## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Amalia. (2013). Accounting Analysis Journal Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Peran Dprd Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah Info Artikel Dipublikasikan Agustus 2013. *Aaj*, *2*(3), 295–305.

[Http://Journal.Unnes.Ac.Id/Sju/Index.Php/Aaj](http://journal.unnes.ac.id/Sju/Index.Php/Aaj)

Astutie et al., (2020). Hubungan Penerimaan Manfaat Program Keluarga Harapan (PKH) Dengan Pengurangan Kemiskinan Di Kabupaten Brebes.

Andriyani, P. &. (2016). *Determinasi Hubungan Pengetahuan Dewan Pada Keuangan Daerah (Dpbd)*. 1–23.

Annisaningrum. (2010). *Akuntabilitas Dan Transparansi Dalam Laporan Keuangan. Dikutip Dari Jurnal Ekonomi (Online)*.

Arianti, E. (2017). *Pengaruh Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah (Apbd) Dengan Political Background, Akuntanbilitas Publik Dan Transparansi Kebijakan Publik Sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi (Studi Empiris Pada Dprd Kabupaten Pelalawan)*.

Arikunto Suharsimi. (2013). *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*.

Rineka Cipta.

Arvitaningrum, R., & Abdullah. (2019). Pengaruh Pengetahuan Anggota Dewan Tentang Anggaran Dan Persepsi Anggota Dewan Tentang Partisipasi Masyarakat Terhadap Pengawasan Apbd Di Kabupaten Klaten. *Nominal: Barometer Riset Akuntansi Dan Manajemen*, *8*(2), 224–238. Https://Doi.Org/10.21831/Nominal.V8i2.26840

Bpk Ri. (2017). *Usut Dugaan Korupsi Bibit Bawang Di Brebes*.

Https://Jateng.Bpk.Go.Id/Usut-Dugaan-Korupsi-Bibit-Bawang-Di-Brebes/

Bpkp-Ri. (2008). *Media Komunikasi Informasi Dan Pengetahuan Pengawas Internal Pemerintah*.

Https://Www.Bpkp.Go.Id/Berita/Read/3186/9615/Korupsi-Menyebar-Di- Semua-Daerah-6-Bulan-Kejati-Tangani-105-Perkara

Cohen, B. J. S. (1983). *Theory And Problems Of Introduction To Sociology* (1st Ed.). Bina Aksara.

Coryanata, I. (2012). *Akuntabilitas, Partisipasimasyarakat Dan Transfaransi Kebijakan Publik Sebagai Pemoderasi Hubungan Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggran Dan Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah*. *12*(2), 110–125. [Http://Journal.Umy.Ac.Id/Index.Php/Ai/Article/View/678](http://journal.umy.ac.id/Index.Php/Ai/Article/View/678)

Darwin, M. Et Al. (2021). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif*. Media Sains Indonesia. Dewi, Mustika, I. (2011). *Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kapabilitas*

*Anggota Dprd Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah*.

Effendi. (2003). *Mekanisme Pengawasan Keuangan Negara*. *4*, 147–173. Ghazali. (2018). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program Spss 25* (9th Ed.).

Universitas Diponegoro.

Harsono, Dkk. (2024). The Influence Of Education Expenditure, Health Expenditure And Social Assistance Expenditure On Human Development Index In Ntb Province In 2013-2022. *Management Studies And Entrepreneurship Journal*, *5*(1), 802–810. [Http://Journal.Yrpipku.Com/Index.Php/Msej](http://journal.yrpipku.com/Index.Php/Msej)

Harton, Paul B. Dan Hunt, C. L. (1992). *Sosiologi* (Edisi 6). Erlangga.

Hidayah. (2020). *Pengaruh Latar Belakang Pribadi Dan Politik, Komitmen Organisasi Dan Pengetahuan Anggaran Anggota Dewan Terhadap Realisasi Apbd*.

Indra Makuta, R. A. (2023). *Pengaruh Personal Background Dan Pemahaman Regulasi Anggota Dprd Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah*.

Johnly Rudolf Kasenda1, Novie R. Pioh2, M. E. (2020). Fungsi Pengawasan Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah Dalam Pengelolaan Anggaran Pendapatan Dan Belanja Daerah Di Kota Manado. *Journal Of Public Health*, *1*.

Kartikasari, D. (2012). *Pengaruh Personal Background Political Background, Pemahaman Regulasi Terhadap Perana Anggota Dprd Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah (Studi Kasus Pada Dprd Kabupaten Boyolali), Jurnal Akuntansi, Semarang : Universitas Negeri Semarang*.

Keuangan, P., & Nomor, U. (2019). *Peraturan Pemerintah (Pp) Nomor 12 Tahun 2019 Tentang Pengelolaan Keuangan Daerah*. Https://Doi.Org/10.1093/Oed/9600622025

Kuswandi, A. (2021). *Buku Manajemen Pemerintahan Daerah Aos Kuswandi*

(Issue October).

Masiaga, N. (2023). *The Effect Of Public Accountability , Politicalbackground On Supervision Regional Finance Through Organizational Commitment ( Study On Regency / City Dprds Throughout Gorontalo Province )*. *4*(05), 18–28.

Nugroho, F. E. (2023). *Diduga Korupsi Rp 386 Juta Lebih, Kader Songgom Ditahan Kejari Brebes*. Https://Www.Panturapost.Com/Berita- Utama/2073258034/Diduga-Korupsi-Rp-386-Juta-Lebih-Kades-Songgom- Ditahan-Kejari-Brebes

Pangesti, I. (2013). *Analisis Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Pengwasan Keuangan Daerah (Apbd) Dengan Menggunakan Variabel Moderating. Accounting Analysis Journal*.

Permendagri. (2020). Peraturan Menteri Dalam Negeri Republik Indonesia Nomor 77 Tahun 2020 Tentang Pedoman Teknis Pengelolaan Keuangan Daerah. *Permendagri No 77 Tahun 2020*, 1–445.

Putra, M. R. (2023). *Pengaruh Pengetahuan Anggaran Terhadap Peran Anggota Dprd Kota Bandung Dalam Pengawasan Anggaran*. *1*(1), 47–58.

Putri, R. G. (2020). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kinerja Dprd Dalam

Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah Dengan Komitmen Profesional Sebagai Variabel Moderating (Studi Empiris Pada Dprk Kabupaten Aceh Utara).*Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, *8*(1), 11.

Https://Doi.Org/10.29103/Jak.V8i1.2276

Rais, R. G. P. (2016). *Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kinerja Dprd Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah Dengan Komitmen Profesional Sebagai Variabel Moderating*.

Rahmatika. (2021) Analisis Hasil Audit Pelaporan Keuangan Dana Kampanye Peserta Pemilu

Rezi Indriani, Juita Sukraini, & Siska Yulia Defitri. (2022). Pengaruh Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Dan Latar Belakang Politik Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah. *Optimal Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Manajemen*, *2*(3), 318–338. Https://Doi.Org/10.55606/Optimal.V2i3.520

Rosseptalia, R. (2006). *Pengaruh Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah Dengan Variabel Moderator Partisipasi Masyarakat Dan Transparansi Kebijakan Publik*.

Saraswati, D. (2018). Pengaruh Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah Dengan Variabel Moderating Partisipasi Masyarakat Dan Transparansi Kebijakan Publik (Studi Empiris Pada Dprd Kota Jambi. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Jambi*, *2*(1), 1–9. Https://Doi.Org/10.35141/Jraj.V2i1.288

Sari, D. U. (2016). Pengaruh Personal Background , Political Background Dan Pengetahuan Anggota Dewan Tentang Anggaran. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, *4*(1), 44– 52.

Sari, I. (2010). Pengaruh Personal Background Dan Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Kinerja Dprd Kota Padang Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah. *Majalah Sainstekes*, *4*(2), 50–58. Https://Doi.Org/10.33476/Ms.V4i2.911

Setiawan, F, & Suryandari, D. (2019). Pengaruh Pendidikan, Pengalaman Organisasi, Jabatan Partai Politik, Budaya Politik, Dan Pemahaman Regulasi Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah. *Monex Journal Research Accounting Politeknik Tegal*, *Volume Vii*, No I.

Silooy, R. W. (2022). Pengaruh Political Background Dan Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah (Studi Empiris Pada Dprd Kabupaten Kepulauan Aru Dan Kota Tual). *Jurnal Akuntansi*, *8*(1), 1–21. [Http://Eprints.Umpo.Ac.Id/4029/](http://eprints.umpo.ac.id/4029/)

Sofi, H., Mranani, M., & Devi Pramita, Y. (2022). Pengaruh Personal Background, Political Background, Pengetahuan Anggaran Dan Akuntabilitas Terhadap Peran Anggota Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah (DPRD) Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah. *Borobudur Accounting Review*, *2*(2), 123–

137. Https://Doi.Org/10.31603/Bacr.7761

Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Pendekatan Kuantitatif Kualitatif* (Issue

June).

Suripto, I. (2021). *Kades Ditahan Gegara Tilap Dana Desa Rp 101 Juta*.

Https://News.Detik.Com/Berita-Jawa-Tengah/D-5854166/Kades-Di-Brebes- Ditahan-Gegara-Tilap-Dana-Desa-Rp-101-Juta

Suripto, I. (2022). *Eks Anggota Dprd Brebes Dipolisikan Soal Dugaan Jual Tanah Warga*. Https://News.Detik.Com/Berita-Jawa-Tengah/D-5903775/Eks- Anggota-Dprd-Brebes-Dipolisikan-Soal-Dugaan-Jual-Tanah-Warga

Undang-Undang. (2004). *Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia No 32 Tahun 2004, Tentang Pemerintahan Daerah*. 1–3.

Undang-Undang. (2008). Undang-Undang (Uu) Nomor 14 Tahun 2008. *Journal Of Chemical Information And Modeling*, 287.

Undang-Undang. (2014). *Undang-Undang No. 23 Tahun 2014 Tentang Pemerintahan Daerah*.

Http://Www.Springer.Com/Series/15440%0apapers://Ae99785b-2213-416d- Aa7e-3a12880cc9b9/Paper/P18311

Undang-Undang. (2015). *Undang-Undang Nomor 9 Tahun 2015 Perubahan Atas*

*Undang-Undang Nomor 23 Tahun 2014*.

Undang-Undang. (2022). *Undang-Undang No 1 Tahun 2022 Hubungan Keuangan Antara Pemerintah Pusat Dan Pemerintah Daerah*.

Utami, K., & Syofyan, E. (2013). *Pengaruh Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah Dengan Variabel Pemoderasi Partisipasi Masyarakat Dan Transparansi Kebijakan Publik*.

Wahyudi, S. D. (2009). *Pengaruh Akuntabilitas Publik, Partisipasi Masyarakat Dan Transparansi Kebijakan Publik Terhadap Hubungan Antara Pengetahuan Anggaran Dengan Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah (Apbd)*.

Winarna, J., & Murni, S. (2007). Pengaruh Personal Background, Political Background Dan Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Peran Dprd Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah (Studi Kasus Di Karesidenan Surakarta Dan Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta Tahun 2006). *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, *Vol.9 No.2*(2), 136–152.

Yuliani, W. S. (2021). Pengaruh Latar Belakang Personal Dan Pengetahuan Dewan Tentang Anggaran Terhadap Peran Dprd Dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah (Studi Kasus Pada Dprd Kabupaten Kuantan Singingi). *Juhanperak*, *2*(2), 903–913.

[Http://Ejournal.Uniks.Ac.Id/Index.Php/Perak/Article/View/1498](http://ejournal.uniks.ac.id/Index.Php/Perak/Article/View/1498)

Yustrisia, L. (2022). Mekanisme Pengembalian Aset Di Negara. *Sumbang 12 Journal*, *01*(01), 23–44.

Yuwono, S. Dkk. (2007). *Petunjuk Praktis Penyusunan Balancedscorecard*.

Gramedia Pustaka Utama.

# LAMPIRAN

### Lampiran 1 : Kuesioner Penelitian

Dengan Hormat

Saya Mukhammad Iqbal Ramadhan Mahasiswa Prodi Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Universitas Pancasakti Tegal yang sedang menyusun penelitian dengan judul “ *PERSONAL BACKGROUND*, *POLITICAL BACKGROUND*, PENGETAHUAN ANGGARAN DAN TRANSPARANSI KEBIJAKAN PUBLIK TERHADAP PERAN ANGGOTA DEWAN PERWAKILAN RAKYAT (DPRD) DALAM PENGAWASAN KEUANGAN

DAERAH (Studi Empiris DPRD Kabupaten Brebes)”. Untuk keperluan menyelesaikan penelitian tersebut saya sangat mengharap bantuan partisipasi Bapak/Ibu sebagai responden dalam penelitian yang saya lakukan.

Saya menjamin kerahasiaan jawaban atas daftar pertanyaan (kuesioner) ini, karena hal ini semata-mata di peruntukan bagi pengembangan disiplin keilmuan. Dan apa pun jawaban Bapak/Ibu tidak salah, karena item pertanyaan ini bukan menilai benar atau salah. Saya berharap hasil penelitian ini dapat memberikan kontribusi bagi DPRD Kabupaten Brebes dan masyarakat pada umumnya.

Untuk kepentingan itu, saya sangat mengharapkan ketersediaan Bapak/Ibu untuk bersedia menjawab daftar pertanyaan berikut ini dengan sesungguhnya dan sebenar-benarnya. Atas perhatian, bantuan dan kebaikan Bapak/Ibu saya ucapkan Terima Kasih.

HormatSaya Mukhammad Iqbal Ramadhan

### Identitas Responden

Dimoehoen dee ngan hoermat Saudara/i untuk mee ngisi ideentitas seecara leengkap. Seetiap peernyataan diharapkan hanya ada satu jawaban untuk meenjamim validitas data.

Nama :

Jeenis keelamin : 



Laki– Laki Perempuan

Usia Anggota :

Pengalaman Organisasi : 



Pendidikan Terakhir :

Organisasi Kemasyarakatan Organisasi Internal / Eksternal Kampus

 SMA/ Sederajat

 D1 / D2 / D3

 S1

 S2

 S3

Apakah Bapak / Ibu :  Ya

pernah menjadi anggota DPRD periode sebelumnya ?

 Tidak

Asal Partai Politik :  PDIP

 PKB

 GOLKAR

 GERINDRA

 PKS

 PPP

 PAN

 DEMOKRAT

Jabatan di Partai Politik  Ketua

 Wakil Ketua

 Sekjen (Sekretaris Jendral)

 Bendahara

 Anggota / Kader

 Lainnya, Sebutkan

Seberapa lama Bapak / Ibu bergabung di partai?

 < 5 tahun

 5-10 tahun

 > 10 tahun

Apakah Bapak / Ibu berniat mencalonkan diri lagi untuk menjadi anggota DPRD pada periode berikutnya ?

 Ya

 Tidak

Peetunjuk Peengisian Kueesioeneer

1. Bacalah seet iap peernyataan deengan teelit i dan seeksama.
2. Isilah deengan jujur seesuai deengan keenyataan yang ada pada diri Anda.
3. Beerilah tanda cheeck list (✓) pada jawaban yang anda anggap beenar di koeloem yang teelah diseediakan.
4. Kueesioeneer ini dapat digunakan seecara oeptimal bila seeluruh peernyataan teerjawab, kareena itu moehoen diteelit i keembali apakah seemua peernyataan teelah teerjawab.

Keeteerangan skala peendapat :

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **STS** | **:** | **Sangat Tidak Setuju** |
| **TS** | **:** | **Tidak Setuju** |
| **KS** | **:** | **Kurang Setuju** |
| **SS** | **:** | **Sangat Setuju** |
| **S** | **:** | **Setuju** |

* + - 1. **Kuesioner Penelitian**
         1. Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **PERNYATAAN** | **SS** | **S** | **KS** | **TS** | **STS** |
| 1. | Saya selalu terlibat aktif dalam penyusunan arah dan kebijakan umum APBD. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Saya melakukan analisis politik yang mendalam dalam proses penyusunan APBD. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Partisipasi saya dalam pengesahan APBD membantu memastikan anggaran yang disetujui sesuai dengan kebutuhan masyarakat. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Saya mampu menjelaskan dengan baik APBD yang telah disusun kepada publik. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Transparansi APBD sangat penting dalam proses pengawasan keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Pemantauan yang saya lakukan membantu  memastikan pelaksanaan APBD berjalan sesuai rencana. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Saya melakukan evaluasi yang mendalam terhadap Laporan Pertanggungjawaban APBD. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | Evaluasi saya terhadap faktor-faktor revisi APBD membantu mencegah kesalahan yang sama di masa mendatang. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | Saya sering meminta keterangan tambahan terkait LPJ APBD dari Bupati/Walikota. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | Tindak lanjut saya terhadap kejanggalan dalam LPJ APBD membantu meningkatkan transparansi dan akuntabilitas keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |

* + - * 1. *Personal Background*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **PERNYATAAN** | **SS** | **S** | **KS** | **TS** | **STS** |
| 1. | Tingkat pendidikan saya mendukung efektivitas saya dalam pengawasan keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Spesialisasi pendidikan saya relevan dengan tugas dan tanggung jawab saya di DPRD. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Pendidikan saya memberikan pemahaman  mendalam mengenai keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Pengalaman kerja saya sebelum menjadi anggota DPRD relevan dengan tugas  pengawasan keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Pengalaman kerja saya membantu saya memahami dan mengatasi masalah keuangan  daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |

* + - * 1. *Political Background*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **PERNYATAAN** | **SS** | **S** | **KS** | **TS** | **STS** |
| 1. | Ideologi pribadi saya sangat sesuai dengan ideologi partai politik tempat saya bernaung. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Kesesuaian ideologi pribadi dengan partai politik mempengaruhi kinerja saya dalam melakukan pengawasan keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Pengetahuan saya tentang anggaran daerah membantu saya dalam melakukan pengawasan keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Saya mendukung transparansi dalam pembuatan kebijakan publik di daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Saya sering berkoordinasi dengan anggota komisi lain dalam melakukan pengawasan keuangan daerah. |  |  |  |  |  |

* + - * 1. Pengetahuan Anggaran

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **PERNYATAAN** | **SS** | **S** | **KS** | **TS** | **STS** |
| 1. | Saya mengetahui langkah-langkah yang terlibat dalam penyusunan APBD. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Saya terlibat aktif dalam proses penyusunan APBD di DPRD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Saya memahami bagaimana APBD dilaksanakan setelah disetujui. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4, | Saya memiliki kemampuan untuk mendeteksi kebocoran anggaran dalam pelaksanaan APBD. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Saya memahami penyebab kegagalan pelaksanaan program yang didanai oleh APBD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Saya memberikan masukan untuk mencegah terjadinya pemborosan dalam pelaksanaan APBD. |  |  |  |  |  |

* + - * 1. Transparansi Kebijakan Publik

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NO** | **PERNYATAAN** | **SS** | **S** | **KS** | **TS** | **STS** |
| 1. | Pemerintah daerah secara rutin mengumumkan kebijakan anggaran kepada publik. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Pemerintah daerah menyediakan saluran khusus untuk memudahkan akses publik terhadap dokumen anggaran. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Anggota DPRD selalu menerima laporan pertanggungjawaban anggaran sesuai jadwal yang telah ditetapkan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4, | Transparansi anggaran yang diterapkan mampu meningkatkan partisipasi masyarakat dalam pengambilan keputusan. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Sistem informasi anggaran yang ada memudahkan masyarakat untuk memahami alokasi dan penggunaan anggaran. |  |  |  |  |  |

### Lampiran 2 : Tabulasi Jawaban Responden Variabel Y : Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Y1** | **Y2** | **Y3** | **Y4** | **Y5** | **Y6** | **Y7** | **Y8** | **Y9** | **Y10** | **Total Y** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **45** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **47** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **42** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **45** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **41** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **42** |
| 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **40** |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | **32** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **42** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **41** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **35** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | **35** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **43** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **37** |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **40** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **45** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | **34** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **40** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **38** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **46** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | **38** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **44** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **41** |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **34** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **37** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **43** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **37** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **43** |
| 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **45** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **41** |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **31** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **41** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **40** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **44** |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **41** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **40** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **35** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **50** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **43** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **44** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **36** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **47** |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | **31** |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **31** |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **38** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **35** |
| 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | **38** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **37** |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **36** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **24** |

**Variabel X1 : *Personal Background***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **X1.1** | **X1.2** | **X1.3** | **X1.4** | **X1.5** | **Total X1** |
| 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **19** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **25** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **23** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | **19** |
| 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | **17** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **23** |
| 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | **20** |
| 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | **19** |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | **12** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **18** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | **17** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **17** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | **16** |
| 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **22** |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **20** |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | **15** |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **19** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **19** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **18** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **20** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | **18** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **25** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **25** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | **19** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **17** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **21** |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | **17** |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | **19** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **23** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |

**Variabel X2 : *Political Background***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **X2.1** | **X2.2** | **X2.3** | **X2.4** | **X2.5** | **Total X2** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **24** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **23** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **22** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **24** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **23** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **24** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **22** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **22** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **22** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |

**Variabel X3 : Pengetahuan Anggaran**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **X3.1** | **X3.2** | **X3.3** | **X3.4** | **X3.5** | **X3.6** | **Total X3** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **26** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **29** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **28** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **29** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | **22** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | **25** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **28** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **26** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **26** |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **19** |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **25** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | **25** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **25** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **25** |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **24** |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **24** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | **29** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **29** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **28** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **25** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **24** |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | **20** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **25** |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **25** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **27** |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **24** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **27** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **25** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **26** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | **23** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **28** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **25** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **26** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **30** |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **24** |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | **19** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **30** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **26** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | **24** |
| 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | **23** |
| 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **26** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **24** |

**Variabel X4 : Transparansi Kebijakan Publik**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **X4.1** | **X4.2** | **X4.3** | **X4.4** | **X5.5** | **Total X4** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | **19** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | **19** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | **22** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **22** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | **20** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | **19** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **25** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | **18** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | **19** |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **24** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | **19** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **22** |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **22** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | **24** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **20** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | **23** |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | **20** |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | **18** |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | **19** |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | **22** |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | **23** |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | **19** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | **21** |

**Lampiran 3 : Hasil Spss Versi 25**

**Hasil Uji Statistik Deskriptif**

**Descriptive Statistics**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah | 50 | 24 | 50 | 39.50 | 5.036 |
| Personal Background | 50 | 12 | 25 | 20.34 | 2.700 |
| Political Background | 50 | 20 | 24 | 22.10 | 1.182 |
| Pengetahuan Anggaran | 50 | 19 | 30 | 24.92 | 2.717 |
| Transparansi Kebijakan Publik | 50 | 18 | 25 | 21.24 | 1.721 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 50 |  |  |  |  |

### Hasil Uji Validitas

Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

**Correlations**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | Y1 | Y2 | Y3 | Y4 | Y5 | Y6 | Y7 | Y8 | Y9 | Y10 | Pengawasa n Keuangan  Daerah |
| Y1 | Pearson  Correlatio n | 1 | .451\*  \* | .230 | .239 | .205 | .173 | .067 | -  .005 | .147 | .192 | .422\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) |  | .001 | .107 | .099 | .158 | .234 | .645 | .973 | .308 | .181 | .002 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Y2 | Pearson Correlatio n | .451\*  \* | 1 | -.028 | -.020 | -  .013 | .328\* | .158 | .012 | .287\* | .351\* | .430\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .001 |  | .845 | .894 | .932 | .021 | .273 | .936 | .043 | .013 | .002 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Y3 | Pearson Correlatio n | .230 | -  .028 | 1 | 1.000\*  \* | .661\*  \* | .328\* | .237 | .358\* | .261 | .308\* | .633\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .107 | .845 |  | .000 | .000 | .021 | .098 | .011 | .067 | .030 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Y4 | Pearson Correlatio  n | .239 | -  .020 | 1.000\*  \* | 1 | .661\*  \* | .328\* | .242 | .367\*  \* | .281 | .328\* | .736\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .099 | .894 | .000 |  | .000 | .021 | .094 | .010 | .050 | .021 | .000 |
|  | N | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Y5 | Pearson Correlatio n | .205 | -  .013 | .661\*\* | .661\*\* | 1 | .272 | .251 | .205 | .206 | .272 | .619\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .158 | .932 | .000 | .000 |  | .058 | .081 | .158 | .156 | .058 | .000 |
|  | N | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Y6 | Pearson Correlatio  n | .173 | .328\* | .328\* | .328\* | .272 | 1 | .276 | .152 | .914\*  \* | 1.000\*  \* | .766\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .234 | .021 | .021 | .021 | .058 |  | .055 | .298 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
|  | N | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Y7 | Pearson Correlatio  n | .067 | .158 | .237 | .242 | .251 | .276 | 1 | .174 | .314\* | .286\* | .513\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .645 | .273 | .098 | .094 | .081 | .055 |  | .226 | .027 | .044 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Y8 | Pearson Correlatio  n | -  .005 | .012 | .358\* | .367\*\* | .205 | .152 | .174 | 1 | .127 | .172 | .388\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .973 | .936 | .011 | .010 | .158 | .298 | .226 |  | .381 | .233 | .005 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Y9 | Pearson Correlatio n | .147 | .287\* | .261 | .281 | .206 | .914\*\* | .314\* | .127 | 1 | .918\*\* | .717\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .308 | .043 | .067 | .050 | .156 | .000 | .027 | .381 |  | .000 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Y10 | Pearson Correlatio  n | .192 | .351\* | .308\* | .328\* | .272 | 1.000\*  \* | .286\* | .172 | .918\*  \* | 1 | .766\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-  tailed) | .181 | .013 | .030 | .021 | .058 | .000 | .044 | .233 | .000 |  | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pengawasa n Keuangan Daerah | Pearson Correlatio  n | .422\*  \* | .430\*  \* | .633\*\* | .736\*\* | .619\*  \* | .766\*\* | .513\*  \* | .388\*  \* | .717\*  \* | .766\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-  tailed) | .002 | .002 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .005 | .000 | .000 |  |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

#### Personal Background

**Correlations**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | X1.1 | X1.2 | X1.3 | X1.4 | X1.5 | Personal Background |
| X1.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .036 | .289\* | .400\*\* | .289\* | .623\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .803 | .042 | .004 | .042 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X1.2 | Pearson Correlation | .036 | 1 | .142 | -.024 | .142 | .279\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .803 |  | .326 | .866 | .326 | .050 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X1.3 | Pearson Correlation | .289\* | .142 | 1 | .423\*\* | 1.000\*\* | .872\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .042 | .326 |  | .002 | .000 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X1.4 | Pearson Correlation | .400\*\* | -.024 | .423\*\* | 1 | .423\*\* | .684\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .004 | .866 | .002 |  | .002 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X1.5 | Pearson Correlation | .289\* | .142 | 1.000\*\* | .423\*\* | 1 | .872\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .042 | .326 | .000 | .002 |  | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Personal Background | Pearson Correlation | .623\*\* | .279\* | .872\*\* | .684\*\* | .872\*\* | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .050 | .000 | .000 | .000 |  |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### Political Background

**Correlations**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | X2.1 | X2.2 | X2.3 | X2.4 | X2.5 | Political Background |
| X2.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .085 | .419\*\* | -.073 | .100 | .637\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .557 | .002 | .616 | .491 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X2.2 | Pearson Correlation | .085 | 1 | .015 | .088 | -.301\* | .377\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .557 |  | .919 | .545 | .034 | .007 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X2.3 | Pearson Correlation | .419\*\* | .015 | 1 | .006 | .037 | .620\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .002 | .919 |  | .964 | .798 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X2.4 | Pearson Correlation | -.073 | .088 | .006 | 1 | -.030 | .424\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .616 | .545 | .964 |  | .836 | .002 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X2.5 | Pearson Correlation | .100 | -.301\* | .037 | -.030 | 1 | .328\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .491 | .034 | .798 | .836 |  | .020 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Political Background | Pearson Correlation | .637\*\* | .377\*\* | .620\*\* | .424\*\* | .328\* | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .007 | .000 | .002 | .020 |  |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Pengetahuan Anggaran

**Correlations**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | X3.1 | X3.2 | X3.3 | X3.4 | X3.5 | X3.6 | Pengetahuan Anggaran |
| X3.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .743\*\* | .283\* | .294\* | .743\*\* | .025 | .790\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .000 | .047 | .038 | .000 | .861 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X3.2 | Pearson Correlation | .743\*\* | 1 | .369\*\* | .148 | 1.000\*\* | .166 | .878\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |  | .008 | .307 | .000 | .250 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| X3.3 | Pearson Correlation | .283\* | .369\*\* | 1 | .082 | .369\*\* | .325\* | .629\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .047 | .008 |  | .569 | .008 | .021 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X3.4 | Pearson Correlation | .294\* | .148 | .082 | 1 | .148 | -.031 | .357\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .038 | .307 | .569 |  | .307 | .832 | .011 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X3.5 | Pearson Correlation | .743\*\* | 1.000\*\* | .369\*\* | .148 | 1 | .166 | .878\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .008 | .307 |  | .250 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X3.6 | Pearson Correlation | .025 | .166 | .325\* | -  .031 | .166 | 1 | .415\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .861 | .250 | .021 | .832 | .250 |  | .003 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Pengetahuan Anggaran | Pearson Correlation | .790\*\* | .878\*\* | .629\*\* | .357\* | .878\*\* | .415\*\* | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 | .011 | .000 | .003 |  |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

1 Kebijakan Transparansi Publik

**Correlations**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | X4.1 | X4.2 | X4.3 | X4.4 | X5.5 | Transparansi Kebijakan Publik |
| X4.1 | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .120 | -.003 | .196 | -  .044 | .397\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .407 | .986 | .172 | .762 | .004 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X4.2 | Pearson Correlation | .120 | 1 | .345\* | .287\* | .057 | .700\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .407 |  | .014 | .043 | .692 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X4.3 | Pearson Correlation | -.003 | .345\* | 1 | .309\* | .071 | .652\*\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .986 | .014 |  | .029 | .623 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X4.4 | Pearson Correlation | .196 | .287\* | .309\* | 1 | -  .132 | .630\*\* |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .172 | .043 | .029 | 50 | .361 | .000 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| X5.5 | Pearson Correlation | -.044 | .057 | .071 | -.132 | 1 | .334\* |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .762 | .692 | .623 | .361 |  | .018 |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Transparansi Kebijakan Publik | Pearson Correlation | .397\*\* | .700\*\* | .652\*\* | .630\*\* | .334\* | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .004 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .018 |  |
|  | N | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

## HASIL UJI RELIABILITAS

Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

**Case Processing Summary**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | N | % |
| Cases | Valid | 50 | 100.0 |
|  | Excludeda | 0 | .0 |
|  | Total | 50 | 100.0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

**Reliability Statistics**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
| .804 | 10 |

*Personal background*

**Case Processing Summary**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | N | % |
| Cases | Valid | 50 | 100.0 |
|  | Excludeda | 0 | .0 |
|  | Total | 50 | 100.0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
| .725 | 5 |

*Political Background*

**Case Processing Summary**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | N | % |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cases | Valid | 50 | 100.0 |
|  | Excludeda | 0 | .0 |
|  | Total | 50 | 100.0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

**Reliability Statistics**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
| .637 | 5 |

Pengetahuan Anggaran

**Case Processing Summary**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | N | % |
| Cases | Valid | 50 | 100.0 |
|  | Excludeda | 0 | .0 |
|  | Total | 50 | 100.0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

**Reliability Statistics**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
| .755 | 5 |

Transparansi Kebijakan Publik

**Case Processing Summary**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | N | % |
| Cases | Valid | 50 | 100.0 |
|  | Excludeda | 0 | .0 |
|  | Total | 50 | 100.0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

**Reliability Statistics**



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
| .703 | 5 |

## HASIL UJI NORMALITAS

**One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | Unstandardized Residual |
| N |  | 50 |
| Normal Parametersa,b | Mean | .0000000 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 4.05008431 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | .061 |
|  | Positive | .059 |
|  | Negative | -.061 |
| Test Statistic |  | .061 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .200c,d |

1. Test distribution is Normal.
2. Calculated from data.
3. Lilliefors Significance Correction.
4. This is a lower bound of the true significance.



## HASIL UJI MULTIKOLONIERITAS

**Coefficientsa**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | | Collinearity Statistics | |
| Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | Personal Background | .790 | 1.266 |
|  | Political Background | .820 | 1.220 |
|  | Pengetahuan Anggaran | .719 | 1.391 |
|  | Transparansi Kebijakan  Publik | .920 | 1.087 |


Dependent Variable: Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

## HASIL UJI HETEROKEDASTISITAS

**Coefficientsa**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| B | Std. Error | Beta |
| 1 | (Constant) | 10.887 | 12.256 |  | .888 | .379 |
|  | Personal Background | .112 | .164 | .113 | .685 | .497 |
|  | Political Background | -.283 | .367 | -.125 | -.770 | .445 |
|  | Pengetahuan Anggaran | -.034 | .170 | -.034 | -.197 | .845 |
|  | Transparansi Kebijakan Publik | -.145 | .238 | -.093 | -.608 | .546 |

a. Dependent Variable: ABSRES



## HASIL UJI REGRESI LINIER BERGANDA

**Coefficientsa**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| B | Std. Error | Beta |
| 1 | (Constant) | -24.208 | 18.845 |  | -1.285 | .206 |
|  | Personal Background | .581 | .252 | .312 | 2.311 | .025 |
|  | Political Background | 1.103 | .564 | .259 | 1.955 | .057 |
|  | Pengetahuan Anggaran | .735 | .262 | .397 | 2.806 | .007 |
|  | Transparansi Kebijakan Publik | .432 | .366 | .148 | 1.182 | .243 |

Dependent Variable: Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

## HASIL UJI F

**ANOVAa**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| 1 | Regression | 438.744 | 4 | 109.686 | 6.141 | .000b |
|  | Residual | 803.756 | 45 | 17.861 |
|  | Total | 1242.500 | 49 |  |

Dependent Variable: Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

Predictors: (Constant), Transparansi Kebijakan Publik, Personal Background, Political Background, Pengetahuan Anggaran

## HASIL UJI T

**Coefficientsa**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| B | Std. Error | Beta |
| 1 | (Constant) | -24.208 | 18.845 |  | -1.285 | .206 |
|  | Personal Background | .581 | .252 | .312 | 2.311 | .025 |
|  | Political Background | 1.103 | .564 | .259 | 1.955 | .057 |
|  | Pengetahuan Anggaran | .735 | .262 | .397 | 2.806 | .007 |
|  | Transparansi Kebijakan Publik | .432 | .366 | .148 | 1.182 | .243 |

Dependent Variable: Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah

## HASIL UJI KOEFESIEN DETERMINASI (R2)

**ANOVAa**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| 1 | Regression | 438.744 | 4 | 109.686 | 6.141 | .000b |
|  | Residual | 803.756 | 45 | 17.861 |
|  | Total | 1242.500 | 49 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| a. Dependent Variable: Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah |
| b. Predictors: (Constant), Transparansi Kebijakan Publik, Personal Background, Political  Background, Pengetahuan Anggaran |

**Lampiran 4 :Dokumentasi Penyebaran Kuesioner**

