation or deliberation means:

- a. Prioritize deliberation in making decisions for the common good;
- b. Respect every decision of deliberation;
- c. The decision taken must be morally accountable to the One God; and
- d. Upholding human dignity and dignity, the value of truth and justice puts unity and unity first for the common good.

The fourth precept of Pancasila can be a guide in determining an option through deliberation and prioritizing deliberation in making decisions for the common interest. Deliberation to reach consensus is filled with the spirit of kinship, so that if the philosophy of "deliberation" is broken down, it contains five principles as follows. First, conferencing (meeting to hear each other and express desires). Second, search solutions (looking for a solution or meeting point for the problem at hand). Third, reconciliation (to make peace with each other's responsibilities). Fourth, repair (repairing all the consequences that arise). Fifth, circles (support each other). The principles are needed and are the keywords in restorative justice so that restorative justice finds its basis in the philosophy of the fourth principle of Pancasila (Prayitno, 2012, p. 414). These five principles have long been embedded and rooted in the culture of Indonesian society.

The Relevance of the Restorative Justice Approach to the Settlement of Criminal Cases in the Criminal Law System in Indonesia

The settlement of criminal cases in the future needs to lead to the application of restorative justice. Restorative justice can be seen as a new paradigm that can be used as a frame for a strategy for handling criminal acts aimed at addressing dissatisfaction with the functioning of the current criminal justice system. With restorative justice, it is more likely that all parties can obtain it, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

The number of lawl cases in Indonesia that are not resolved or have ended with unsatisfactory ends has made the public disappointed with the legal process. This is because the existing legal mechanisms do not support the achievement of justice. It is exacerbated by the moral and social crisis that befell the law enforcement apparatus so that the law tends to be rigid on the one hand, and on the other hand very elastic on the other hand, according to whom the rule of law is enforced. Various things that appear in legal life are often not well explained (Rahardjo & Sholehudin, 2011, p. 3).

IAQ: 5

The downturn of law in Indonesia is dominantly caused by two factors, namely the corrupt behavior of law enforcers (professional Juris) and the mindset of law enforcers who are very confined in legalistic-positivistic thoughts (Mujahidin, 2007, p. 52). The deteriorating legal condition ultimately does not have a place in the hearts of the people because it does not provide answers to just legal needs. The phenomenon of taking the law into one's own hands in society can be used as an indication of the weakening public trust in legal mechanisms.

Law does not always take the position of balancing the interests of society because law tends to accommodate the interests of certain elites (Pekuwali, 2008, pp. 359–370). The indication is when law enforcement places too much emphasis on the aspect of legal certainty by ignoring justice and legal benefits for the community. The adage of justice has changed along with the development of a century of modern nationalism which prioritizes the power of reason, rarely satisfies the human mind about the meaning and meaning of justice in the rhythm of legal movement in society (Atmasasmita, 2001, p. 30).

The law in its implementation must be fair, but what often happens is that it is injustice. Law enforcement officials are not yet fully aware of this (Friedrich, 2004, p. 239). The law enforcement process is still far from a sense of community justice. Even though the essence of law is justice itself.

Justice in law is the right of every citizen which must be guaranteed and protected by the state. Even the right to legal justice is affirmed in Article 3 of the Republic of Indonesia Law Number 39 the Year 1999 concerning Human Rights that; "Everyone has the right to recognition, guarantee, protection, and fair legal treatment as well as to legal certainty and equal treatment before the law." Legal justice that appears is more of a legal-formal nature, justice based on written text that is in law (rule-bound).

Law enforcers should be able to feel the moral message contained in statutory regulation. There is no eternal law, because the law is a definite formula, while it must deal

with life that is always changing. Laws that are fixated on the formulation of words will be left behind from the changes that occur in society, which must be controlled or controlled (Rahardjo, 2008, p. 11).

A restorative justice approach is needed to create justice that benefits all parties in conflict. The settlement of criminal acts using a restorative justice approach solves a criminal problem by trying to improve it to its original state through an agreement between the parties involved. This includes improving relations between the parties (victims, perpetrators, their families, and communities) related to the incident.

The importance of the restorative justice approach to be applied in the criminal law system is also in line with the responsive legal theory put forward by Nonet and Selznick (2009). According to responsive legal theory, the law is no longer seen as an independent entity but must be able to interact with other entities with the main objective of adopting existing interests in society. The law will be better able to understand or interpret the disobedience and disorder that occurs in society. Because in a responsive law, the space for dialogue is wide open to provide discourse and a pluralistic idea as a reality (Nonet & Selznick, 2009).

Responsive law is no longer based on mere juridical considerations, but looks at a problem from various perspectives in the pursuit of “substantive justice.” Law is only a means, and justice must be an end to be pursued, even though it does not always use a legal perspective. The flexibility of the law is very responsive to other matters outside the law. The opportunity to participate is also more open. Legal action is a vehicle for groups, organizations, and tendencies to participate in determining public policy (Tanya et al., 2010, pp. 204–211).

Legal work and its results are not only legal matters but part of a larger social process. Regulations can function effectively and are respected or obeyed when there is a psychological bond with those who bear the rules. Law does not exist in a vacuum but exists in society with the uniqueness of its respective cultural roots. Law must serve the community so that the legal system must be as distinctive as the cultural roots of the people it serves (Rahardjo, 2003, p. 23). Whether a law is bad or not, depends on whether the law gives happiness to humans or not.

A criminal act is a violation against a person and is related to the state’s obligation to defend these rights. The parties related to the criminal act should be involved in the process of determining the punishment. A criminal act has created an obligation to seek solutions for improvement, reconciliation, and creating peace. An action is considered a criminal act if it damages or harms the interests of others. To provide justice and restore it to its original state, it is necessary to punish the perpetrator. This is true in any society.

According to the development of modern Western law, it is the ruler who has the right to carry out the criminal process. The role of the ruler is absolute, and society, especially victims, is not involved at all. Theoretically, it is the role of the authorities or the state in protecting victims, therefore the perpetrator of the crime is dealing with the state so that a criminal act is an act that violates the state and its laws. As a result, both the community and the victim are not involved in determining the punishment imposed on the perpetrator, in the execution of the crime creates many problems, especially for the victim. Problems that arise and lead to victim dissatisfaction are:

- a. The victim feels that he does not receive protection from the state;
- b. Provide opportunities for perpetrators and law enforcers to collude;
- c. It often happens that the perpetrator has received a serious sentence but the victim is still not satisfied because the losses suffered by the victim are irreplaceable; and
- d. The parties are often dissatisfied with the resolution of the problem, thus requiring further legal proceedings ranging from the appeal, cassation to review.

For murder and injury/maltreatment, the legal process without involving the victim, of course, will not provide justice to the victim or his family. The justice that is aimed at is only justice that is created and according to the standards of the ruler, which of course is not the same as justice according to the victim. The completion of the murder case taken completely by the state will not encourage the improvement of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The settlement process pits the state party against the perpetrator's side. The result is that some win and some loss to the next level. This is not something strange if you see the purpose of punishment developed especially in Indonesia. The focus of the attention of the criminal is more on efforts to make the perpetrator become a good person, the perpetrator becomes a useful person again in society after serving a sentence, and as much as possible be punished as lightly as possible. Meanwhile, the victim or his family who was harmed and his harmony disturbed due to the actions of the perpetrator did not get attention and was not involved, even though the case happened to him.

This model of the imposition of crimes needs to be reexamined. Because for criminal acts against life and persecution, justice cannot be realized and harmony in society cannot be restored if the victim or his family does not involve them. It should be seen what causes the crime to occur. To find out and restore the original condition, the settlement process is by involving all people related to the criminal act. This process will be much more effective and more accepted by the community because the parties related to the crime collectively seek alternative solutions. This kind of model in Indonesia has been recognized and practiced by the community, namely deliberation.

The aim of the Indonesian criminal law in the future must lead to the protection of interests in a balanced manner. This balance can be achieved by involving the parties in the process of solving problems or criminal acts. The process of involving all parties related to a criminal act together and how to deal with future consequences or its implications is called the restorative justice approach.

The need for the concept of restorative justice to reform punishment is very important. The current criminal system for homicides presents further problems for the families of victims and perpetrators of crimes, such as:

- a. The conviction of the perpetrator of the crime does not satisfy the victim's family;
- b. The perpetrator's family still feels anxious about the threat of revenge from the victim's family;

- c. The formal process of criminal justice is time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain; and
- d. The family relationship between the victim and the perpetrator is severed (if they previously knew each other well).

The fundamental premise of the restorative justice paradigm is that crime is a violation of people and relationships rather than merely a violation of the law. The most appropriate response to criminal behavior, therefore, is to repair the harm caused by the wrongful act. As such, the criminal justice system should provide those most closely affected by the crime (the victim, the offender, and the community) an opportunity to come together to discuss the event and attempt to arrive at some type of understanding about what can be done to provide appropriate reparation (Latimer et al., 2005).

The application of restorative justice in several developed countries is also not just a discourse by criminal law academics and criminology. For example in North America, Australia, and several countries in Europe, restorative justice has been applied in the criminal justice process from the investigation stage to the execution stage (Wahid, 2009, p. 1).

If restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to the victims, perpetrators, society in general, and the country. The benefits for victims and perpetrators are as follows:

- a. Restorative justice focuses on justice for victims according to personal wishes and interests, not the state that determines it;
- b. Offer recovery for both the perpetrator and the victim so that there is no revenge; and
- c. Make the perpetrator responsible for the crime he has committed.

Meanwhile, the benefits for society in general and the state are as follows:

- a. The community is given space to handle their legal problems which are expected to be fairer. The simple and clear principle which is widely known and used in customary law in the handling of civil cases can also be applied in criminal law. Especially for countries whose legal systems do not recognize sharp criminal and civil differences such as countries in the Middle East that apply Islamic law widely;
- b. The burden on the State in some cases is reduced because the burden of dealing with criminal acts can be resolved independently by the community. Police, prosecutors, and courts can focus more on eradicating crimes with a more dangerous qualification and relating to broader security, such as narcotics, terrorism, human trafficking, or serious human rights violations. Administratively, the number of cases that enter the justice system can also decrease; and
- c. The burden of providing a budget for the administration of the criminal justice system, especially in terms of the administration of correctional institutions, is also reduced (Zulfa, 2010, p. 85).

Various principles and instruments in the restorative justice approach, the process of dialogue between perpetrator and victim is basic moral and the most important part. Direct dialogue between the perpetrator and the victim makes the victim able to express what he feels, expressing the hope of fulfillment of the rights and desires of a settlement. Through the dialogue process, the perpetrator is expected to be moved to correct himself, realize his mistakes, and accept responsibility as a consequence of the crimes committed with full awareness. From this dialogue process, people can participate in realizing the results of the agreement and monitoring its implementation.

The settlement of criminal cases with restorative justice approaches offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts in a restorative justice approach is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that win-win solution and reconciliation can be done.

Restorative justice attempts to reconstitute the way people think about crime. Restorative justice advocates argue that crime is, more importantly, harm committed against individual victims and secondarily against a community than it is a breach of state laws. Repairing this harm is deemed more important than punishing the offender. By minimizing the governmental role in criminal justice and making the victim the central party, restorative justice recasts criminal justice more like civil law. In blurring the distinction between civil and criminal law, restorative justice is like other versions of informal justice, but its activist roots in North America lie in religiously based critiques of penal practices and its theoretical roots in a critique of dominant theories of criminology (Olson & Dzur, 2004).

Restorative justice is a movement within (and sometimes outside of) the criminal justice system, a victim-centered approach, with special relevance to marginalized populations, one of which is women. Unlike standard forms of criminal justice that are adversarial and hark back to primitive practices related to combat, restorative justice can be considered more humanistic (Van Ness, 2004) **[AQ: 6]**. Instead of revenge, the emphasis is on a resolution. Typically, in this process, offenders take responsibility for their crimes and make restitution to the victim and community. Restorative justice approaches are promising in their ability to respond to relationship issues with special meaning for women (Wormer, 2009).

The application of restorative justice as a concept of thought that responds to the development of the criminal justice system by focusing on the needs of community engagement and victims who are sidelined with mechanisms that work in the criminal justice system that exists today. Through restorative justice approach try to empower victims and communities (Zulfa, 2006, p. 399). The meaning of criminal acts in the restorative justice approach is the same as the view of criminal law in general which is an attack on individuals and society as well as societal relations.

The main victim of a crime is not the state, as in the criminal justice system that now exists. The crime creates an obligation to correct the damage to the relationship as a result of a crime. Justice is interpreted as the process of finding problem-solving that occurs in a criminal case that the involvement of victims, communities, and

perpetrators becomes important in the effort to improve, reconciliation, and guarantee the continuity of the improvement effort.

The implementation of restorative justice in several developed countries is not merely a debate by criminal law and criminology academicians. For example, in North America, Australia, and some countries in Europe restorative justice has been applied at all steps of the criminal justice process starting from investigation to execution. When restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to both the victim, the offender, the society in general, and the state (Hamzani, 2019).

The importance of the application of a restorative justice system for example for the crime of murder, the victim is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is no longer a concern for the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, sentencing is sought to make the fullest possible return of the victim's condition as before the crime struck the victim. Prison sentences are just an alternative criminal.

Conclusion

The restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society. The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with deliberation and consensus. The institution of deliberation is held to find a solution that can satisfy all parties. Restorative justice values are also found in Pancasila as a philosophy in the state. Restorative justice in the future is considered necessary to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against lives. The restorative justice approach offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that a win-win solution and reconciliation can be done. The current criminal law is still oriented towards the entangled and rehabilitation of perpetrators of crimes only, while attention to the victim is not a concern.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests **[GQ: 2]**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD **[GQ: 3]**

Achmad Irwan Hamzani  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2732-9899>

References

- Arief, B. N. (2007). *Penyelesaian Perkara di Luar Pengadilan* [Out of court case settlement]. Pustaka Magister.
- Atmasasmita, R. (2001). *Reformasi Hukum, Hak Asasi Manusia dan Penegakan Hukum* [Legal reform, human rights and law enforcement]. Bandar Maju. <https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20252829>
- Barnes, B. E. (2007). *Culture, conflict, and mediation in the Asian Pacific*. University of America Press. <https://www.amazon.com/Culture-Conflict-Mediation-Asian-Pacific/dp/0761834451>
- Braithwaite, J. (2002). *Restorative justice and responsive regulation*. Oxford University Press. <http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Restorative-Justice-and-Responsive-regulation-book.pdf>
- Braithwaite, J. (2004). Restorative justice and de-professionalization. *The Good Society*, 13(1), 28–31. http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/RJ_De-Professionalization_2004.pdf
- Dewi, D. S., & Fatahillah, A. S. (2010). *Mediasi Penal: Penerapan Restorative Justice di Pengadilan Anak Indonesia* [Penal mediation: Application of restorative justice in Indonesian Juvenile courts]. Indie-Publishing. <https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=984198>
- Friedrich, C. J. (2004). *Filsafat Hukum: Perspektif Historis* [Philosophy of law: Historical perspective]. Nuansa dan Nusamedia. <https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=1155879>
- Hamzani, A. I. (2016). Sejarah Berlakunya Hukum Pidana Islam di Nusantara [History of the application of Islamic criminal law in the Nusantara]. *Hikmatuna: Journal for Integrative Islamic Studies*, 2(2), 261–284. <https://e-journal.iainpekalongan.ac.id/index.php/hikmatuna/article/view/962>
- Hamzani, A. I., Nur Rohim Yunus, M., & Havis Aravik, S. (2019). The reorientation of criminal justice system to give protection to crime victims. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8(8), 196–199. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/aug2019/The-Reorientation-Of-Criminal-Justice-System-To-Give-Protection-To-Crime-Victims.pdf>
- Kusumaatmadja, M. (2006). *Konsep-konsep Hukum dalam Pembangunan* [Legal concepts in development]. Alumni. <https://simpus.mkri.id/opac/detail-opac?id=5139>
- Latimer, J., Dowden, C., & Muise, D. (2005). The effectiveness of restorative justice practices: A meta-analysis. *The Prison Journal*, 85(2), 127–144. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0032885505276969>
- Manan, B. (2008). *Restorative justice (Suatu Perkenalan)*, dalam *Refleksi Dinamika Hukum Rangkaian Pemikiran dalam Dekade Terakhir* [Restorative Justice [An Introduction], in the Books Reflections on the Dynamics of Legal Thought Series in the Last Decade]. Perum Percetakan Negara Republik Indonesia.
- Moore, C. W. (2003). *The mediation process: Practical strategies for resolving conflict*. Jossey-bass. <https://www.wiley.com/en-sg/The+Mediation+Process:+Practical+Strategies+for+Resolving+Conflict,+4th+Edition-p-9781118304303>
- Mujahidin, A. M. (2007). Hukum Progresif: Jalan Keluar dari Keterpurukan Hukum di Indonesia [Progressive law: A way out of legal adversity in Indonesia]. *Varia Peradilan*, 257, 22. https://perpustakaan.mahkamahagung.go.id/slims/pa-boyolali/index.php?p=show_detail&id=544 **TAQ: 71**

- Mulyana, S. (1979). *Negara Kertagama dan Tafsir Sejarahnya* [Kertagama state and its historical interpretation]. Bhatara Karya Aksara.
- Nonet, P., & Selznick, P. (2009). *Law and society in transition; Toward responsive law*. Harper Colophon Books. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=P5xrwZ8QyVwC&printsec=frontcover&hl=id&source=gbs_atb#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Olson, S. M., & Dzur, A. W. (2004). Revisiting informal justice: Restorative justice and democratic professionalism. *Law & Society Review*, 8(1), 139–176. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1555115>
- Pekuwali, U. L. (2008). Memosisikan Hukum Sebagai Penyeimbang Kepentingan Masyarakat [Positioning the law as balancing the interests of the community]. *Jurnal Pro Justitia*, 26(4), 359–370. <https://journal.unpar.ac.id/index.php/projustitia/article/view/1093>
- Prayitno, K. P. (2012). *Restorative Justice* untuk Peradilan di Indonesia [Perspektif Yuridis Filosofis dalam Penegakan Hukum]. *Concreto (Restorative Justice for Courts in Indonesia (Philosophical Juridical Perspective in Law Enforcement In Concreto), Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 12(3), 407–420. <http://dinamikahukum.fh.unsoed.ac.id/index.php/JDH/article/view/116>
- Putrajaya, N. S. (2005). *Kapita Selektta Hukum Pidana* [Capita selecta of criminal law]. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Rahardjo, S. (1983). *Hukum dan Perubahan Sosial* [Law and social change]. Alumni.
- Rahardjo, S. (2003). *Sisi-sisi Lain dari Hukum di Indonesia* [Other faces of law in Indonesia]. Penerbit Buku Kompas.
- Rahardjo, S., & Sholehudin, U. (2011). *Hukum dan Keadilan Masyarakat Perspektif Kajian Sosiologi Hukum* [Law and justice society perspective of legal sociology studies]. Setara Press.
- Roche, D. (2006). Dimensions of restorative justice. *Journal of Social Issues*, 62(2), 217–238. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00448.x>
- Strang, H., Sherman, L., Angel, C. M., Woods, D. J., Bennett, S., Newbury-Birch, D., & Inkpen, N. (2006). Victim evaluations of face-to-face restorative justice conferences: A quasi-experimental analysis. *Journal of Social Issues*, 62(2), 281–306. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00451.x>
- Tanya, B. L., et al. (2010). *Teori Hukum; Strategi Tertib Manusia Lintas Ruang dan Generasi* [Legal theory; Human order strategy across space and generations]. Genta Publishing.
- [AQ: 8]**
- Wahid, E. (2009). *Keadilan Restorative Justice dan Peradilan Konvensional dalam Hukum Pidana* [Restorative justice and conventional justice in criminal law]. Penerbit Universitas Trisakti.
- Wormer, K. V. (2009). Restorative justice as social justice for victims of gendered violence: A standpoint feminist perspective. *Social Work*, 54(2), 107–116. <https://refugeereseach.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Van-Wormer-K.-2009.-Restorative-justice-as-social-justice-for-victims-of-gendered-violence-A-standpoint-feminist-perspective.pdf>
- Zulfa, E. A. (2006). Pergeseran Paradigma Pemidanaan di Indonesia [Shifting criminal paradigm in Indonesia]. *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 36(3), 389–403. <http://digilib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20298221>
- Zulfa, E. A. (2010). Keadilan Restoratif dan Revitalisasi Lembaga Adat di Indonesia [Restorative justice and revitalization of traditional institutions in Indonesia]. *Jurnal Kriminologi Indonesia*, 6(2), 182–203. <http://journal.ui.ac.id/index.php/jki/article/viewFile/1114/1022>

11 Article Published

The screenshot shows a Gmail inbox on a Windows desktop. The browser address bar shows the URL: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?hl=en&pli=1#inbox/FMfcgzGslkkkfdQzfqQxMNCrqnWDLSD>. The Gmail interface includes a left sidebar with navigation options like Mail, Compose, Chat, Spaces, and Meet. The main area displays an email thread:

- From:** IJOTCC Production <ijotcc_sagepub@candmdigitals.com> (Apr 5, 2023, 12:01 PM)
To: me
Text: Dear Achmad Irwan Hamzani, Thanks for your Acknowledgement
- From:** achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani <al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id> (Apr 13, 2023, 10:52 AM)
To: IJOTCC
Text: Yours faithfully, Can the author request the pdf file of the article? For documentation.
Author: Achmad Irwan Hamzani
- From:** IJOTCC Production <ijotcc_sagepub@candmdigitals.com> (Apr 13, 2023, 4:55 PM)
To: me
Attachments: One attachment - Scanned by Gmail (10.1177_0306624X23116 5425.pdf, 380 KB)
- From:** achmad irwan hamzani al_hamzani <al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id> (Apr 13, 2023, 4:58 PM)
To: IJOTCC
Text: Received, thank you.

At the bottom of the email thread, there are buttons for "Reply" and "Forward". The Windows taskbar at the bottom shows the system tray with the date 29/09/2023 and time 14:16.

Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society

International Journal of
Offender Therapy and
Comparative Criminology
1–15

© The Author(s) 2023


Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/0306624X231165425

journals.sagepub.com/home/ijo



Achmad Irwan Hamzani¹ , Fajar Dian Aryani¹,
Bambang Tri Bawono², Nur Khasanah³,
and Nur Rohim Yunus⁴

Abstract

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice. The substance of restorative justice is building joint participation between perpetrators and victims through third parties. The objectives of this study are: to describe restorative justice in the traditions of Indonesian Muslim society and to examine the relevance of restorative justice for the settlement of criminal cases in the future. This research uses a philosophical approach, namely legal construction at an ideal level in the future. The results show that the restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society, namely deliberation to reach a consensus. Deliberative institutions are held to find solutions that can satisfy all parties. In the future, restoration justice needs to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against life. The restorative justice approach offers a different view from the approach applied in the current criminal system.

Keywords

restorative justice, tradition, Pancasila, Indonesia, criminal

¹Universitas Pancasila Tegal, Central Java, Indonesia

²Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

³Universitas Islam Negeri K.H. Abdurrahman Wahid Pekalongan, Central Java, Indonesia

⁴Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, South Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia

Corresponding Author:

Achmad Irwan Hamzani, Universitas Pancasila Tegal, Street Halmahera Km. 1, Tegal, Central Java 52121, Indonesia.

Email: al_hamzani@upstegal.ac.id

Introduction

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with restorative justice, an approach that has been re-discussed in many countries to resolve criminal cases. The restorative justice approach offers a different way of looking at understanding and dealing with a crime. The substance of restorative justice builds joint participation between perpetrators, victims, and community groups. Perpetrators, victims, and the community are placed as “stakeholders” who work together and try to find solutions that are considered fair for all parties (win-win solutions) (Manan, 2008, p. 4).

The emergence of restorative justice discourse as a model in resolving criminal cases as peacemaking criminology offers a choice about the form of funding that is non-violence, involving the participation of victims, perpetrators, and the public through a mediation mechanism. The basic idea of restorative justice is justice for all parties.

Restorative justice is seen as an alternative funding philosophy that spawns different forms of sanctions than conventional criminal ones that put perpetrators against the state (Braithwaite, 2002, p. 10). The mechanization of criminal justice through the current criminal justice system does not give attention and justice to the victim, because the center of attention is only on the perpetrator. The criminal system, which is only oriented towards perpetrators if applied to crimes against the lives of others, does not provide justice to victims or their families. For example, the victim of the murder is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim’s wife after the case is over is not a concern of the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, the fund is required to recover the losses suffered by the victim so that the victim’s condition returns as before the criminal event.

One way to think of restorative justice is simply as a particular method for dealing with a crime that brings together an offender, his or her victims, and their respective families and friends to discuss the aftermath of an incident and the steps that can be taken to repair the harm an offender has done. It was a handful of programs in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States using precisely this method, usually as an alternative to formal prosecution, that was the catalyst for the emergence of the restorative justice movement in the mid-1990s, and this way of thinking about restorative justice continues to dominate the restorative justice literature (Roche, 2006).

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with the deliberation and consensus approach to resolving conflicts. The deliberation approach has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community. Deliberation for consensus is taught by Islam as the religion adopted by the majority of the Indonesian population. An evaluation is needed to redesign the current criminal model to be more effective and provide justice for all parties. It is time for the criminal system to shift to give attention and justice to all, not only the perpetrators of the crime, but also the victims. With a restorative justice approach, justice is more likely to be obtained by all parties, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

Research Methods

Type and Approach

This is a type of field research. Field research is research that is conducted directly on the subject of study. Because the data used in this study are primary data obtained directly by the researcher, field research is included. This study takes a phenomenological approach. A phenomenological approach is one that uses manifestations of religious experience to study and understand various religious phenomena. This study employs a phenomenological approach because it observes and investigates legal phenomena that exist in a society and are based on religious teachings.

Data Collection

This study relied on primary data as its source of information. Primary data is information gathered directly by researchers. In this study, data was gathered through observation and interviews. This study is also aided by secondary data in the form of legal documents obtained both offline and online. An offline literature review is a task that is performed in order to locate library sources for data storage areas. While online writing is a method of locating library resources in cyberspace via the internet. Conventional literature searches are conducted by looking for library materials, book collections, and personal journals, purchasing books, and participating in scientific activities (seminars). While an online search is carried out by searching the internet.

Data Analysis

The method of data analysis used is qualitative, with an inductive flow of thought. The process of organizing and sorting data into patterns, categories, and basic units of description in order to find themes that can be presented in narrative form is known as qualitative data analysis. Because the data will be presented in a narrative-descriptive format rather than numbers or numeric, this study employs qualitative data analysis.

Discussion

Restorative Justice in the Tradition of Indonesian Muslim Society

The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with an approach similar to restorative justice in resolving conflicts by deliberation to reach consensus. The practice of deliberation and consensus is taught by Islam. It is natural that consensus deliberation has existed and has long been practiced by the Indonesian Muslim community.

Culturally, the practice of deliberation and consensus actually always wants to be put forward in solving cases, including criminal cases. The measure of justice is not based on retributive justice in the form of revenge (an eye for an eye) or imprisonment, but based on conviction and forgiveness. Although general criminal acts handled by the community themselves are contrary to positive law, this mechanism has succeeded in

maintaining harmony in the community. The involvement of state law enforcement officers often complicates and exacerbates the problem (Dewi & Fatahillah, 2010, p. 4).

For example, many criminal cases, especially the crime of killing people due to negligence, can be resolved through deliberation and consensus by prioritizing kinship. Organizing consensus deliberation involving the families of victims, perpetrators and third parties. Third parties sometimes involve community leaders or religious leaders, and are also facilitated by police law enforcement (Interview with HRW, 2022).

The peace process is through deliberation for consensus, through a fairly long dialogue process, and the role of a third party as a mediator is necessary and dominant. This is understandable because humanly the victim's family will feel as the right party and must benefit because the sufferer. Likewise, the perpetrator, humanly will also try not to be blamed and free from responsibility. It is the third party as a mediator whose role is to precipitate each other's egoism, how to make the perpetrator admit his mistake and take responsibility, and the victim's family willingly forgives and accepts it (Interview with AW, 2022).

Restorative justice free translation in Indonesian is "restorative justice." The meaning of restorative justice is restored justice. Restoration includes the recovery of victim losses and the restoration of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The restoration of the relationship can be based on a mutual agreement between the victim and the perpetrator. The victim can tell about the losses he suffered and the perpetrator is allowed to redeem it through compensation mechanisms, peace, social work, and other agreements.

Terminologically, restorative justice means a fair solution involving the perpetrator, the victim, their family, and others involved in a crime, jointly seeking a solution to the crime and its implications, emphasizing the restoration back to its original state. Restorative justice is a process where all the stakeholders affected by an injustice have an opportunity to discuss how they have been affected by the injustice and to decide what should be done to repair the harm. With crime, restorative justice is about the idea that because crime hurts, justice should heal. It follows that conversations with those who have been hurt and with those who have afflicted the harm must be central to the process (Braithwaite, 2004).

Theoretical formulations for restorative justice or related theories in criminology have focused almost exclusively on effects on repeat offending by offenders (see, e.g., Braithwaite, 2002), with no formal theories of victim consequences. While Braithwaite, for example, provides nuanced discussions of the benefits or costs of restorative justice as a whole, he does not suggest a detailed theoretical framework for why RJ should be expected to provide benefits to victims. Nor does Strang et al. (2006), in the only book so far devoted entirely to empirical work on the victims' perspective on restorative justice. Nevertheless, two theories from beyond criminology offer plausible rationales for predicting positive outcomes from restorative justice for participating crime victims. In psychology, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) research suggests that victims can benefit from extended "deconditioning" discussions of their prior traumas held in safe and controlled environments. In sociology, the theory of "interaction ritual" predicts that the emotional energy arising from a successful restorative

justice conference will have positive benefits for victims by restoring their identity and sense of self-worth (Strang, 2006).

The characteristics of customary law in each region are generally in line with the application of restorative justice, although the terms are different. Long before the birth of the United Nations, in Indonesia, there had been a pattern of resolving a dispute both civil and criminal by using a restorative justice-like approach. During the Majapahit Kingdom, there was already a criminal law book, the Book of Kutara Manawa. There is a decree in the book that takes into account the importance of the victim in the decision-making, and also recognizes compensation on the part of the victim (Mulyana, 1979, pp. 182–188). The main value of restorative justice has been included here, which involves the participation of victims, perpetrators, the public in making improvements to a crime.

Some laws look like restorative justice, such as those contained in *Qanun Mangkuta Alam* (in Samudera Pasai Sultanate, Aceh), *Simbur Cahaya* (in Palembang Sultanate), *Serat Angger-Agger Suryangalam* (in Demak Sultanate), and other books that apply in the Sultanate in the Nusantara. The dispute resolution mechanism stipulated in the book is done through an agreement between the victim or his family, the perpetrator, and a third party originating from a person or head of a custom in the field of law or the field of religion (Hamzani, 2016).

Dispute resolution mechanisms are generally based on consensus deliberations in which the parties are asked to compromise to reach an agreement (Dewi & Fatahillah, 2010, p. 5). Each individual is asked to relent and put the interests of society above personal interests to maintain harmony together. The concept of deliberation is effective in resolving disputes in society amid the failure of the role of the state and the courts in delivering justice (Barnes, 2007, p. 109).

Until now, people think that they will experience greater losses if they take the dispute to court. There is even an adage in the community that “if you lose a goat, then report it to law enforcement officials, instead of returning the goat, you will lose the cow.” The existence of deliberation as local wisdom is very vital to maintain public order. Deliberation can be used as a basic concept for dispute resolution in the community, both private and public (Dewi & Fatahillah, 2010, p. 6). Deliberation as the basis for dispute resolution used by the community daily is an effective tool to maintain order and public order and is effective in dealing with criminal justice problems.

Moore (2003, pp. 20–23), a mediation expert, included deliberation as a form of traditional mediation. Deliberations among the traditional community are usually conducted by community leaders, religious leaders, and the elderly. When members of the public are in contention and unable to resolve themselves, they go to community leaders to facilitate that the fighting parties can seek to solve the problem. Until now, such habits still live among Indonesians. Religious leaders and community leaders perform voluntary service to the community to maintain harmonious and harmonious relationships.

Indonesians are very familiar with the functionalization of deliberation institutions as part of the mechanism for resolving cases. Deliberations either organized by the

perpetrator and the victim himself, or by involving police institutions or prosecutors, or through traditional institutions show the mindset of the community in looking at a problem that arises. Settlement mechanisms through deliberation can work in the community.

Although Indonesia consists of many tribes, customs, languages, and methods of dispute resolution, it has basic similarities in resolving all types of public and private disputes, namely the mechanism of deliberation and consensus. The only difference is the history of acculturation, such as the Muslim community because of the influence of Islamic teachings. This mechanism is the same as the essence to be achieved in a restorative justice mechanism where the parties compromise to reach a common ground that benefits all parties until an agreement is reached.

Indonesians have a tradition of self-governing including resolving disputes. Although there are some vigilante actions, many more can be solved by the community. The tradition of deliberation is embraced by the Indonesian community, in addition to sensitivities that consider it inappropriate to be brought to justice, as well as atheism towards law enforcement.

Problem-solving through deliberation is a mindset that is summarized in restorative justice. Deliberation institutions are known as highly institutionalized mediation in the civil justice system (Kusumaatmadja, 2006, p. 14). The process of dialogue as a medium of communication becomes the main capital of the implementation of mediation institutions. The whole process can be found in the model of restorative justice.

The association of people's lives as a place for individuals to interact is certainly different, and there can even be conflicts of interest that can lead to conflict. To resolve the conflict there is a consensus, and they obey it as a form of loyalty to social solidarity. Social awareness makes them submit and accept. Because in a reciprocal relationship, always need each other as a form of embodiment of indigenous law society.

There is an expression of *Ubi societas ibi ius*, that where there is a society there is a law. Each society generally has a social institution as well as legal institutions in its own life which is unique and different as a peculiar form of social life. The social institutions and institutions laws formed in society generally go hand in hand with the walking of people's lives (Rahardjo, 1983, p. 144).

Pancasila as the value of national life aspired by the Indonesian nation is the source of all living legal resources and based on the values in society. Even Pancasila is extracted from religious and customary values which are the perspective of the Indonesian nation in the state (Putrajaya, 2005, p. 266), so restorative justice can also be excavated from Pancasila values with the principle of:

- a. Recognition that Indonesians are creatures of the One True God. The existence of sanctions in restorative justice should not be determined by any religious beliefs embraced by the People of Indonesia. Sanctions against a person must be directed at the awareness of the perpetrator's faith so that he can repent and become a faithful and obedient human being, so that sanctioning must serve as mental coaching for the perpetrator and transform the perpetrator into a religious human being;

- b. Recognition of the nobility of human dignity and dignity as god's creation. The lifting of sanctions should not undermine his most basic human rights and not demean his dignity for any reason;
- c. Foster national solidarity with others, as a sesame citizen. Perpetrators are directed at efforts to increase tolerance for others, foster sensitivity to the interests of the nation, and direct against repeating the crime;
- d. Cultivate maturity as a citizen who serves, can control himself, be disciplined, and respect and obey the law as a form of people's decision; and
- e. Raising awareness of each individual's obligations as a social being, which ends justice along with others as fellow citizens (Zulfa, 2010, p. 19).

Pancasila in the history of the Indonesian nation has been through a political consensus and philosophical consensus so that it is a philosophical system and is also a system of values embraced by the nation. Although influenced by other great world thoughts, Pancasila can be said to be a great work of Indonesians so it should be considered as a core philosophy that is a local genius and local wisdom of the Indonesian nation.

Harmonization and consistency between the development of national law and the values and socio-cultural that exist in society are indispensable. It is necessary to study and excavate national values that are sourced in Pancasila and that are sourced on the values that exist in society (religious values as well as cultural/indigenous values) (Arief, 2007, p. 8).

Pancasila is the core philosophy of the Indonesian nation. As a core philosophy Pancasila becomes a source of value for the legal system in Indonesia. Please four Pancasila mentions "The People Led by Wisdom of Wisdom in The Community/ Representative." The philosophy of deliberation or deliberation means:

- a. Prioritize deliberation in making decisions for the common good;
- b. Respect every decision of deliberation;
- c. The decision taken must be morally accountable to the One God; and
- d. Upholding human dignity and dignity, the value of truth and justice puts unity and unity first for the common good.

The fourth precept of Pancasila can be a guide in determining an option through deliberation and prioritizing deliberation in making decisions for the common interest. Deliberation to reach consensus is filled with the spirit of kinship, so that if the philosophy of "deliberation" is broken down, it contains five principles as follows. First, conferencing (meeting to hear each other and express desires). Second, search solutions (looking for a solution or meeting point for the problem at hand). Third, reconciliation (to make peace with each other's responsibilities). Fourth, repair (repairing all the consequences that arise). Fifth, circles (support each other). The principles are needed and are the keywords in restorative justice so that restorative justice finds its basis in the philosophy of the fourth principle of Pancasila (Prayitno, 2012, p. 414). These five principles have long been embedded and rooted in the culture of Indonesian society.

The Relevance of the Restorative Justice Approach to the Settlement of Criminal Cases in the Criminal Law System in Indonesia

The settlement of criminal cases in the future needs to lead to the application of restorative justice. Restorative justice can be seen as a new paradigm that can be used as a frame for a strategy for handling criminal acts aimed at addressing dissatisfaction with the functioning of the current criminal justice system. With restorative justice, it is more likely that all parties can obtain it, especially victims who are marginalized in the current criminal justice system.

The number of lawl cases in Indonesia that are not resolved or have ended with unsatisfactory ends has made the public disappointed with the legal process. This is because the existing legal mechanisms do not support the achievement of justice. It is exacerbated by the moral and social crisis that befell the law enforcement apparatus so that the law tends to be rigid on the one hand, and on the other hand very elastic on the other hand, according to whom the rule of law is enforced. Various things that appear in legal life are often not well explained (Rahardjo & Sholehudin, 2011, p. 3).

The downturn of law in Indonesia is dominantly caused by two factors, namely the corrupt behavior of law enforcers (professional Juris) and the mindset of law enforcers who are very confined in legalistic-positivistic thoughts (Mujahidin, 2007, p. 52). The deteriorating legal condition ultimately does not have a place in the hearts of the people because it does not provide answers to just legal needs. The phenomenon of taking the law into one's own hands in society can be used as an indication of the weakening public trust in legal mechanisms.

Law does not always take the position of balancing the interests of society because law tends to accommodate the interests of certain elites (Pekuwali, 2008, pp. 359–370). The indication is when law enforcement places too much emphasis on the aspect of legal certainty by ignoring justice and legal benefits for the community. The adage of justice has changed along with the development of a century of modern nationalism which prioritizes the power of reason, rarely satisfies the human mind about the meaning and meaning of justice in the rhythm of legal movement in society (Atmasasmita, 2001, p. 30).

The law in its implementation must be fair, but what often happens is that it is injustice. Law enforcement officials are not yet fully aware of this (Friedrich, 2004, p. 239). The law enforcement process is still far from a sense of community justice. Even though the essence of law is justice itself.

Justice in law is the right of every citizen which must be guaranteed and protected by the state. Even the right to legal justice is affirmed in Article 3 of the Republic of Indonesia Law Number 39 the Year 1999 concerning Human Rights that; "Everyone has the right to recognition, guarantee, protection, and fair legal treatment as well as to legal certainty and equal treatment before the law." Legal justice that appears is more of a legal-formal nature, justice based on written text that is in law (rule-bound).

Law enforcers should be able to feel the moral message contained in statutory regulation. There is no eternal law, because the law is a definite formula, while it must deal

with life that is always changing. Laws that are fixated on the formulation of words will be left behind from the changes that occur in society, which must be controlled or controlled (Rahardjo, 2008, p. 11).

A restorative justice approach is needed to create justice that benefits all parties in conflict. The settlement of criminal acts using a restorative justice approach solves a criminal problem by trying to improve it to its original state through an agreement between the parties involved. This includes improving relations between the parties (victims, perpetrators, their families, and communities) related to the incident.

The importance of the restorative justice approach to be applied in the criminal law system is also in line with the responsive legal theory put forward by Nonet and Selznick (2009). According to responsive legal theory, the law is no longer seen as an independent entity but must be able to interact with other entities with the main objective of adopting existing interests in society. The law will be better able to understand or interpret the disobedience and disorder that occurs in society. Because in a responsive law, the space for dialogue is wide open to provide discourse and a pluralistic idea as a reality (Nonet & Selznick, 2009).

Responsive law is no longer based on mere juridical considerations, but looks at a problem from various perspectives in the pursuit of “substantive justice.” Law is only a means, and justice must be an end to be pursued, even though it does not always use a legal perspective. The flexibility of the law is very responsive to other matters outside the law. The opportunity to participate is also more open. Legal action is a vehicle for groups, organizations, and tendencies to participate in determining public policy (Tanya et al., 2010, pp. 204–211).

Legal work and its results are not only legal matters but part of a larger social process. Regulations can function effectively and are respected or obeyed when there is a psychological bond with those who bear the rules. Law does not exist in a vacuum but exists in society with the uniqueness of its respective cultural roots. Law must serve the community so that the legal system must be as distinctive as the cultural roots of the people it serves (Rahardjo, 2003, p. 23). Whether a law is bad or not, depends on whether the law gives happiness to humans or not.

A criminal act is a violation against a person and is related to the state’s obligation to defend these rights. The parties related to the criminal act should be involved in the process of determining the punishment. A criminal act has created an obligation to seek solutions for improvement, reconciliation, and creating peace. An action is considered a criminal act if it damages or harms the interests of others. To provide justice and restore it to its original state, it is necessary to punish the perpetrator. This is true in any society.

According to the development of modern Western law, it is the ruler who has the right to carry out the criminal process. The role of the ruler is absolute, and society, especially victims, is not involved at all. Theoretically, it is the role of the authorities or the state in protecting victims, therefore the perpetrator of the crime is dealing with the state so that a criminal act is an act that violates the state and its laws. As a result, both the community and the victim are not involved in determining the punishment imposed on the perpetrator, in the execution of the crime creates many problems, especially for the victim. Problems that arise and lead to victim dissatisfaction are:

- a. The victim feels that he does not receive protection from the state;
- b. Provide opportunities for perpetrators and law enforcers to collude;
- c. It often happens that the perpetrator has received a serious sentence but the victim is still not satisfied because the losses suffered by the victim are irreplaceable; and
- d. The parties are often dissatisfied with the resolution of the problem, thus requiring further legal proceedings ranging from the appeal, cassation to review.

For murder and injury/maltreatment, the legal process without involving the victim, of course, will not provide justice to the victim or his family. The justice that is aimed at is only justice that is created and according to the standards of the ruler, which of course is not the same as justice according to the victim. The completion of the murder case taken completely by the state will not encourage the improvement of the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. The settlement process pits the state party against the perpetrator's side. The result is that some win and some loss to the next level. This is not something strange if you see the purpose of punishment developed especially in Indonesia. The focus of the attention of the criminal is more on efforts to make the perpetrator become a good person, the perpetrator becomes a useful person again in society after serving a sentence, and as much as possible be punished as lightly as possible. Meanwhile, the victim or his family who was harmed and his harmony disturbed due to the actions of the perpetrator did not get attention and was not involved, even though the case happened to him.

This model of the imposition of crimes needs to be reexamined. Because for criminal acts against life and persecution, justice cannot be realized and harmony in society cannot be restored if the victim or his family does not involve them. It should be seen what causes the crime to occur. To find out and restore the original condition, the settlement process is by involving all people related to the criminal act. This process will be much more effective and more accepted by the community because the parties related to the crime collectively seek alternative solutions. This kind of model in Indonesia has been recognized and practiced by the community, namely deliberation.

The aim of the Indonesian criminal law in the future must lead to the protection of interests in a balanced manner. This balance can be achieved by involving the parties in the process of solving problems or criminal acts. The process of involving all parties related to a criminal act together and how to deal with future consequences or its implications is called the restorative justice approach.

The need for the concept of restorative justice to reform punishment is very important. The current criminal system for homicides presents further problems for the families of victims and perpetrators of crimes, such as:

- a. The conviction of the perpetrator of the crime does not satisfy the victim's family;
- b. The perpetrator's family still feels anxious about the threat of revenge from the victim's family;

- c. The formal process of criminal justice is time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain; and
- d. The family relationship between the victim and the perpetrator is severed (if they previously knew each other well).

The fundamental premise of the restorative justice paradigm is that crime is a violation of people and relationships rather than merely a violation of the law. The most appropriate response to criminal behavior, therefore, is to repair the harm caused by the wrongful act. As such, the criminal justice system should provide those most closely affected by the crime (the victim, the offender, and the community) an opportunity to come together to discuss the event and attempt to arrive at some type of understanding about what can be done to provide appropriate reparation (Latimer et al., 2005).

The application of restorative justice in several developed countries is also not just a discourse by criminal law academics and criminology. For example in North America, Australia, and several countries in Europe, restorative justice has been applied in the criminal justice process from the investigation stage to the execution stage (Wahid, 2009, p. 1).

If restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to the victims, perpetrators, society in general, and the country. The benefits for victims and perpetrators are as follows:

- a. Restorative justice focuses on justice for victims according to personal wishes and interests, not the state that determines it;
- b. Offer recovery for both the perpetrator and the victim so that there is no revenge; and
- c. Make the perpetrator responsible for the crime he has committed.

Meanwhile, the benefits for society in general and the state are as follows:

- a. The community is given space to handle their legal problems which are expected to be fairer. The simple and clear principle which is widely known and used in customary law in the handling of civil cases can also be applied in criminal law. Especially for countries whose legal systems do not recognize sharp criminal and civil differences such as countries in the Middle East that apply Islamic law widely;
- b. The burden on the State in some cases is reduced because the burden of dealing with criminal acts can be resolved independently by the community. Police, prosecutors, and courts can focus more on eradicating crimes with a more dangerous qualification and relating to broader security, such as narcotics, terrorism, human trafficking, or serious human rights violations. Administratively, the number of cases that enter the justice system can also decrease; and
- c. The burden of providing a budget for the administration of the criminal justice system, especially in terms of the administration of correctional institutions, is also reduced (Zulfa, 2010, p. 85).

Various principles and instruments in the restorative justice approach, the process of dialogue between perpetrator and victim is basic moral and the most important part. Direct dialogue between the perpetrator and the victim makes the victim able to express what he feels, expressing the hope of fulfillment of the rights and desires of a settlement. Through the dialogue process, the perpetrator is expected to be moved to correct himself, realize his mistakes, and accept responsibility as a consequence of the crimes committed with full awareness. From this dialogue process, people can participate in realizing the results of the agreement and monitoring its implementation.

The settlement of criminal cases with restorative justice approaches offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts in a restorative justice approach is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that win-win solution and reconciliation can be done.

Restorative justice attempts to reconstitute the way people think about crime. Restorative justice advocates argue that crime is, more importantly, harm committed against individual victims and secondarily against a community than it is a breach of state laws. Repairing this harm is deemed more important than punishing the offender. By minimizing the governmental role in criminal justice and making the victim the central party, restorative justice recasts criminal justice more like civil law. In blurring the distinction between civil and criminal law, restorative justice is like other versions of informal justice, but its activist roots in North America lie in religiously based critiques of penal practices and its theoretical roots in a critique of dominant theories of criminology (Olson & Dzur, 2004).

Restorative justice is a movement within (and sometimes outside of) the criminal justice system, a victim-centered approach, with special relevance to marginalized populations, one of which is women. Unlike standard forms of criminal justice that are adversarial and hark back to primitive practices related to combat, restorative justice can be considered more humanistic. Instead of revenge, the emphasis is on a resolution. Typically, in this process, offenders take responsibility for their crimes and make restitution to the victim and community. Restorative justice approaches are promising in their ability to respond to relationship issues with special meaning for women (Wormer, 2009).

The application of restorative justice as a concept of thought that responds to the development of the criminal justice system by focusing on the needs of community engagement and victims who are sidelined with mechanisms that work in the criminal justice system that exists today. Through restorative justice approach try to empower victims and communities (Zulfa, 2006, p. 399). The meaning of criminal acts in the restorative justice approach is the same as the view of criminal law in general which is an attack on individuals and society as well as societal relations.

The main victim of a crime is not the state, as in the criminal justice system that now exists. The crime creates an obligation to correct the damage to the relationship as a result of a crime. Justice is interpreted as the process of finding problem-solving

that occurs in a criminal case that the involvement of victims, communities, and perpetrators becomes important in the effort to improve, reconciliation, and guarantee the continuity of the improvement effort.

The implementation of restorative justice in several developed countries is not merely a debate by criminal law and criminology academicians. For example, in North America, Australia, and some countries in Europe restorative justice has been applied at all steps of the criminal justice process starting from investigation to execution. When restorative justice is applied, it will bring benefits to both the victim, the offender, the society in general, and the state (Hamzani, 2019).

The importance of the application of a restorative justice system for example for the crime of murder, the victim is the head of the household. In such cases, the state does represent the families of victims punishing perpetrators. But the need for the victim's wife after the case is over is no longer a concern for the state. Convicted offenders are instead imprisoned at state expense. With a restorative justice approach, sentencing is sought to make the fullest possible return of the victim's condition as before the crime struck the victim. Prison sentences are just an alternative criminal.

Conclusion

The restorative justice approach has strong roots in Indonesian Muslim society. The Indonesian Muslim community is familiar with deliberation and consensus. The institution of deliberation is held to find a solution that can satisfy all parties. Restorative justice values are also found in Pancasila as a philosophy in the state. Restorative justice in the future is considered necessary to be applied in Indonesia in resolving criminal cases, especially crimes against lives. The restorative justice approach offers a different view of the approach applied in the current criminal system. The meaning of criminal acts is an offense against individuals and society as well as public relations. The victim of a crime is not a state, but an individual. The involvement of victims, perpetrators, and the community is important in the effort to seek justice that a win-win solution and reconciliation can be done. The current criminal law is still oriented towards the entangled and rehabilitation of perpetrators of crimes only, while attention to the victim is not a concern.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Achmad Irwan Hamzani  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2732-9899>

References

- Arief, B. N. (2007). *Penyelesaian Perkara di Luar Pengadilan* [Out of court case settlement]. Pustaka Magister.
- Atmasasmita, R. (2001). *Reformasi Hukum, Hak Asasi Manusia dan Penegakan Hukum* [Legal reform, human rights and law enforcement]. Bandar Maju. <https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20252829>
- Barnes, B. E. (2007). *Culture, conflict, and mediation in the Asian Pacific*. University of America Press. <https://www.amazon.com/Culture-Conflict-Mediation-Asian-Pacific/dp/0761834451>
- Braithwaite, J. (2002). *Restorative justice and responsive regulation*. Oxford University Press. <http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Restorative-Justice-and-Responsive-regulation-book.pdf>
- Braithwaite, J. (2004). Restorative justice and de-professionalization. *The Good Society*, 13(1), 28–31. http://johnbraithwaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/RJ_De-Professionalization_2004.pdf
- Dewi, D. S., & Fatahillah, A. S. (2010). *Mediasi Penal: Penerapan Restorative Justice di Pengadilan Anak Indonesia* [Penal mediation: Application of restorative justice in Indonesian Juvenile courts]. Indie-Publishing. <https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=984198>
- Friedrich, C. J. (2004). *Filsafat Hukum: Perspektif Historis* [Philosophy of law: Historical perspective]. Nuansa dan Nusamedia. <https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=1155879>
- Hamzani, A. I. (2016). Sejarah Berlakunya Hukum Pidana Islam di Nusantara [History of the application of Islamic criminal law in the Nusantara]. *Hikmatuna: Journal for Integrative Islamic Studies*, 2(2), 261–284. <https://e-journal.iainpekalongan.ac.id/index.php/hikmatuna/article/view/962>
- Hamzani, A. I., Nur Rohim Yunus, M., & Havis Aravik, S. (2019). The reorientation of criminal justice system to give protection to crime victims. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8(8), 196–199. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/aug2019/The-Reorientation-Of-Criminal-Justice-System-To-Give-Protection-To-Crime-Victims.pdf>
- Kusumaatmadja, M. (2006). *Konsep-konsep Hukum dalam Pembangunan* [Legal concepts in development]. Alumni. <https://simpus.mkri.id/opac/detail-opac?id=5139>
- Latimer, J., Dowden, C., & Muise, D. (2005). The effectiveness of restorative justice practices: A meta-analysis. *The Prison Journal*, 85(2), 127–144. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0032885505276969>
- Manan, B. (2008). *Retorative justice (Suatu Perkenalan)*, dalam *Refleksi Dinamika Hukum Rangkaian Pemikiran dalam Dekade Terakhir* [Restorative Justice [An Introduction], in the Books Reflections on the Dynamics of Legal Thought Series in the Last Decade]. Perum Percetakan Negara Republik Indonesia.
- Moore, C. W. (2003). *The mediation process: Practical strategies for resolving conflict*. Jossey-bass. <https://www.wiley.com/en-sg/The+Mediation+Process:+Practical+Strategies+for+Resolving+Conflict,+4th+Edition-p-9781118304303>
- Mujahidin, A. M. (2007). Hukum Progresif: Jalan Keluar dari Keterpurukan Hukum di Indonesia [Progressive law: A way out of legal adversity in Indonesia]. *Varia Peradilan*, 257, 22. https://perpustakaan.mahkamahagung.go.id/slims/pa-boyolali/index.php?p=show_detail&id=544

- Mulyana, S. (1979). *Negara Kertagama dan Tafsir Sejarahnya* [Kertagama state and its historical interpretation]. Bhatara Karya Aksara.
- Nonet, P., & Selznick, P. (2009). *Law and society in transition; Toward responsive law*. Harper Colophon Books. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=P5xrwZ8QyVwC&printsec=frontcover&hl=id&source=gbs_atb#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Olson, S. M., & Dzur, A. W. (2004). Revisiting informal justice: Restorative justice and democratic professionalism. *Law & Society Review*, 8(1), 139–176. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1555115>
- Pekuwali, U. L. (2008). Memosisikan Hukum Sebagai Penyeimbang Kepentingan Masyarakat [Positioning the law as balancing the interests of the community]. *Jurnal Pro Justitia*, 26(4), 359–370. <https://journal.unpar.ac.id/index.php/projustitia/article/view/1093>
- Prayitno, K. P. (2012). *Restorative Justice* untuk Peradilan di Indonesia [Perspektif Yuridis Filosofis dalam Penegakan Hukum]. *Concreto (Restorative Justice for Courts in Indonesia (Philosophical Juridical Perspective in Law Enforcement In Concreto), Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 12(3), 407–420. <http://dinamikahukum.fh.unsoed.ac.id/index.php/JDH/article/view/116>
- Putrajaya, N. S. (2005). *Kapita Selektta Hukum Pidana* [Capita selecta of criminal law]. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Rahardjo, S. (1983). *Hukum dan Perubahan Sosial* [Law and social change]. Alumni.
- Rahardjo, S. (2003). *Sisi-sisi Lain dari Hukum di Indonesia* [Other faces of law in Indonesia]. Penerbit Buku Kompas.
- Rahardjo, S., & Sholehudin, U. (2011). *Hukum dan Keadilan Masyarakat Perspektif Kajian Sosiologi Hukum* [Law and justice society perspective of legal sociology studies]. Setara Press.
- Roche, D. (2006). Dimensions of restorative justice. *Journal of Social Issues*, 62(2), 217–238. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00448.x>
- Strang, H., Sherman, L., Angel, C. M., Woods, D. J., Bennett, S., Newbury-Birch, D., & Inkpen, N. (2006). Victim evaluations of face-to-face restorative justice conferences: A quasi-experimental analysis. *Journal of Social Issues*, 62(2), 281–306. <https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2006.00451.x>
- Tanya, B. L., et al. (2010). *Teori Hukum; Strategi Tertib Manusia Lintas Ruang dan Generasi* [Legal theory; Human order strategy across space and generations]. Genta Publishing.
- Wahid, E. (2009). *Keadilan Restorative Justice dan Peradilan Konvensional dalam Hukum Pidana* [Restorative justice and conventional justice in criminal law]. Penerbit Universitas Trisakti.
- Wormer, K. V. (2009). Restorative justice as social justice for victims of gendered violence: A standpoint feminist perspective. *Social Work*, 54(2), 107–116. <https://refugeersearch.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Van-Wormer-K.-2009.-Restorative-justice-as-social-justice-for-victims-of-gendered-violence-A-standpoint-feminist-perspective.pdf>
- Zulfa, E. A. (2006). Pergeseran Paradigma Pemidanaan di Indonesia [Shifting criminal paradigm in Indonesia]. *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 36(3), 389–403. <http://digilib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20298221>
- Zulfa, E. A. (2010). Keadilan Restoratif dan Revitalisasi Lembaga Adat di Indonesia [Restorative justice and revitalization of traditional institutions in Indonesia]. *Jurnal Kriminologi Indonesia*, 6(2), 182–203. <http://journal.ui.ac.id/index.php/jki/article/viewFile/1114/1022>

12. Article Detected in Sinta

The screenshot displays the SINTA author profile for Achmad Irwan Hamzani. The profile includes a header with the author's name, affiliation (Universitas Pancasila Tegal), and SINTA ID (257780). Below this, there are statistics for SINTA Score Overall (2.035), SINTA Score 3Yr (564), and Affli Score (0). A line graph shows the latest number of publications from 2019 to 2023. The main content area lists several articles, with the first one, "Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society", circled in red. To the right of the article list, there are three "Summary" panels, each containing an "Article Quartile" donut chart and a "Research Output" target chart. The first summary panel also includes a table of metrics for Scopus, GScholar, and WOS.

Author Profile:
ACHMAD IRWAN HAMZANI ✓
Universitas Pancasila Tegal
S1 - Ilmu Hukum
SINTA ID : 257780
Ilmu Hukum

Statistics:
SINTA Score Overall: 2.035
SINTA Score 3Yr: 564
Affli Score: 0
Affli Score 3Yr: 0

Latest number of publications:
2019: 1, 2020: 2, 2021: 3, 2022: 0, 2023: 1

Articles:
Researches: 564
Community Services: 0
IPRs: 0
Books: 0
Metrics: 0

Scopus Article List:

- Non-Procedural Dispute Resolution: Study of the Restorative Justice Approach Tradition in Indonesian Society**
Q1 as Journal | International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology
Author Order: 1 of 5 | Creator: Hamzani A.I.
2023 | 0 cited
- Muhammadiyah's manhaj tarjih: An evolution of a modernist approach to islamic jurisprudence in indonesia**
Q1 as Journal | HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies
Author Order: 2 of 4 | Creator: Fanani A.
2021 | 3 cited
- Environmental Crime and Law Enforcement in Indonesia: Some Reflections on Counterproductive Approaches**
Q3 as Journal | Environmental Policy and Law
Author Order: 2 of 3 | Creator: Abdurrahman H.
2021 | 0 cited
- FROM JUDGE'S DECISION TO JUSTICE: THE ROLE OF TRANSCENDENTAL LAW TO REINFORCE JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE**
no-Q as Journal | Journal of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues
Author Order: 2 of 3 | Creator: Abdurrahman H.
2021 | 0 cited
- The trend to counter terrorism in Asean**
no-Q as Journal | Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems
Author Order: 1 of 5 | Creator: Hamzani A.I.
2020 | 1 cited
- Impact of giving remissions for the corruptors in Indonesia**
no-Q as Journal | International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology
Author Order: 2 of 4 | Creator: Rahayu P.R.
2020 | 0 cited
- The Reorientation Of Criminal Justice System To Give Protection To Crime Victims**
Q4 as Journal | International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research
Author Order: 1 of 5 | Creator: Hamzani A.I.
2019 | 1 cited

Summary Metrics (Scopus, GScholar, WOS):

	Scopus	GScholar	WOS
Article	7	218	4
Citation	5	675	3
Cited Document	3	79	1
H-Index	1	12	1
i10-Index	0	15	0
G-Index	1	1	1